

**REGULAR MEETING
OF THE MADERA CITY COUNCIL**
205 W. 4th Street, Madera, California 93637

NOTICE AND AGENDA

Wednesday, June 1, 2016
6:00 p.m.

Council Chambers
City Hall

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL: Mayor Robert L. Poythress
Mayor Pro Tem Charles F. Rigby
Council Member Andrew J. Medellin
Council Member Donald E. Holley
Council Member Derek O. Robinson Sr.
Council Member William Oliver

INVOCATION: Pastor Randy Brannon, Grace Community Church

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

PUBLIC COMMENT:

The first fifteen minutes of the meeting are reserved for members of the public to address the Council on items which are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Council. Speakers shall be limited to three minutes. Speakers will be asked to identify themselves and state the subject of their comment. If the subject is an item on the Agenda, the Mayor has the option of asking the speaker to hold the comment until that item is called. Comments on items listed as a Public Hearing on the Agenda should be held until the hearing is opened. The Council is prohibited by law from taking any action on matters discussed that are not on the Agenda, and no adverse conclusions should be drawn if the Council does not respond to public comment at this time.

PRESENTATIONS PG&E Sponsorship Check for Movies in the Park

A. WORKSHOP

A-1 Review of Staff's Proposed Location for Installation of a Crosswalk on Howard Road at Town & Country Park

And

Discussion Regarding the Methodology Currently Utilized in the Consideration of Crosswalks (Report by Keith Helmuth)

B. CONSENT CALENDAR

- B-1 Minutes – 10/7/15, 4/6/16
- B-2 Information Only – Warrant Disbursement Report
- B-3 Consideration of a Resolution Approving an Agreement with First Carbon Solutions for Environmental Planning Services to Prepare the Environmental Documents for Six (6) Projects, and Authorizing the Mayor to Execute the Agreement (Report by Keith Helmuth)
- B-4 Consideration of a Resolution Approving a Consultant Services Agreement with Madera Unified School District (MUSD) for Partial Administration of the District's Academic Year 2016-17 After School Program and Authorizing the Mayor to Execute the Agreement on Behalf of the City (Report by Mary Anne Seay)
- B-5 Consideration of a Resolution Approving an Increase in the Construction Contingency for the Surface Seals at Various Streets City of Madera Project No. ST 16-01 and Approving Change Order No. 1 to Provide a Chip Seal Application for Additional City Streets (Report by Keith Helmuth)
- B-6 Consideration of a Resolution Approving Health, Dental and Vision Insurance Providers for Fiscal Year 2016-17 and Authorizing the City Administrator to Execute Any Agreements or Related Documents (Report by Wendy Silva)
- B-7 Consideration of a Corrected City of Madera Investment Report for the Six Month Period Ending December 31, 2015 for Approval and Acceptance (Report by Tim Przybyla)
- B-8 Consideration of the City of Madera Investment Report for the Quarter Ending March 31, 2016 for Approval and Acceptance (Report by Tim Przybyla)

C. HEARINGS, PETITIONS, BIDS, RESOLUTIONS, ORDINANCES, AND AGREEMENTS

- C-1 Second Reading and Consideration of Adoption of an Ordinance Amending Subsection B of Section 3-5.08 of Chapter 5 of Title III of the Madera Municipal Code in Compliance with Conducting Speed Surveys on City Streets (Report by Keith Helmuth)

D. WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS

- D-1 Presentation by the Madera Coalition for Community Justice Youth Group on Teen Pregnancy Prevention Project (Andrea Garcia, Youth Coordinator)

E. ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

- E-1 Presentation of the Preliminary City of Madera General Fund Budget for Fiscal Year 2016/2017 and Selection of a Date for the 2016/2017 Budget Workshop (Report by Tim Przybyla)
- E-2 Presentation of the Preliminary City of Madera Internal Services and Special Revenue Fund Budgets for Fiscal Year 2016/2017 (Report by Tim Przybyla)
- E-3 Weekly Water Conservation Report (Report by Dave Randall)

F. COUNCIL REPORTS

G. CLOSED SESSION

There are no items for this section.


ADJOURNMENT – Next regular meeting June 15, 2016

-
- Please silence or turn off cell phones and electronic devices while the meeting is in session.
 - Regular meetings of the Madera City Council are held the 1st and 3rd Wednesday of each month at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers at City Hall.
 - Any writing related to an agenda item for the open session of this meeting distributed to the City Council less than 72 hours before this meeting is available for inspection at the City of Madera Office of the City Clerk, 205 W. 4th Street, Madera, California 93637 during normal business hours.
 - The meeting room is accessible to the physically disabled, and the services of a translator can be made available. Request for additional accommodations for the disabled, signers, assistive listening devices, or translators needed to assist participation in this public meeting should be made at least seventy two (72) hours prior to the meeting. Please call the Human Resources Office at (559) 661-5401. Those who are hearing impaired may call 711 or 1-800-735-2929 for TTY Relay Service.
 - Questions regarding the meeting agenda or conduct of the meeting, please contact the City Clerk's office at (559) 661-5405.
 - Para asistencia en Español sobre este aviso, por favor llame al (559) 661-5405.
-

I, Sonia Alvarez, City Clerk for the City of Madera, declare under penalty of perjury that I posted the above agenda for the regular meeting of the Madera City Council for June 1, 2016, near the front entrances of City Hall at 3:00 p.m. on May 27, 2016.



Sonia Alvarez, City Clerk



REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

Approved By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Scott R. Smith", written over a horizontal line.

Department Director

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "D. J. [unclear]", written over a horizontal line.

City Administrator

Council Meeting of June 1, 2016

Agenda Item Number A-1

WORKSHOP

SUBJECT: REVIEW OF STAFF'S PROPOSED LOCATION FOR INSTALLATION OF A CROSSWALK ON HOWARD ROAD AT TOWN & COUNTRY PARK

AND

DISCUSSION REGARDING THE METHODOLOGY CURRENTLY UTILIZED IN THE CONSIDERATION OF CROSSWALKS

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council review this report and provide feedback regarding the preferred location and approach for installation of a crosswalk on Howard Road at Lion's Town & Country Park.

Staff will also make a presentation on how proposed crosswalks are currently reviewed by the Engineering Department. Staff is seeking input into the current methodology. Depending on the outcome of this presentation, staff may return this to the City Council for the purposes of setting a formal written policy.

SUMMARY:

This staff report serves two functions. The primary intent is to allow Council to provide input regarding staff's proposed location for a new crosswalk on Howard Road at Shannon Avenue. This location was found to best serve the intent of providing access from the north side of Howard Road to the Lion's Town & Country Park on the south side.

The secondary intent is to discuss the methodology utilized in which staff was able to recommend installation of the Howard Road crosswalk with a reasonable basis to assert that it would perform its function of providing a safer crossing than that which existed prior to its installation.

Engineering

205 W. Fourth Street • Madera, CA 93637 • TEL (559) 661-5418 • FAX (559) 675-6605

www.madera-ca.gov

SITUATION:

Howard Road Crosswalk Location

The public has, for a number of years, expressed concern over the lack of a crosswalk on Howard Road at the intersection with Mainberry Drive that would provide access to the park during little league games and activities. It was hoped that with the construction of additional parking along Industrial Road that those concerns would be mitigated or at the very least minimized. While the parking on Industrial Road is fully utilized a handful of times during the year, Howard Road continues to be a preferred parking location for ball games and other events at the park. This is likely due to a number of reasons including 1) Convenience of Howard Road 2) Remoteness of Industrial and 3) the trip to Industrial Road requires a walk in darkness for many scheduled games.

Also, in recent years, staff has become aware of crossing concerns from residents living in the neighborhood on the north side of Howard Road who desire a safer crossing experience. In the last two years, there have been no reported accidents associated with this location.

Given the investment that the City would place in a crosswalk that serves the intent of providing a safer crossing, staff conducted a video survey of Howard Road to determine the location at which the highest number of pedestrians would make use of a crosswalk. The study times focused on the 4:30 PM to 8:30 PM time frame on a day in which league play was occurring. Currently, individuals and families parking on Howard Road are most likely to cross the street very close to where they park. For this reason, the survey reports crossings clustered near street alignments, rather than the number of pedestrians crossing at exact locations. The results of that survey are as follows:

	Mainberry Drive	Shannon Avenue	Hilton Street
Adult Pedestrians	13	57	37
School Age Pedestrians	0	14	8
Total	13	71	45

As seen in the table, the highest volume of pedestrian crossings is near Shannon Avenue. A crosswalk installed at this location would serve the higher demand crossing location (during game days) while requiring a minimal detour of 550 feet from Mainberry Drive or 300 feet from Hilton Street. It should be understood that while the detour may be minimal, installation of the crosswalk at Shannon Avenue may not result in a meaningful reduction of crossings at the other unmarked locations. Crossings at Hilton Street may represent such an example of this assertion. While a meaningful number of pedestrians chose to cross at the un-marked Hilton Street location, the protected crossing of Schnoor Avenue was 350 feet away. The presence of a crosswalk may not be sufficient to change existing behaviors whereby individuals exit their cars and immediately cross Howard Road without walking down the street to the crosswalk.

Based on the results of the survey, if a crosswalk is to be installed, staff recommends that it be constructed at Shannon Street.

Review of Crosswalk Requests

Requests for new crosswalk locations are a fairly common occurrence. The underlying requests are typically based in the concern or in many cases the fear that someone will eventually be hurt at the proposed location unless a crosswalk is installed. Occasionally, the basis for the request is related to a recent accident or an observed near miss. Unfortunately, statistics do not generally support the belief that a location will be safer following installation of a crosswalk. Upon receipt of


a request, it is incumbent on the City or more specifically the Engineering Department to determine the proper response. This response is based on a number of criteria that assist the engineer in selecting the proper response. That criterion includes:


- Number of travel lanes to be crossed
- Distance to nearest other crossing location
- Speed limit
- ADT (Average Daily Traffic) - the number of vehicles crossing the proposed crosswalk in an average day
- Accident History


The table below is an excerpt from a document entitled *Guidelines for the Installation of Marked Crosswalks* published by the Traffic Engineering Division of the Virginia Department of Transportation in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration. The table represents a tool used in determining the improvements associated with a new crosswalk if a crosswalk is determined to be appropriate for the location under review. It does not represent the sole determining factor in whether a crosswalk should be installed. It represents one resource to be considered. As seen in the table, the type of improvements depends on the combination of speed, ADT and number of lanes at the location under review. The improvements may vary from a standard crosswalk (no additional improvements) to a crosswalk that may include special markings and signage, flashing lights, pedestrian refuge or even protected pedestrian crossing signals where cars must stop at a red light.

Table 1. Recommendations for Considering Marked Crosswalks and Other Needed Pedestrian Improvements at Uncontrolled Locations^a

	≤ 9,000 ADT			> 9,000 ADT to ≤ 12,000 ADT			> 12,000 ADT to ≤ 15,000 ADT			> 15,000 ADT		
	≤ 30 mph	35 mph	≥ 40 mph	≤ 30 mph	35 mph	≥ 40 mph	≤ 30 mph	35 mph	≥ 40 mph	≤ 30 mph	35 mph	≥ 40 mph ^b
2 lanes												
3 lanes												
+ + 4 lanes, raised median ^c												
+ + 4 lanes, no median												

 **Candidate sites for marked crosswalks.** Marked crosswalks must be installed carefully and selectively. First, an engineering study is needed to determine whether the location is suitable for a marked crosswalk. For an engineering study, a site review may be sufficient at some locations, but a more in-depth study of pedestrian volume, vehicle speed, sight distance, vehicle mix, etc., may be needed at other sites. If the speed limit is less than or equal to 30 mph, use **Level 1** or **Level 2** devices. If the speed limit exceeds 30 mph, use **Level 2** devices. Refer to **Level 1 and Level 2 devices in the Special Treatments section.**

 **Probable candidate sites for marked crosswalks.** Pedestrian crash risk may increase if marked crosswalks are added without other pedestrian facility enhancements. Add **Level 3** or **Level 4** devices if feasible. Refer to **Level 3 and Level 4 devices in the Special Treatments section.**

 **Marked crosswalks alone are insufficient, since pedestrian crash risk may increase if only marked crosswalks are provided.** Consider using **Level 5** devices if feasible. If not feasible, use multiple treatments from **Level 2, Level 3, or Level 4** devices. Refer to **Level 5 devices in the Special Treatments section.**

For the Howard Road crossing, we have the following data:

- Number of Lanes – 4
- Distance to nearest other crossing location – Varies 930 to 1,500 feet depending on location
- Speed Limit – 35 miles per hour
- ADT – Approximately 7,500 (collected May 2015)
- Accident History - No reported accidents for two years

Based on the criteria above, the table would allow for installation of a crosswalk alone with what are considered high visibility devices. Meaning, any number of measures that would make the crosswalk more visible such as retroreflective paints, stamped concrete, special crosswalk patterns and signs. These and other measures would be considered suitable.

Because Howard Road is an arterial with significant potential for traffic increases and it will only take another 1,500 vehicles per day before other more extensive measures are considered necessary, staff elected to consider use of the next higher level of improvement which includes a device that is called a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB). These are pedestrian activated devices that provide for an irregular flash pattern that is similar to emergency flashers on police vehicles. One report showed installation of such devices have been shown to increase yielding to pedestrians from 18% (no RRFB) to 81% (with RRFB). RRFBs have been installed recently at other City locations. Though the guidelines described above do not indicate that the use of an RRFB at this location is essential in the near term, the enhanced visibility and driver awareness created by the mechanism would be an asset at this location.

Is a Methodology Needed?

Staff's experience and review over the course of several years has encountered numerous statistics that attempt to quantify the appropriateness of new crosswalks and their potential for providing a safer crossing of previously unmarked locations. In general, the statistics indicate that a marked crosswalk in many situations can expect a *higher* crash rate in comparison to an unmarked location. There seems to be no definitive consensus amongst traffic engineer's as to why this is the case, though one potential explanation is that pedestrians may be less vigilant of driver reactions and intent when using a crosswalk.

The exhibit on the following page from *Safety Effects of Marked Versus Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations* published by the US Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration illustrates crash rates for various types of crossings. For two lane roadways, the pedestrian crash rate is generally considered to be about equal for marked versus unmarked locations. For multi-lane streets with higher traffic counts, the data shows that the crash rate is higher where marked versus unmarked crosswalks exist. The difference in crash rates varies in significance, with the most significant variations occurring on streets where there are no raised medians. It should be noted that this exhibit does not include speed limit as a factor.

Howard Road was known to include a regular number of pedestrian crossings, especially during games. It was not shown to have an accident history. Also, there was no certainty a crosswalk would be used at the originally requested location of Mainberry Drive as the game day pedestrians do not all arrive at a location central to Mainberry Drive. While the Shannon Avenue crosswalk may be subject to the same concerns as Mainberry Drive relative to use, there are a significantly higher number of possible pedestrians near Shannon than Mainberry Drive. On this basis and the ability to provide a pedestrian refuge, staff was able to support a recommendation to install a new crosswalk on Howard Road at Shannon Avenue.




Figure 18. Pedestrian crash rate versus type of crossing.

In conclusion, a decision to install a crosswalk is not a simple or trivial one. As described herein, staff engaged in an informal methodology in which the Howard Road crosswalk location was analyzed for more than just an acknowledgement that pedestrians crossed what might be considered an unsafe location. Other factors were explored to find the location that best fit need and could best be improved to a point that the crosswalk does more than simply make the pedestrian feel safer. Ideally all proposed locations would be subject to a similar methodology. Depending on the location, reviews may be more or less involved than the one for Howard Road.

Staff is not at this time suggesting a need for a formal policy to be put in place with regard to approval of crosswalks. At present, staff is utilizing an informal methodology that it considers best practice for the review of proposed crosswalks. If Council wishes to provide feedback on how crosswalk proposals are evaluated, or if a formal policy is desired, input may be provided as part of the workshop or Council may direct that a follow up item be brought back for its consideration.


CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN:

Strategy 126 – Expand or develop programs to create clean, safe and aesthetically pleasing streets.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

There is no impact on the General Fund for installation of the Howard Road crosswalk though maintenance costs will have a financial impact on the City's Gas Tax Fund.

Item:	B-1
Minutes for:	10/07/15
City Adopted:	06/01/16
MPFA Item:	_____
MPFA Adopted:	_____



**MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING
OF THE MADERA CITY COUNCIL
And
A REGULAR MEETING OF THE
MADERA PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY
CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA**

**October 7, 2015
6:00 p.m.**

**Council Chambers
City Hall**

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor/Chairperson Poythress called to order at 6:00 p.m. the regular meeting of the Madera City Council and the regular meeting of the Madera Public Financing Authority for 10/07/15.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Mayor/Authority Chairperson Robert L. Poythress
Mayor Pro Tem/Authority Member William Oliver
Council/Authority Member Charles F. Rigby
Council/Authority Member Sally J. Bomprezzi
Council/Authority Member Andrew J. Medellin
Council Member/Authority Vice Chairperson Donald E. Holley
Council/Authority Member Derek O. Robinson Sr.

Others present were City Administrator David Tooley, City Attorney Brent Richardson, City Clerk Sonia Alvarez, Director of Community Development David Merchen, Director of Financial Services Tim Przybyla, City Engineer Keith Helmuth, Public Works Operations Director David Randall, Chief of Police Steve Frazier, Director of Parks and Community Services Mary Anne Seay, Director of Human Resources Wendy Silva, Chief Building Official Steve Woodworth, Information Services Manager Ted Uyesaka, Planning Manager Chris Boyle, and Commander Dino Lawson.

INVOCATION: Pastor David Votaw, Harvest Community Church

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Mayor Poythress led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

The first fifteen minutes of the meeting are reserved for members of the public to address the Council on items which are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Council. Speakers shall be limited to three minutes. Speakers will be asked to identify themselves and state the subject of their comment. If the subject is an item on the Agenda, the Mayor has the option of asking the speaker to hold the comment until that item is called. Comments on items listed as a Public Hearing on the Agenda should be held until the hearing is opened. The Council is prohibited by law from taking any action on matters discussed that are not on the Agenda, and no adverse conclusions should be drawn if the Council does not respond to public comment at this time.

Mayor/Chairperson Poythress opened the public comment for the two agencies. No comments were offered and Mayor Poythress closed public comment.

PRESENTATIONS Proclamation Recognizing Fire Prevention Week

Mayor Poythress announced that this is Fire Prevention Week for 2015. He commented that certainly, this is a time that they can honor firefighters. They should always do that and think about the great job that they do. Mayor Poythress stated that in this particular case, this is more about prevention. He noted that this is something that their friends at the Fire Department and Safety Services wish that we would take into consideration the importance of smoke alarms; that having a working smoke alarm has reduced the fire death rate in a reported fire in half, and that Madera City's responders are dedicated to reducing the occurrence of home fires and home injuries through prevention and protection education. He added that the proclamation also states that Madera City residents are responsive to the public education measures and are able to take personal steps to increase their safety from fire; therefore, those who use a smoke alarm have planned and practiced a home fire escape plan and are more prepared and will therefore be likely to survive a fire. Mayor Poythress added that the 2015 Fire Prevention Week Theme is called, Hear the Beep Where You Sleep, and it effectively serves to remind us all of the simple actions we can take to stay safer from fire during Fire Prevention Week the year round.

Mayor Poythress advised that Chief Nancy Koerperich is not here this evening. He noted that Chief Koerperich was involved in some kind of intense interviewing and so forth today, so she wasn't able to make it. Mayor Poythress handed the certificate to the City Clerk who will make sure that Chief Koerperich gets it. Mayor Poythress reminded everyone to listen to the beep.

INTRODUCTIONS

1. Richard Gonzales, Police Officer
2. Clay Hoover, Police Officer

Mayor Poythress stated that this is something that they all look forward to periodically. Mayor Poythress introduced Chief of Police Steve Frazier who will introduce the two new officers.

Chief of Police Steve Frazier stated this is an opportunity for him to take a shot at Fire. If they are not here it is because they are eating or sleeping. He commented that he needs to seize every opportunity that he can.

Chief Frazier stated that it is a great opportunity to be able to introduce two young men to them this evening. He advised that one of them is not so young but, it is always a pleasure when they can come forward and present new City employees to them and especially, from his perspective, in law enforcement.

Chief Frazier announced that this evening he is going to introduce Richard Gonzalez and Clay Hoover. He advised that Richard is the older one, not old by any means, but he is almost a 10 year veteran. He has worked with the Department of Corrections. He has worked with Madera Sheriff's Department. Chief Frazier noted that they tried to pick him up in 2007. They lost his application or something happened and he went to the SO (Sheriff's Office). He commented that most recently, Officer Gonzales has been assigned to their Special Investigations Unit (SIU) and has been doing a bang up job there but, what that does is that it exposed him to them. Chief Frazier advised that the SIU is housed in the PD (Police Department) so they had pretty much unfettered access to him so they convinced him that Madera PD was clearly the place to go. He noted that because Officer Gonzales is standing here in blue, he agrees. Chief Frazier added that Officer Gonzales has a great deal of experience, very seasoned officer, and they instantly are better with him onboard. Chief Frazier noted that Officer Gonzales will be out making that impact much sooner than Officer Hoover will.

Chief Frazier added, by way of explanation, that the Council has directed the City and the PD to create videos; they are creating videos. He introduced Officer Brett Prieto *[present and recording this evening]* who put together a couple of their videos and he is working on some other creations so they will see what happens.

Chief Frazier introduced Clay Hoover, the young man, behind him. He advised that Officer Hoover is a recent graduate from the academy. He graduated in July. He is a graduate of Fresno State, graduating with a Bachelor's degree. He added that it too has been Officer Hoover's lifelong dream to be a Madera Police Officer. Chief Frazier advised that is probably a little hedge there. He added that Officer Hoover comes from a family of law enforcement. His dad John was in law enforcement and he is sure that that dream started sometime as a child to be a police officer and now he is with arguably the best department in the State; not joking. Chief Frazier stated they are excited to have him onboard. As a brand new officer obviously, he has to go through a 15 week program. He comes with a great deal of knowledge. He has schooling behind him. They expect great things. Chief Frazier commented that a little earlier they were able to share some of his introductory steps but for the sake of brevity, he won't go into those things but needless to say, he has been indoctrinated into the Madera PD very well.

Chief Frazier stated that they are very pleased to have both of these gentlemen with them. He asked the officers if they would like to say anything to the Council and joked that 15 minutes should be...

Mayor Poythress agreed that this is traditional.

Officer Richard Gonzales greeted the Council and stated that once again, he would like to thank everybody for this opportunity. He added that the reason why he chose Madera PD is that he sees the vision where it is going now and how it is connecting with community. He sees the way law enforcement is going and he is happy to be a part of that. He looks forward to doing a good job for the City of Madera and serving its citizens. He added that he has a lot of family that lives in the City of Madera. He thanked them again for this opportunity.

Mayor Poythress asked Officer Gonzales if he has any family members here this evening and if so, that he introduce them.

Officer Gonzales introduced his family members present this evening: his wife Maria, his daughter Ava, another daughter Annie, in the burgundy is his mother Maria, another daughter Audrey, his mother-in-law in the back, and his two sisters Melissa and Priscilla.

Council welcomed Officer Gonzales' family.

Chief Frazier added that they know that in a household of women that Officer Gonzales has a great deal of patience.

Officer Clay Hoover greeted the Council and thanked them all for the opportunity of being here. He is very excited to start his career in law enforcement. He added, like the Chief was saying, it always has been a dream of his for Madera PD. He is very excited. He knows he is very young but he is willing to learn, and just ready to get out there.

Council welcomed Officer Hoover.

Officer Hoover introduced his family members present this evening: his girlfriend Giana, his mom Linda, his best friend Jeff, friends Matt and Garrett, and other family Jen, Matt and Cece. They are all with him today as well as his cousin Charlie, and his Aunt Denise.

Council welcomed all.

Mayor Poythress called on the City Clerk to make a late distribution announcement.

City Clerk Sonia Alvarez announced that pursuant to Government Code Section 54957, members of the public are advised that documents related to the following agenda item were distributed to the Council less than 72 hours before this meeting. Under Section B, Consent Calendar, Item B-7, subject matter is a

resolution approving an agreement with AECOM Technical Services. Ms. Alvarez advised that a revised resolution and agreement were distributed to the Council this evening. She added that extra copies are available at the podium for members of the public wishing a copy.

A. WORKSHOP

A-1 Quarterly Report by Mid Valley Disposal on Solid Waste Diversion (Report by Dave Randall and Presentation by Mid Valley Disposal)

Public Works Operations Director Dave Randall introduced Yvette Rodriguez and Annette Kwock from Mid Valley Disposal who will tell them a little bit about the quarterly report.

Yvette Rodriguez greeted the Council. Also with her tonight is Annette Kwock which many of them already know. They are here this evening to present the City's quarterly newsletter. She referred to the newsletter noting that many of them may have it in front of them. She explained that it is a Green Living Newsletter that provides recycling updates and it informs the City staff, and also members of the community that are sitting here this evening, about the progress and the efforts they are making to implement new recycling programs, and also the outreach during community outreach events. She advised that the newsletter in front of them is for the second quarter. She added that she will not go too much into detail about the information above *[on display]* but if they have any questions, they should feel free to ask them.

Ms. Rodriguez referred to the newsletter noting that this one specifically has some outreach with the schools such as John Adams Elementary. She noted that Earth is very important to the City of Madera. She advised that Annette Kwock was out in the community providing recycling education during the Madera Coalition event. They will also find business site visits. She noted that these are conducted daily but they will provide quarterly updates regarding businesses that are recycling and they are adding new services. They will find this type of information as well on a quarterly basis.

Ms. Rodriguez stated that more importantly too, are tonnages such as how well the City is doing with residential programs. They will find the diversion rates in the newsletter including the commercial sector as well. For this quarter, the residential diversion rate was 41% and the commercial sector was 8%. She commented that their goal is to always improve these numbers and with these programs they are pretty confident that they can do that.

Mr. Rodriguez advised that one specific program that she wanted to spend more time on is mandatory commercial recycling. She noted that AB 341 is the mandatory commercial law and it requires that businesses that produce four cubic yards or more of trash per week, it also includes multi-family and schools, that they need to implement recycling programs. She advised that Ms. Kwock is working towards making sure that one, businesses are aware of the law, and when a business does not have recycling implemented, then that is what they work to do. She added that Ms. Kwock is working with the business individually and implementing these types of programs; providing education to the employees. She advised that they are here to help so that means that if it takes going in on a separate day to provide education to the employees, maybe a short presentation, they will do exactly that.

For the schools, Ms. Rodriguez stated they want to be very involved. She noted that Ms. Kwock has already participated in some classroom presentations and she will continue to do so. They know that the kids, they get this information and they take it home and share it with their family so it is important to also be in the schools and implement school recycling programs as well.

Ms. Rodriguez stated that the multi-family are a little more challenging just because of the amount of people living in one community but, it does not deter them from trying and working with the managers onsite. They have done special programs where apartment managers will work with them to coordinate special events for the tenants, the family members. They come out and they have a nice evening and give a presentation that will inform young children but also, adults as well.

Ms. Rodriguez summarized that there is lots of information that will be provided for these quarterly updates. She really looks forward to being here and providing information, and any questions that the Council or members of the public might have for them.

Mayor Poythress thanked Ms. Rodriguez and asked if there are any questions for Ms. Rodriguez and/or Ms. Kwock. No questions were asked.

Mayor Poythress thanked them again for spending some time with them to provide this information.

B. CONSENT CALENDAR

- B-1 A. City Minutes – 5/20/15
 B. MPFA Minutes – 10/1/14, 5/6/15j
- B-2 Information Only – Warrant Disbursement Report
- B-3 Consideration of a Minute Order Consenting to a Substitution of Listed Subcontractor(s) by Frank Loduca, General Engineering Contractor for the City of Madera Laurel Street Bike Path Sunset Avenue to Fresno River Trail Federal Project No. CML 5157 (097) SJVAPCD Project No. C-28159-A, City Project No. PK-12 (Report by Keith Helmuth)
- B-4 Consideration of a Resolution Approving Amendment No. 3 to the Agreement with AECOM USA, Inc. for Design Services for Sewer and Storm Drain Projects (Report by Keith Helmuth)
- B-5 Consideration of a Resolution Approving Amendments to the Fiscal Year 2015-2016 Capital Projects Budget Appropriating Funds to Specified Accounts for Inclusion of Conduit for Future Lighting in the Laurel Bike Path Project (Report by Mary Anne Seay)
- B-6 Consideration of a Minute Order Accepting the Surface Seals at Various Streets City Project No. ST 14-08 and Authorizing Recording of the Notice of Completion (Report by Keith Helmuth)
- B-7 Consideration of a Resolution Approving Amendment No. 1 to Agreement with AECOM Technical Services, Inc. for Professional Engineering Services for the Installation of a New Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Compressor Project, Federal Project No. CML 5157 (085) (Report by Keith Helmuth)
- B-8 Consideration of a Resolution Approving an Agreement between the City of Kerman and the City of Madera for Firearms Training Range Use and Authorizing the Mayor to Sign the Agreement on Behalf of the City (Report by Steve Frazier)
- B-9 Consideration of a Resolution Approving the Program Supplement Agreement No. N051 and Authorizing the City Engineer to Execute the Program Supplement Agreement No. N051 for the Fresno River Trail, Schnoor Avenue to MID, North Bank Phase 1 Federal Project Number CML 5157 (098) (Report by Keith Helmuth)
- B-10 Consideration of a Resolution Approving the Program Supplement Agreement No. N052 and Authorizing the City Engineer to Execute the Program Supplement Agreement No. N052 for the Fresno River Trail between North-South Trail Behind Montecito Park and Granada Drive Phase II Federal Project Number CML 5157 (099) (Report by Keith Helmuth)

- B-11 Consideration of a Resolution Approving the Program Supplement Agreement No. N050 and Authorizing the City Engineer to Execute the Program Supplement Agreement No. N050 for the Intersection Improvements at Lake Street, Fourth Street, and Central Avenue Federal Project Number CML 5157 (102) (Report by Keith Helmuth)
- B-12 Consideration of a Resolution Approving an Agreement Between the City of Madera and ExecuTime Software, LLC, an Oklahoma Limited Liability Company, for the Purchase of Implementation Services, Training, Hardware and the Access to and Use of the ExecuTime Software Application, Authorizing the Mayor to Execute the Agreement on Behalf of the City, Authorizing the City Administrator or his Designee to Approve Proposals and Scopes of Work as Contemplated in the Agreement, and Approving Amendments to the City of Madera 2015/2016 Budget (Report by Tim Przybyla)
- B-13 Consideration of a Resolution Approving an Agreement with California State University, Fresno Foundation for Services Provided by the Office of Community and Economic Development to Produce and Deliver a Three-Part Bilingual (Spanish and English) Small Business Development Workshop and Provide Post Workshop Follow-up Consultations to Workshop Participants and Authorizing the Mayor to Execute the Agreement (Report by Ted Uyesaka)
- B-14 Consideration of a Minute Order of the Council of the City of Madera, California Authorizing the Acceptance of a National Insurance Crime Bureau Vehicle Donated to the Madera Police Department and Authorize the Chief of Police to Execute All Documents Necessary to Accept the Donation (Report by Steve Frazier)
- B-15 Consideration of a Resolution of the City Council of the City of Madera, California Authorizing the City Administrator and Finance Director to Engage Selected Parties for Professional Services Relating to the Issuance of Wastewater and Water Revenue Refunding Bonds (Report by Tim Przybyla)
- B-16 Consideration of a Resolution Approving a Medical Services Agreement with Concentra (Report by Wendy Silva)

Mayor/Chairperson Poythress moved on to the Consent Calendar and announced that there is one item that they will be pulling and that is item B-4 from the agenda. He added that the item will be brought back at a future meeting.

Mayor/Chairperson Poythress asked if there are any other items that a Councilperson/Authority Member would like to have pulled for further discussion or elaboration.

Council/Authority Member Holley stated he would like to ask a question on B-14.

Mayor/Chairperson Poythress asked if there are any others. No other requests were made and Mayor/Chairperson Poythress announced that he would accept a motion for action on the Consent Calendar other than item B-4 and B-14.

ON MOTION BY COUNCIL/AUTHORITY MEMBER BOMPRESZI, AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL/AUTHORITY MEMBER ROBINSON, THE CONSENT CALENDAR, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ITEMS B-4 AND B-14, WAS ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 7-0.

RES. NO. 15-198 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO THE FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET APPROPRIATING FUNDS TO SPECIFIED

ACCOUNTS FOR INCLUSION OF FUTURE LIGHTING IN THE LAUREL BIKE PATH PROJECT

- RES. NO. 15-199** **A RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO AGREEMENT WITH AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC. FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE INSTALLATION OF A NEW COMPRESSED NATURAL GAS (CNG) COMPRESSOR PROJECT**
- RES. NO. 15-200** **A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF KERMAN AND THE CITY OF MADERA FOR FIREARMS TRAINING RANGE USE AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY**
- RES. NO. 15-201** **A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT AGREEMENT NO. N051 AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ENGINEER TO EXECUTE THE PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT AGREEMENT NO. N051 FOR THE FRESNO RIVER TRAIL, SCHNOOR AVE TO MID, NORTH BANK PHASE I FEDERAL PROJECT NUMBER CML 5157 (098)**
- RES. NO. 15-202** **A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA APPROVING THE PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT AGREEMENT NO. N052 AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ENGINEER TO EXECUTE THE PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT AGREEMENT NO. N052 FOR THE FRESNO RIVER TRAIL BETWEEN NORTH-SOUTH TRAIL BEHIND MONTECITO PARK AND GRANADA DRIVE PHASE II FEDERAL PROJECT NUMBER CML 5157 (099)**
- RES. NO. 15-203** **A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA APPROVING THE PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT AGREEMENT NO. N050 AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ENGINEER TO EXECUTE THE PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT AGREEMENT NO. N050 FOR THE INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS AT LAKE STREET, FOURTH STREET, AND CENTRAL AVENUE FEDERAL PROJECT NUMBER CML 5157 (102)**
- RES. NO. 15-204** **A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA APPROVING AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF MADERA AND EXECUTIME SOFTWARE, LLC, AN OKLAHOMA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO APPROVE PROPOSALS AND SCOPES OF WORK AS CONTEMPLATED IN THE AGREEMENT AND APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO THE CITY OF MADERA 2015/2016 BUDGET**
- RES. NO. 15-205** **A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO FOUNDATION FOR SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE OFFICE OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT TO PRODUCE AND DELIVER A THREE-PART BILINGUAL (SPANISH AND ENGLISH) SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT WORKSHOP AND PROVIDE POST WORKSHOP FOLLOW-UP CONSULTATIONS TO WORKSHOP PARTICIPANTS AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT**
- RES. NO. 15-206** **RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR AND FINANCE**

DIRECTOR TO ENGAGE SELECTED PARTIES FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RELATING TO THE ISSUANCE OF WASTEWATER AND WATER REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS

RES. NO. 15-207 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA APPROVING A MEDICAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH CONCENTRA

Mayor Poythress called item B-14 for discussion.

B-14 Consideration of a Minute Order of the Council of the City of Madera, California Authorizing the Acceptance of a National Insurance Crime Bureau Vehicle Donated to the Madera Police Department and Authorize the Chief of Police to Execute All Documents Necessary to Accept the Donation

Council Member Holley asked if this is for donating a car to the Police Department.

Chief of Police Steve Frazier replied that since 2008, the Madera Police Department has utilized National Insurance Crime Bureau Vehicles (NICV) to outfit their Special Investigations Unit. He added that typically those vehicles come at a \$1.00 lease cost. He explained that this particular vehicle is apparently surplus to NICV and they have offered that vehicle to the Police Department for their use. He advised that the process tonight is looking to accept that vehicle so that they can put it into service.

Mayor Poythress stated that it seems that was the only question and he would accept a motion for action.

ON MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLEY, AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MEDELLIN, ITEM B-14, A MINUTE ORDER OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA AUTHORIZING THE ACCEPTANCE OF A NATIONAL INSURANCE CRIME BUREAU VEHICLE DONATED TO THE MADERA POLICE DEPARTMENT AND AUTHORIZE THE CHIEF OF POLICE TO EXECUTE ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCEPT THE DONATION, WAS ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 7-0.

C. HEARINGS, PETITIONS, BIDS, RESOLUTIONS, ORDINANCES, AND AGREEMENTS

C-1 Public Hearing and Consideration of a Resolution of the City Council Confirming Special Assessments for Delinquent Administrative Fines

City Attorney Brent Richardson stated before he begins discussion on this item, they are going to revise the exhibit to strike the property at 2725 Monocott because they paid. He added that beyond that there are three remaining properties on that exhibit that all have fines due and owing to the City that have not been paid. They are just seeking confirmation to be able to place a lien against the properties for the amount due.

Mayor Poythress asked if there are any questions for Mr. Richardson before they open up the public hearing. No questions were asked.

Mayor Poythress opened the public hearing and asked if there are any members of the public who would like to address the Council on this item.

Rick Markley, residing in Oakhurst, California, stated he had given a letter to the Clerk to distribute to all the Council Members. He asked if they had seen it.

Mayor Poythress replied yes.

Mr. Markley noted that everybody has seen it and read it. He stated that today the City is going to decide if they are going to impose a special assessment Section 1-9.11 against his property. He noted that when the City came up with a vacant house registration ordinance, he is sure they had good intentions but, the unintended consequences of forcing a property owner to register a property that was being remodeled and had a valid permit to do so doesn't seem right. He stated this is not fair especially since they are talking \$450 which he receives absolutely nothing; no benefit to the property owner whatsoever. He added that here he is trying to beautify the home and he gets a financial roadblock thrown in his way. He has since paid the City \$100 and he is asking the matter be completely dropped and that the City accept his \$100 as payment in full for this matter. He thanked the Council.

Mayor Poythress thanked Mr. Markley.

Mayor Poythress asked if there are any other members of the public who would like to address the Council during public hearing time. No other requests were made and Mayor Poythress closed the public hearing and brought the item back for action.

Council Member Medellin asked Viola Rodriguez, Neighborhood Preservation Supervisor, to shed a little light on the particular situation.

Viola Rodriguez, Neighborhood Preservation Supervisor, stated this was a property where they are talking about the vacant building ordinance. She explained that it addresses homes that are in blighted conditions or if they are in a substandard condition where the owner is not doing anything to bring their property up to standards leaving it open for vandals to break into the home. She advised that they have a vacant building ordinance that they use to address those types of issues. She noted that in this particular case there was, she believes, a building permit that was taken but, it was after the fact and during the time that they were enforcing this ordinance, part of the ordinance is to register the property. She noted that did not happen. She added that the matter was heard at a hearing between the City as well as the homeowner. It was discussed at that time and the matter was left up to the hearing officer to make a decision on it and at that time, based on the facts that were presented, he upheld the matter.

Council Member Medellin noted then that Mr. Markley was notified obviously in time and he didn't apply for the permit until after he was notified of the violation.

Mr. Rodriguez replied yes.

Council Member Medellin stated those are all the questions he had.

Mayor Poythress asked if there are any other questions or comments. No other questions or comments were made and Mayor Poythress announced that he would accept a motion for action.

ON MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER MEDELLIN, AND SECONDED BY MAYOR PRO TEM OLIVER, ITEM C-1, RES. NO. 15-208, WAS ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 7-0.

**RES. NO. 15-208 RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA
CONFIRMING SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS FOR DELINQUENT
ADMINISTRATIVE FINES**

C-2 A. Consideration of a Resolution of the City Council of the City of Madera Authorizing Execution of a Second Supplemental Installment Sale Agreement for the Wastewater System, A Third Supplemental Installment Sale Agreement for the Water System with the Madera Public Financing Authority, in Connection with the Refunding of the Authority's Outstanding Water and Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2006 (City)

B. Consideration of a Resolution of the Board of the Madera Public Financing Authority Authorizing the Issuance of Madera Public Financing Authority Wastewater Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2015 and the Execution and Delivery of a Trust Agreement and a Second Supplemental Installment Sale Agreement Related Thereto and Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2015 and the Execution and Delivery of a Trust Agreement and A Third Supplemental Installment Sale Agreement Related Thereto, and Delegating to the Chairperson of the Authority the Power to Authorize the Sale of Said Bonds and to Take Certain Related Actions in Connection Therewith (MPFA)

Director of Financial Services Tim Przybyla introduced Ken Dieker who is one of their financial advisors, also referred to as their Municipal Advisor. He commented that as they will recall, back in the early Spring he believes it was, they began the process of refunding certain wastewater bonds and then issuing new bonds to replace those. Since then, they found a quicker method through direct placement to place these bonds and save additional dollars. He advised that at this point, they are looking at approximately \$3 million of savings by the actions that they will be taking here tonight and he will let Mr. Dieker present more information to them at this time.

Ken Dieker stated he is with Del Rio Advisors and added that his voice carries so hopefully, they can hear him no problem without the mic. He noted that with him is his Co-Municipal Advisor John Phan from Urban Futures as well. Mr. Dieker advised that he wants to talk just briefly about the refunding opportunity. He commented that in 2006, the Madera Public Financing Authority (MPFA) issued \$35.995 million of water and wastewater bonds split into two pieces primarily to fund wastewater projects in the initial amount of \$33.485 million and water projects at just over \$2.5 million. He noted that there is about \$28.4 million remaining on the wastewater bonds and just over \$2 million remaining on the water bonds. He stated that the final maturity is March 1 of 2036. He explained that in the normal municipal bond world generally, they would set aside a reserve fund held as cash with the trustee that would be used in the event of default or a lack of missed payment. He commented that in this case, that was funded with an insurance policy back when the 2006 bonds were done so there is no cash sitting in that account currently. He added that the call date on these bonds is March 1, 2016 at par, meaning no pre-payment penalty, and there is a 30-day notice period. He noted that the average interest rate on the outstanding bonds is about 4.72%. He explained that the refunding opportunity is to refund these for economic savings to each of the wastewater and water systems. They are proposing tonight, as Mr. Przybyla mentioned at the introduction, two private placement transactions to TPB Investments, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Western Alliance Bank. He advised that Western Alliance Bank is a big player of municipal finance tax exempt financing. He noted that they are one of the few players in this world that will do a 20-year tax exempt financing. He added that they did contact several other firms and most of them declined because this is a 20-year bond remaining but, they did get interest from a couple of different parties. He noted that they are planning to keep the term the same as the existing bonds so they are not extending the maturity. They are not doing anything. They are simply refunding for economic savings.

Mr. Dieker commented, as Mr. Przybyla mentioned, that the estimated total savings, on the base case scenario which is the first one on the list, is about \$3.2 million in total savings, averaging about \$154,000 a year, with net present value savings just shy of \$2.3 million or 7.33%. He stated that generally, the rule of thumb is net present value savings in excess of 3% is often considered worthwhile to move forward on so, they are far in excess of the standard 3%. Mr. Dieker noted that one of the things that has been mentioned in the staff report, as they drafted it, was that there is the opportunity with Western Alliance to lock the rate. They could lock the rate today. They are not planning to close this financing until December 2nd. They have to do it within 90 days of the call date of March 1. They do have some time assuming the Board and the Council will approve it tonight. He noted that there is some exposure between now and the time the interest rate will be locked the first week of December. He advised that Western Alliance says that they will hold the rate for them for 14 days with no cost so that is what they are calling the base case scenario which is the top one on the list. He added that they do offer a 30-day rate lock and the cost of that is about

\$37,000 or about 12 basis points paid up front. He advised that reduces their savings to \$3.18 million; reduces the annual savings by about \$3,000 a year. What he wanted to point out here is that the savings are still in excess of 7% but, he did calculate the breakeven rate for the cost of that rate lock. He noted that even though they are paying \$37,000, if the interest rate moves from the current 3.69% as estimated, and goes up to say 3.71%, they would actually be better off having paid for the rate lock. Mr. Dieker added that the same thing holds true for the 45-day rate lock and they are actually within the 60-day period now so probably, the most likely candidate, should the Board and the Council give them direction to lock the rate, would probably be the 45-day rate lock.

Mr. Dieker advised that he can't tell them where interest rates are going to go. He wished he could. He would be a very wealthy man but, at the end of the day, there is a lot of volatility right now with huge moves in the stock market, recession, everything else going on it is sort of the bird in hand theory; they've got a great refunding opportunity here to save over \$150,000 a year. He noted that it kind of makes sense to lock it in and they can work on the documents between now and the December closing date. He advised that one of the documents before them is an interest rate lock agreement that was in their Council package.

Mr. Dieker stated that he did do a quick analysis also of how it is broken out between the wastewater and water funds. He advised that the wastewater fund, because it is the larger of the two transactions, saves just over \$3 million. If they do the 45-day rate lock, it is just under \$3 million or about \$140,000 a year. He restated, NPV (net present value) savings in excess of 7%. If they do the water refunding it is a much smaller transaction so the savings are much less in real dollars however, it is still over \$212,000 or just over \$10,000 a year; again, over 7% net present value savings.

Mr. Dieker referred to the tentative schedule, as he mentioned, obviously, they are at the Council/Authority meeting tonight. They would try to execute the rate lock, at their direction, as soon as possible if that is the Board and the Council's direction. They would have document execution in the month of November. They would close the transaction on December 2nd and the prior bonds would be called and retired officially on March 1, 2016.

Mr. Dieker referred to the financing team members. They have bond counsel Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe. They have done a number of transactions over the years with the City. They are a well-respected firm. They have co-placement agents Stifel, Nicolaus & Co. and First Southwest. He advised, as Mr. Przybyla mentioned, they did look at this initially as a public offering to the market place, which is a much longer, more costly, expensive process to hit the market, and a lot more exposure to interest rate woes so, they were originally hired as the underwriters and the reason that they came back with the consent item was to change their role from underwriter to placement agent for the securities. Also, there is himself, Del Rio Advisors and Urban Futures, Co-Municipal Advisors, Western Alliance Bank under their TPV Investments who is the lender. He noted that the escrow agent is still to be determined.

Mr. Dieker advised that he just wanted to give them an idea of what interest has looked like over the last 10 years. He referred to the display and pointed out the section which really represents the great recession in 08/09 into 2010 and then the long downward trend in interest rates. He noted that the new lows were actually reached in December of 2012, January of 2015 and August of this year so, they are just off the all-time low interest rate for what is called the bond buyer revenue bond index. He explained that it is a basket of revenue bonds tracked by their daily magazine called the Bond Buyer. He noted that it kind of gives them an idea that they are at the very low interest rate curve. They may not have hit the exact bottom but they are pretty close to it which is why he thinks, it makes sense to lock the rate today.

Mr. Dieker added some final thoughts. He restated that again, they are near all-time low interest rates. They are very exposed right now to any of a number of economic issues such as European and Chinese recessions, municipal yields that generally follow the treasury, lower in the past two weeks with the stock market going down substantially. He added that people have bought into the treasury market which has driven yields down. They are taking advantage of that with the current interest rate. He added that there is less market risk with the private placement because again, they have the ability to lock in this rate and it

has a much lower cost of issuance than going through the full public offering process so, they don't have to pay for rating fees. They don't have to pay for costs to insure the transaction. He noted that there is no official statement which is the marketing document of the public offered scenario even though it may provide lower interest rates, it is more than offset by the fact that they have to pay a lot higher costs to get that transaction to the market place. He restated that there are significant economic savings for both the wastewater and the water system and they believe, that time is of the essence here to take advantage of this opportunity. Mr. Dieker advised that with that, they are here to answer any questions that the Council/Authority may have.

Mayor/Chairperson Poythress asked if there are any questions for Mr. Dieker and thanked him for a great presentation. He noted this is a great opportunity. No questions were asked.

City Administrator David Tooley thanked Mr. Dieker and his team for this great work. Mr. Tooley advised that Mr. Dieker began his relationship with the City when they were looking at a refinancing on the Golf Course. He noted that the numbers didn't make sense and Mr. Dieker had the integrity to come in and say, there is not a deal to be made here. He knew from day one that Mr. Dieker was a straight shooter and he has been an outstanding representative for the City. Mr. Tooley directed his comment to Mr. Dieker and stated that he just wanted to recognize his work on the City's behalf.

Mayor/Chairperson Poythress also thanked Mr. Dieker and added that it is always nice to know that there are guys out there like him looking at the best interest of their clients. Mayor Poythress added that this shows that integrity brings business and opportunity.

Mayor/Chairperson Poythress stated they probably want to have discussion and direction regarding the rate lock. He asked if that is not part of the action. He knows Mr. Dieker said something about direction.

Mr. Dieker responded that in their package tonight there is an interest rate lock agreement that was part of the package. What they are doing tonight, upon their approval, would be to authorize staff to move forward on that rate lock.

Mayor/Chairperson Poythress noted then that is actually part of the action.

Mr. Przybyla stated that doesn't give direction to staff as to when to lock in but, to answer the Mayor's question, they are planning on locking in right away.

Council/Authority Member Medellin noted that the sooner the better it sounds like. They are almost at an all-time low; it makes sense.

Mayor Poythress called for action on Item C-2A.

ON MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLEY, AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER ROBINSON, ITEM C-2A, RES. NO. 15-209, WAS ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 7-0.

**RES. NO. 15-209 RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA
AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL INSTALLMENT
SALE AGREEMENT FOR THE WASTEWATER SYSTEM, A THIRD
SUPPLEMENTAL INSTALLMENT SALE AGREEMENT FOR THE WATER
SYSTEM WITH THE MADERA PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY, IN
CONNECTION WITH THE REFUNDING OF THE AUTHORITY'S
OUTSTANDING WATER AND WASTEWATER REVENUE BONDS, SERIES
2006**

Chairperson Poythress called for action on item C-2B.

ON MOTION BY AUTHORITY MEMBER BOMPRESZI, AND SECONDED BY AUTHORITY MEMBER OLIVER, ITEM C-2B, MPFA 15-03, WAS ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 7-0.

MPFA 15-03 RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF THE MADERA PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF MADERA PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY WASTEWATER REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2015 AND THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF A TRUST AGREEMENT AND A SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL INSTALLMENT SALE AGREEMENT RELATED THERETO AND WATER REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2015 AND THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF A TRUST AGREEMENT AND A THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL INSTALLMENT SALE AGREEMENT RELATED THERETO, AND DELEGATING TO THE CHAIRPERSON OF THE AUTHORITY THE POWER TO AUTHORIZE THE SALE OF SAID BONDS AND TAKE CERTAIN RELATED ACTIONS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH

D. WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS

D-1 Consideration of a Written Request by the Madera High School Seeking Council Approval to Waive Permit Fees and Cover the Cost of Police and Public Works Efforts in Association with Their Homecoming Parade

Chief of Police Steve Frazier reported that about a month back, they came with Madera South High School's request to have a waiver of permit fees and asking the City to cover the costs of Public Works and Police. He advised that this particular report is Madera High School asking for the same thing. He noted again, as the report indicates, typically, Council views this as a community activity and their action will decide whether it is or it is not fee free.

Mayor Poythress commented that if there are no questions, he will accept a motion for action.

ON MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER BOMPRESZI, AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER RIGBY, THE WRITTEN REQUEST BY THE MADERA HIGH SCHOOL SEEKING COUNCIL APPROVAL TO WAIVE PERMIT FEES AND COVER THE COST OF POLICE AND PUBLIC WORKS EFFORTS IN ASSOCIATION WITH THEIR HOMECOMING PARADE, WAS ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 7-0.

D-2 Written Communication from Tom DaSilva Regarding Mid Valley Disposal Exclusive Franchise for Construction and Demolition Debris

Tom DaSilva thanked the Council for the opportunity to address them. He commented that a couple of weeks ago he got a letter from the Public Works Director that stated that Mid Valley Disposal had been granted an exclusive franchise to haul construction and demolition debris within the City limits. His understanding from the letter was that they were the only ones that could haul construction and demolition debris within the City limits. He advised that he emailed the Council his concern. He hopes they read it. He asked if there is any leeway in that. Mr. DaSilva advised that they have two trucks that are dedicated to hauling construction and demolition debris and they also have a demolition contractor's license. What he wants to know is if a citizen in the City limits calls Lee's Concrete and asks them to haul construction or demolition debris and dump, are they allowed to do that.

Mayor Poythress thanked Mr. DaSilva for that question. He asked Dave Randall, Public Works Operations Director, to answer that question and provide some background and detail.

Dave Randall, Public Works Operations Director, replied that the construction and demolition (C&D) provision does call for construction debris over eight yards to be hauled as that type of debris. He added

that even under eight yards, it is still debris that has to go through their franchise hauler however, the State has an exemption called Self Haulers. He noted that if they meet that criteria they can do that and Lee's Concrete very well often, could make that. He advised that if they are licensed as a demolition contractor demolishing a house or an old shed, or whatever, and they are hauling it with their own equipment and their own employees, they are considered a Self Hauler and they are exempt. But, if they are hauling somebody else's material where somebody else has taken down or done construction, then they are not exempt; they are simply hauling and that is where the franchise agreement comes into place. He added that even those people who are exempt, they still need to report their figures on diversion to the City so that they can meet the State goals and to understand how much is going to the landfill and how much is being recycled.

Mayor Poythress stated, in the case of Lee's Concrete, it would be a situation where it would be a job that they are general on.

Mr. Randall agreed and noted that they would have to have generated the debris. He added that another example where this can happen is if you are roofer and you are going to reroof somebody's home. He advised that the statute says eight cubic yards or 1,000 square feet of material. He explained that if you rip off your roof and you are going to haul it away with your equipment, your employees, you are exempt. He noted that they still need to file the paperwork but, they would be exempt from. He added that if somebody else wants to come and haul that or if they need to rent equipment to haul it then, they are not exempt. He stated that if you are self-contained, you are on your own, it is your business, you are doing what your specialty is, you are exempt. He restated that is a State statute.

Council Member Medellin commented that then, they wouldn't be considered a subcontractor if a roofer was going to do the work and there was some cement work to be hauled away, and since I am in the roofing business, but I want to call Lee's Concrete as a subcontractor...

Mr. Randall replied that then you are in something else. He explained that the roofing material is his, he is generating the debris, he can haul it. If he is going to add things to it...

Council Member Medellin stated and vice-versa.

Mr. Randall agreed and added that they remember, say that it was some debris left on the site, because you know, we all have some of that stuff laying around the house, and they want to get rid of it at the same time, that is just debris but they do have a hauler. They have the same hauler that would be happy to do that so that hauler can take the debris. He added that besides the normal pickup, they have bin services and other services. He stated that it can be done but, it can't be done under the auspices as a Self Hauler if they didn't generate that. He noted that sometimes it is a bit of distinction. For example, if he has Lee's Concrete come down and tear down the house, that most certainly is a separate thing. Now, if somebody comes in and builds, that is separate; maybe it is just a room, right. If that person doesn't generate eight cubic yards, they may not be subject to doing the permit but, they still have to have their material removed and that has to be by the City's franchise hauler.

Mr. DaSilva stated this brings up some more questions. He noted that there are a number of cases that come up where an individual that generates the debris does not have the equipment to haul the debris and what the City is saying is that Lee's Concrete is now excluded from the opportunity to make money hauling that debris through the City's franchise agreement. He commented that it sounds to him like it is a taking of one of his... He advised that they get something straight. They don't do a lot of this but, he doesn't expect that Mid Valley does that much of it either. He doesn't see their bins out anywhere. When he talked to Mr. Tooley he said that the City Council is going to want to know the numbers. Mr. DaSilva noted that it is a very small fraction of their business so mostly it is a principle issue that the City Council can make a franchise agreement and exclude another business from part of their work. He doesn't understand how that is possible. Like he said to Mr. Tooley, there is no way he can go to the City and say, I will sell you concrete for \$30 a yard as long as they write a City code that says, everybody in the City of Madera has to buy concrete from Lee's Concrete; that is not going to work. Mr. DaSilva stated that what he thought the

code meant, it actually means. It means that Mid Valley has now a monopoly over individual citizens' debris hauling. He gave the example if he is this guy that tears down his shed in his backyard, he can't call Lee's Concrete to haul it. He has to call Mid Valley. That is what he understands. He commented that the City Council did this at a September meeting.

Mayor Poythress stated that is correct.

Mr. DaSilva asked what the considerations were. Was it that the dump was getting paint cans and stuff like that from outside haulers?

Mayor Poythress advised that he thinks Mr. Randall is the appropriate one to answer this because they are talking about some diversion and so forth.

Mr. Randall replied that there are two purposes in the C&D franchise agreement. First, the most principle thing is to try to get better records of the activity. They have a very poor track record of people recording. Over the last three years they had a total of five reports. They know there has been a little more than that going on in the last five years. He added that by having a single party hopefully, it will be a little more consistent and they will have better diversion records. They will be able to continue to maintain their State goals, etc. He noted that part of it is that it is just more efficient. The other part is that there was a financial benefit to doing that way. He doesn't remember exactly but, the consideration is a percentage of their C&D and bin service. He advised that it was in the neighborhood of, guesstimating, around \$70,000. He noted that it goes to help the overall solid waste fund. It doesn't go anyplace else. It doesn't go to libraries or the County or anyplace else. He repeated that it stays in the solid waste fund so it does help the community and ratepayers at large to maintain the rates lower.

Mayor Poythress commented that in the back he sees Mr. Kalpakoff from Mid Valley and asked if there is anything he would like to weigh in on at this time in regards to the agreement. He advised he should feel free to do so.

Joseph Kalpakoff with Mid Valley Disposal stated that exclusive franchise agreements are already here in Madera County. He noted that Madera County has an exclusive franchise agreement on roll off construction in the entire County. He added that the City of Chowchilla has an exclusive roll off franchise C&D model so the entire County, it is a pretty common practice. He noted that 26 out of 27 of his municipalities all have a provision for exclusive roll off C&D and solid waste. He stated there are a lot of reasons for it and there absolutely is a benefit for self-hauls. He noted that if the general contractor owns the equipment, they can self-haul the material out of that jobsite. He advised that the biggest issue they have seen, and it happens with construction is that construction goes up and down. He noted that in the last couple of years there have been a lot of projects throughout the valley. As they are seeing a little tick up in the C&D market, they will call it, if there is not a way to track that material, there is a State law that says you have to divert 50% from every job site and you have to report on that 50%. He gave them a general idea of how much a C&D project can generate versus what they are doing every single day in the City of Madera. He noted that they have their tonnage, their Green Living worksheet. He suggested they just look at the commercial, the businesses in town. They recycle 100 tons a month. On the residential side it is roughly 230/240 tons per month. He noted that is 12,000 homes. They can have one C&D project in a 30-day period generate 500 tons. He noted that goes right to the dump, right? So everything that they work hard on for months, in the 12,000 residential customers that they are encouraging to use the three carts, has just been bypassed by one construction project. He restated, everything went to the dump. He advised that under the exclusive franchise, the C&D, everything goes back to their C&D facility in the City of Kerman. They are guaranteeing the City 50% diversion on that tonnage. He noted that they no longer have to worry about it, where did that material go? They tore down a house or they developed a new 20 home subdivision. He asked, what happened to that material? Did 300 tons go to the landfill and everything that they worked hard on, Ivette and Annette (Mid Valley staff), educating the public, the outreach? All those dollars they spent just went out the window because a construction project went to the dump instead of to a C&D processing facility. He noted that is the key that is going to help the City get to the 75% level.

They've got 2020, 75% for the City. He added that these are tough goals. It is a lot for them. He noted that there are a lot more programs coming down the pipe. He advised that this is just one of them. It keeps track. He noted that Mid Valley is responsible now to report and recycle. They provide the City with a report every month on the diversion that happened. He advised that if they can do some business, they would love to do it. He added that for concrete recycling, directing his comment to Mr. DaSilva, he would love to sit down with him and see if there is something they can...Mr. DaSilva can continue to self-haul on his projects that he is the general contractor on. He advised that they need to make sure that there are weight tickets and the 50%. He noted that is the main goal.

Mayor Poythress thanked Mr. Kalpakoff.

Mr. DaSilva stated he understands all that but, what he is saying is that they've taken the option from a citizen of Madera away from calling them to haul. For example, they haul for Producer's Livestock, noting he doesn't think they are in the City, but they had a big trash pile and they loaded it up and hauled it straight to the dump just like Mr. Kalpakoff said so it didn't go to a diversion facility. He added that apparently, if they were in the City of Madera, that is not allowed anymore. They would not be able to do that. His question is, he has a truck that he has licensed for however many years, two trucks actually, that are just dedicated to hauling construction debris and he guesses he just turns it over, huh? Just give up.

Mayor Poythress commented unless there are other uses for them.

Mr. DaSilva replied that they are dedicated for those.

Mayor Poythress advised that if Mr. DaSilva has any other questions, they would be happy to answer but he can't tell him what to do with the trucks.

Mr. DaSilva asked if there is a law in the State that allows the City to offer an exclusive franchise to a business like that and only in the construction and demolition.

City Attorney Brent Richardson replied that as to solid waste, the Government Code specifically provides for cities to be able to do that, offer exclusive franchises with or without bidding. He restated that there is a State law that says they can do that.

Mr. DaSilva advised that is all he has.

Mr. Poythress thanked Mr. DaSilva.

E. ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

E-1 Informational Report on Participation in a Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan Update with Madera County

Director of Human Resources Wendy Silva stated that in 2000, the Stafford Act was amended by Congress to emphasize the need for State, Tribal, and local governments to work together on hazard mitigation and disaster planning regarding natural disasters; things that they could hopefully potentially prevent. Ms. Silva advised that the plans may be prepared by individual entities or can be prepared by groups of entities as multi-jurisdictional plans. She added that their current plan was adopted in 2011. It was a multi-jurisdictional plan with Madera County, the Madera County Office of Education, and the North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians. She noted that it is a very lovely document just shy of 350 pages. She added that if they would like to refresh their memory, if they don't recall adopting that in 2011, she would be more than happy to provide them a copy. She noted that there are some nice charts and graphs in it.

Ms. Silva advised that the plan is required to be updated every five years so their next update is due in 2016. She added that the Sheriff's Department with Madera County has applied for grant funds under

FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) to complete the update using a consultant. She noted that they are estimating that it is going to cost approximately \$150,000. She stated that the grant will fund 75% with the 25% local match. At this time the County, obviously since they applied, has committed to participating. She added that the City of Chowchilla would like to participate this time and with the City of Madera, that would make three entities in the multi-jurisdictional plan. She advised that dividing that 25% they would each be in the pot for \$12,500 which, for the type of document and the time going in, is a pretty reasonable price to get the update done. She added that participation will also require staff time. At this point, they have identified that there will be some monthly meetings ongoing and then there will be some specific meetings with the consultant to go through all of the analysis. She noted that it will mostly impact the Human Resources Department, Engineering, Public Works and then Police and Fire. They will be the major players in the update. She added that they do have funds in the adopted budget that they can use to pay their \$12,500 match so they are there on that aspect and they don't need to do any amendments to the budget or look for funds everywhere else. At this point, staff is planning on moving forward with working with the County, the City of Chowchilla, to do the multi-jurisdictional plan update. She offered to answer any questions.

Mayor Poythress commented that it is a vital thing and they have to have it. They never know when that is going to occur. Since there were no other questions, Mayor Poythress thanked Ms. Silva for the report.

E-2 Weekly Water Conservation Reports

Public Works Operations Director Dave Randall stated that once again, if they have seen the staff report, the trend has continued. However, it appears that they have sort of bottomed out. He advised that two weeks ago, their weekly conservation was down to unfortunately, 20% with 28% being their goal and that is the lowest they have ever been. He added that the following week it went up to 25%, and this previous week, which they didn't get to publish, they are just slightly at one-tenth of a percent off so still at 25%. He noted that is sort of what they expected given the seasonality and other things. He added that they may have read the recent article in the Bee about the same trend. It did sort of point out that the City didn't make its goals for the month however, on a cumulative basis, they are still making those goals. They are at 29% out of 28%. He commented that they have realized that they have to re-double some of their efforts. He noted that obviously, the way they make this is primarily through education, enforcement, and compliance with some of the regulatory requirements they have. They don't anticipate finding themselves in problem areas as long as they continue to get the word out to people, provide the incentives they have through rebates and continue to work on those, and do those things that are reasonable and hopefully they will be able to. He noted that the only other option they have would be additional regulation if they chose to go to one day or no watering days. At this point, they haven't brought that back to Council. They feel they want to sort of watch the trend and see what goes on, and continue particularly with the incentives and the education to try to meet the goals. Mr. Randall offered to answer any questions.

Mayor Poythress asked if there are any questions for Mr. Randall.

Council Member Medellin stated that this last Sunday's Fresno Bee article regarding the City of Clovis, they are nowhere near their conservation effort which, puts their council in a little bit of a bind so he appreciates the efforts of this Council and, their outreach and education efforts to be where they are. That makes their options a whole lot easier. He thanked Mr. Randall for his diligence and staff. He thinks getting the word out there has been very, very well. Council Member Medellin asked if there is any report on people taking advantage of other cost saving measures with low flow.

Mr. Randall replied no. They are still seeing a lot of interest in the turf replacement particularly with the State's subsidy makes it a little more attractive. He advised that they had anticipated a lot more participation after the fair. He noted that a lot of people said they were interested but they probably were also interested in the spa they saw and a few other things there. He added that they are still seeing activity. What is interesting is that they don't see repeat offenders in their enforcement. Their numbers are coming down slightly. They are still out there doing things but they are seeing less people making repeated mistakes

noting that nobody wants to pay \$250 in a fine. They have learned their lesson, and for the most part, collaborate and try to find ways to make sure that their water stays off. He noted that Parks has been doing a wonderful job of responding. He added that every time there is an issue, and they have a huge amount of area that they have to take care of, but there has been a real good system of the public calling the City and letting them know. They have been able to take care of it immediately so they are seeing less and less problems with the City's own systems being the culprit.

Council Member Holley advised that someone brought this question to him and asked if they have a disaster as far as a flood, are they prepared for it.

Mr. Randall responded that it depends on what it floods. They have a storm drainage system that handles a certain level. He explained that as they get into more severe storms, the damage is going to increase. They can handle a 100 year frequency storm and not have damage. They are very well prepared to man what they have in terms of their basin being in good shape, and kept up in good working order. They are as prepared as they can be with what they have. They have capacity to hand out materials for 2,000 sandbags at any one time but at some point their capacity to stem the flow is not going to be there. They didn't buy that much insurance so there could be flooding and that could cause damage.

Council Member Holley asked if they are sending out notices to residents advising where they can receive sandbags.

Mr. Randall agreed that is a very good point. They have put it out before. He noted there is one that goes out to the County since there are sandbags available in the County as well but, they will probably put it out in the next City utility newsletter and post it in a few places.

Mayor Poythress thanked Mr. Randall for the report.

F. COUNCIL REPORTS

F-1 Discussion on the City's Role and Involvement in Potential Sites for the High Speed Rail Heavy Maintenance Facility and Direction to Staff

Mayor Pro Tem Oliver stated he wanted to bring this item forward and really just begin a discussion and dialogue with his colleagues and staff, and also offer the opportunity to share some input and ideas as well. What he wants to talk about is whether folks are for or against the high speed rail project. He thinks there are many different opinions and differences that are shared and many that are understandable but ultimately, he thinks the reality is that the project is moving forward. It is moving forward in their backyard. He noted that in fact, true construction has initiated and begun here in their backyard off of Highway 145. He thinks it might be time for them to discuss what their role, what their involvement could be in positioning their area for a heavy maintenance facility. He would like to take note and share that yesterday the Madera County Board of Supervisors took an unprecedented stance, and in his opinion, showed some bold leadership in putting a foot forward to be viable and competitive for a maintenance facility. He noted that the County board voted 4-1 to support a site in Madera County. He thinks that the timing is good here in that they should also be discussing how they as a City, whether it be in terms of ideas or resource or infrastructure, or just providing a unified team effort with their friends across the street or in Chowchilla, and how they can lend some value to that conversation. He commented that at the end of the day, if this project moves forward, it is starting here in Madera County. He added that of all the communities being impacted in the first construction packages, Madera County is the only county that will not receive a station or facility yet, they are also one of the counties that, as Supervisor Rodriguez pointed out yesterday, bears some of the greatest land impacts and right-of-way in the initial operating segment. He wanted to bring this item forward just to have an open discussion, to share his sentiments and views. He thinks they should be a part of that discussion. They should have a seat at the table. They should make some efforts to reach across the street and reach out to the folks in Chowchilla to see that they have a viable and competitive effort for this maintenance facility. He noted that it doesn't come to whether you are for or against high

speed rail. He thinks it surrounds one number and that is 1,500. He explained that is 1,500 jobs that is estimated it could create. To him, that is 1,500 reasons that they should look at how they could lend some efforts in that regard. Mayor Pro Tem Oliver opened up discussion to his colleagues or anyone else.

Mayor Poythress referred to Mayor Pro Tem Oliver's mention of Chowchilla and asked if they have developed some kind of direction in terms of support for the facility or is he just talking more like outreach to them to kind of join efforts.

Mayor Pro Tem Oliver replied that he doesn't know that they are even there yet. He thinks they should have that discussion. They should keep tabs as to what the County is doing, what Chowchilla is doing, what other community stakeholders are doing whether it is the Economic Development Commission (EDC) or whoever else because other cities and counties are, including Kings County who is at the heart of legal battle against high speed rail. He thinks they should begin that discussion, take inventory of where they are in that fight, and see how they could best leverage all of their resources.

Council Member Medellin stated he thinks it is a great point and he wants to echo Mayor Pro Tem Oliver's sentiment exactly. He noted that yesterday, at the Board of Supervisors, noting that Supervisor Farinelli is in the audience, their theme was 1,500 jobs as Mayor Pro Tem Oliver said. He added that it wasn't about whether you support the high speed rail or not but, everybody seemed to support Madera County in the site and supporting 1,500 jobs. He noted that it was also brought up, as Mayor Pro Tem Oliver mentioned, working together. He agreed this has to be a collaboration. He has had a couple of conversations with the City of Chowchilla. He thinks they are doing some outreach efforts and some town hall meetings and, he thinks Bobby Kahn and the Economic Development, and quite possibly even the Farm Bureau. He stated his agreement with Mayor Pro Tem Oliver. He thinks they should do everything they can to at least look into this effort and work with the Board of Supervisors and whoever else that wants to sit at the table to help the entire County grow.

Mayor Poythress asked if there are any other comments from Council Members on this particular item.

Council Member Rigby agreed. He thinks that at least being a part of the conversation. He thinks it would be crucial with 1,500 jobs on the line, to not even have a voice in the conversation and to let that train go away, so to speak, but he can't for any reason see why the City wouldn't want to have a play at 1,500 jobs especially with the type of outreach they have been doing to make sure that they are working closer with not just agencies that are across the street but, also their school district and other local agencies that are trying to see Madera grow into what the City knows they are capable of becoming. He thinks, with Freedom Park opening not long ago, that is just another area where they are making a step forward to see that industry jobs are brought here, that they stay here, and that great growth happens in the City.

Mayor Pro Tem Oliver noted that Ed McIntyre is in the audience as well and he has been a very loud voice for a heavy maintenance facility in the Madera area. He thinks it is time that they unify many efforts and take all split ends and make it collective and one voice. He doesn't care where the site goes whether it is in south or north Madera County. He thinks that all of their residents will feel that effect if awarded to their community.

Council Member Robinson stated they are number one in manufacturing and that is a plus right there, and they have the land. He advised that he went with Mr. McIntyre to see the property last year so it is a plus.

Mayor Poythress commented that he thinks they've got consensus in terms of starting that discussion. He acknowledged that Mayor Pro Tem Oliver has done a great job as far as introducing the topic and asked if he would like to lead the charge with staff in terms of working on some kind of collaborative effort to come up with a position.

Mayor Pro Tem Oliver replied absolutely and that he would be honored to. He added that he thinks it would be advantageous to echo the statement of their folks across the street and maybe look at bringing back a

parallel resolution that says they too support a maintenance facility in Madera County and the 1,500 jobs it provides. He noted he might provide that direction to staff as well to maybe look at that.

City Administrator David Tooley replied that he understands the consensus direction. He noted that with the Mayor Pro Tem's assistance, they will prepare a resolution for Council action.

F-2 Mayor's Appointment of an Alternate Board Member to the Madera County Transportation Commission

Mayor Poythress noted this item is to replace Derek Robinson. He advised that he has made a decision but he didn't make it until about 5:00 o'clock today. He explained that he was carpooling up to the Fall Policy Conference of the Regional Policy Council which was held in the mountains. He parked his car at Hwy 41 and Hwy 145 Park and Ride. He was like, who am I going to appoint, so he got in his car at 5:00 o'clock and he looked over and he saw this billboard, Sally Bomprezzi; there she was. He noted that it was inspiration and revelation right there. He asked Council Member Bomprezzi to accept the appointment.

Council Member Bomprezzi agreed.

Mayor Poythress added that they need to thank the Air Board for that billboard or else he would still be struggling.

Council Member Holley advised that Chief Nancy Koerperich is in the audience and he would like her to get her own plaque. *[Referring to Presentation for Proclamation Recognizing Fire Prevention Week scheduled earlier in the meeting.]*

Mayor Poythress stated they would do that. He advised that he doesn't think, since he has been on Council in 11 years, this has ever happened where they made the presentation of a proclamation for Fire Prevention Week and they actually re-presented it when the recipient showed up but, it shows what respect and admiration they have for her. Mayor Poythress presented the proclamation for Fire Prevention Week 2015. He stated the City's appreciation of the great partnership they have with Fire and great spirit. He thanked Chief Koerperich.

Fire Chief Nancy Koerperich apologized for being late. She advised that they were doing the interviews for the Division Chief for Madera County. She headed home and then she went, oh my gosh, when her phone went off. She commented that the reason they wanted to bring this before the City Council as they have done with the counties and all of the cities and counties within their Madera, Mariposa, Merced family. She noted that it is distressing to know the number of folks out there that are still disabling their smoke detectors. She advised that this year's message for Fire Prevention Week is "Here the Beep Where You Sleep." She added that it is very important for people to understand that smoke detectors are there to save their lives. She knows people get tired of hearing that beep. She advised that there is that one commercial where that guy is chasing around in his house trying to hear that beep and the battery is running out. She understands that. She will say that there have been times in the middle of the night she has gone to search for the beep as well. She advised that this is an important message that they want all the citizens to understand and they would be disturbed to know that in their very own area there were four people that lost their lives last year due to not having a working battery in their smoke detector. She commented that if this is one thing that people can do for themselves, she would encourage them to do it and that is why they wanted to make sure that this message was out. She stated her appreciation to the Council for allowing that to be one of the messages they send for this board meeting.

Mayor Poythress thanked Chief Koerperich.

Other Council Reports

Council Member Robinson reported that last Wednesday he attended the 2015 Annual Conference and Expo for the League of California Cities. He advised that he voted for three resolutions. One was a resolution relating to League bylaws and amendments regarding succession of League officer to fill a vacancy in case one of the politicians didn't get elected. Another one was a League resolution calling for legislation to preserve therapeutic environments for group homes and avoid impacts of overconcentration of alcohol and drug abuse recovery and treatment facilities in residential neighborhoods. He advised that the last resolution was the League of California Cities supporting SB 593 by McGuire to continue local flexibilities for cities as they address neighborhood and fiscal impacts of temporary rental of residential units, residential houses, condominiums, rooms, and apartments for tourist or transient use which can present numerous challenges to the neighborhoods and adjacent property; owners that create additional noise, traffic, parking, privacy and public safety issues. He explained that it was subverting local rent control laws and decrease of valuable housing stock, and in some cases, turned residential neighborhoods into defacto hotel roles. He stated that they gave the cities more leverage in deciding what they wanted to do.

Mayor Poythress thanked Council Member Robinson for representing the City as a delegate, making those votes, and reporting back.

Council Member Rigby reported that he had a great time at the Old Timer's Day Parade. He got to not only ride in a police car legally but, he got a chance to actually get out. He, along with Mayor Pro Tem Oliver and Council Member Bompreszi, walked the route for the most part shaking hands and passing out candy. He added that it was hot. Sooner than later, he and Mayor Pro Tem Oliver actually found themselves running the route to catch up noting that the Chief was in a hurry. They represented the Council well. He noted that there was a great turnout. He thanked the Kiwanis for all they do along with the Chamber of Commerce and all those that partner with Downtown to see that that event happens annually.

Council Member Holley reported that he enjoyed the Old Timer's Day Parade as well. He was able to take his grandson on the fire truck and his grandson was so excited about that. That made his whole day to have him with him and have him share this out of all his sisters. His sisters saw him in the pictures that the City Clerk sent out and they were jealous because he didn't get to take the whole group but, he enjoyed that.

Council Member Holley reported that he, along with Chief Frazier and the Sheriff, went out to Sierra Vista for the Safe Walk to School Program. He noted there were at least 200-300 kids up at 6:00 a.m. this morning from McNally Park to Sierra Vista School. He stated that was a good event.

Council Member Holley stated his main challenge here today is to all their Council Members and to their City. As they know, he is a part of the Big Brothers Big Sisters Program and they do need big brothers and big sisters. They ask themselves all the time, what else can I do? He noted that kids are important to them and that is what we say. They can adopt a kid for 40 minutes a day on their lunch hour and become a part of the Big Brothers Big Sisters Program to where that kid will know that they really care about his future. He added that he is also a part of the mentor program for the schools to where he has five students at Millview, five at Sierra Vista, and five at Parkwood. They sit down and find out what these kids needs are. He added that everybody has kids at home but, when you reach out to another child and talk with them and share what you do, like they do on Civic Day, that is important but, to have lunch with a kid once a week, means a whole lot to these kids. He restated that the Big Brothers and Big Sisters are really trying to find more mentors to fill some of those roles.

Council Member Rigby asked if that is 40 minutes a week; not 40 minutes a day.

Council Member Holley replied yes. It is one day a week and that is 40 minutes for lunch.

Mayor Poythress thanked Council Member Holley for that outreach and that report.

Council Member Medellin stated that he along with Mayor Poythress serve on the Transportation Commission and it seems like every month they meet and Yosemite Avenue comes up that it is in a state of disrepair. He advised that they had the opportunity to meet with Caltrans and a few folks, and he shared with his colleagues a couple of days ago that he thinks they are on the bottom of the list and where they are right now is in the next two years. He thinks they are possibly going to do a one inch overlay. But, he is asking to see if there is more they can do in the future. Instead of putting a band-aid on Yosemite every ten years or so, if they can possibly do a study on enhancing Yosemite and not just putting a band-aid on it. He advised that he would keep them in the loop as to where they are with that.

Council Member Medellin reported that today at the Economic Development meeting in Chowchilla, Ed Gonzalez, the Superintendent of Madera Unified gave a really good report. He noted that it was great to collaborate with their education system and how that relates to their economic development. He advised that the theme over the last couple of days has been working together and collaborating. He thinks that is very important.

Council Member Medellin reported that he had a chance to sit down with the Parks and Community Services Director Mary Anne Seay. He noted that she did a wonderful outreach effort yesterday at the John Wells Center for a proposed Centennial Park. He asked her to speak just a little bit noting that she did some wonderful outreach to the folks in the neighborhood for the proposed playground that is universal for all kids whether they are special needs kids or not. They had a chance to sit down on the design work and what they thought was priority and things like that.

Mary Anne Seay, Director of Parks and Community Services, thanked Council Member Medellin for bringing that up. They had a nice meeting last night. They invited parents through their Facebook program and they invited some of the neighbors by just going out and passing out flyers and encouraging them to come. She noted that this is going to be an amenity right across the street from them so they wanted them to have a say as well. She advised that what they did is that they had blown up size renditions of what features could look like and then there were 19 different amenities. She gave a little speech about it and then the designer came in talked about each amenity, and every participant whether they were youth, noting that their Youth Commission was also there, whether a child or a parent or a neighbor, each got nine colored dots, three of each color. She advised that green means we really, really, really want this amenity; yellow means we want this amenity; and red means we really don't care about this amenity. She noted that everybody was encouraged to take their time after they heard the presentation and kind of go through the 19 different amenities and carefully place their dots. She added that staff, along with the designer, were able to get a lot of good feedback from not only parents of special needs kids but special needs kids themselves and residents from the surrounding neighborhood; and, just kids from the Youth Commission. They really felt like it was a good time for folks to come together and provide input for a future project.

Council commended staff.

Council Member Medellin stated he put all nine of his dots on restrooms noting that is how important restrooms are out there. They really, really have to have it.

Council Member Medellin commented that Freedom Industrial Park was mentioned. He thinks most of them were there. He noted that it was a wonderful feather in their cap. It is a great way that they collaborated together with Span Construction, the City of Madera, and Economic Development to bring in Deerpoint Group that he thinks is going to bring in between 80 and 100 jobs when they do the ribbon cutting and there is more to come. He stated that it is great for the City of Madera.

Mayor Pro Tem Oliver reported that he had an opportunity last week to help kick off the Second Annual Manufacturing Day which is helped put on by Madera Compact. It was held at the Madera Center and there were over 120 students from area high schools interested in careers in advanced manufacturing. He noted that there was a lot of excitement. He added that it was really neat to see a lot of these kids that are going to be putting a first step forward as far as a future potential career and hopefully those are possibilities

made available because of Freedom Industrial Park and other manufacturing opportunities in their back yard. He stated it was a great day.

Mayor Pro Tem Oliver reported that this last week he held a couple of mobile office hours; one this afternoon at City Hall and on Sunday at the Bridge Store. He noted that the Bridge Store event was a great success. Noting that he is echoing Council Member Holley, he made it known that although it was just him there, all of Council were there and he would certainly relay all views and concerns to his colleagues in those respects to districts. He advised that he had over 30 conversations with folks and these are folks going through during their weekly shopping, errands and after church. He thinks he has an action item list of about 20 things to follow up on and do. He stated that it was very rewarding; people were very, very engaged. He thinks it was a good opportunity to highlight a locally owned business like the Bridge Store and the Nishimoto family. He restated that it was a great success and he enjoyed it a great deal.

Mayor Poythress commented that is taking the Council to the streets.

Mayor Pro Tem Oliver agreed.

Mayor Poythress reported that he was able to join Council Member Robinson and the City Administrator David Tooley at the League of Cities meeting last week. There were a couple of really good sessions he attended. One of those was the main speaker who talked about Millennials, Baby Boomers, Gen Xers, Gen Edgers and so forth, and how people relayed and how people can better understand each other within an organization. He advised that there were examples given where there might be a manager who is a Baby Boomer but they are dealing with Millennials and Gen Xers, and maybe it is somebody at a certain age. You might say jump and I'll say how high. The Millennial will say, why should I jump? He noted there are all these differences that they need to understand about each other.

Mayor Poythress reported that he also attended the Fall Policy Conference for the Regional Policy Council today at the Tenaya. He recalled, and if the keynote speaker at noon didn't talk about Boomers, Millennials, Gen Xers, and Gen Edgers, so he really received a dose of that. He noted that it was really, really good information. He thinks it is important to understand those generational differences. He added that it really helps them to work better within the organization if they know those things.

G. CLOSED SESSION

G-1 Closed Session Announcement – City Attorney

City Attorney Brent Richardson announced that the Council will adjourn to closed session for one item pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9 (d)(1) to discuss conference with legal counsel, existing litigation, as described under item G-2.

The Council adjourned to closed session at 7:34 p.m.

G-2 Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation. Subdivision (d)(1) of Government Code §54956.9

One case: City of Madera v. Roy Roberts et al.
Madera Superior Court Case No. MCV062827

G-3 Closed Session Report – City Attorney

The Council returned from closed session at 8:10 p.m. with all members present.

City Attorney Brent Richardson announced that the Council met in closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9 (d)(1) to discuss conference with legal counsel, existing litigation, as described under item G-2, and reported that no reportable action was taken.

ADJOURNMENT


The meeting was adjourned by Mayor/Chairperson Poythress at 8:11 p.m.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN

Approval of the minutes is not addressed in the vision or action plans; the requested action is also not in conflict with any of the actions or goals contained in that plan.

SONIA ALVAREZ, City Clerk/Secretary

ROBERT L. POYTHRESS, Mayor/Chairperson



**MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING
OF THE MADERA CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA**

**April 6, 2016
6:00 p.m.**

**Council Chambers
City Hall**

CALL TO ORDER

The regular meeting for 04/06/16 was called to order by Mayor Poythress at 6:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL:

Present: Mayor Robert L. Poythress
Mayor Pro Tem Charles F. Rigby
Council Member Andrew J. Medellin
Council Member Donald E. Holley
Council Member Derek O. Robinson Sr.
Council Member William Oliver

Others present were City Administrator David Tooley, City Attorney Brent Richardson, City Clerk Sonia Alvarez, Director of Community Development David Merchen, Director of Financial Services Tim Przybyla, Chief of Police Steve Frazier, Director of Parks and Community Services Mary Anne Seay, Director of Human Resources Wendy Silva, Chief Building Official Steve Woodworth, Information Services Manager Ted Uyesaka, Planning Manager Chris Boyle and Public Works Administrative Analyst Jason Rogers.

INVOCATION: Pastor Mike Unger, Madera Rescue Mission

The invocation was given by Pastor John Pursell from the Believer's Church. Pastor Unger was not available to attend.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Mayor Poythress led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

The first fifteen minutes of the meeting are reserved for members of the public to address the Council on items which are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Council. Speakers shall be limited to three minutes. Speakers will be asked to identify themselves and state the subject of their comment. If the subject is an item on the Agenda, the Mayor has the option of asking the speaker to hold the comment until that item is called. Comments on items listed as a Public Hearing on the Agenda should be held until the hearing is opened. The Council is prohibited by law from taking any action on matters discussed that are not on the Agenda, and no adverse conclusions should be drawn if the Council does not respond to public comment at this time.

No comments were offered.

A. WORKSHOP

There are no items for this section.

B. CONSENT CALENDAR

- B-1 Minutes – 9/02/15
- B-2 Information Only – Warrant Disbursement Report
- B-3 Weekly Water Conservation Reports (Report by Dave Randall)
- B-4 Consideration of a Minute Order Rejecting a Claim filed by Shelley Hurdlow (Report by Wendy Silva)
- B-5 Consideration of a Resolution Appointing Emmanuel Gomez to the City of Madera ADA Advisory Council (Report by Wendy Silva)
- B-6 Consideration of a Minute Order Approval of City Administrator Travel to Annual CALED Conference in South San Francisco, April 25 – April 28, 2016 (Report by David Tooley)
- B-7 Consideration of a Resolution Approving Agreement for a Revenue Measure Feasibility Survey to True North Research, Inc. and Authorizing the City Administrator to Sign the Letter of Agreement on Behalf of the City (Report by David Tooley)
- B-8 Consideration of a Minute Order Approving Request for Endorsement Letter for the United Way Fresno and Madera Counties as a 2-1-1 Service Provider in Madera County (Sonia Alvarez)
- B-9 Consideration of a Resolution in Support of Measure C, the State Center Community College District Bond (Sonia Alvarez)
- B-10 Consideration of a Resolution of the City Council, of the City of Madera, California, Approving a Subordination Agreement for Aristeo and Luisa Vaca for a CALHOME and HOME First Time Home Buyer Loan and Authorizing the City Administrator to Sign the Subordination Agreement on Behalf of the City of Madera (Report by Sonia Hall)
- B-11 Minute Order - Consideration of Letter of Support to the Madera County Transportation Commission in Favor of a Grant Application for Funding of the State Route 99 Widening from 4 to 6 Lanes between Avenue 12 and Avenue 17 under the FASTLANE Grant Program (Report by Keith Helmuth)
- B-12 Consideration of a Resolution Approving the Execution of Agreement for the Construction of Improvements for Capistrano XVI and Approving an Advance Construction Permit for Capistrano XVI Subdivision, and Authorizing the Mayor to Execute the Agreement on Behalf of the City

And

Consideration of a Resolution Approving Annexation of Zone of Benefit 51 to Landscape Maintenance District of the City of Madera and Setting Date for Public Hearing to Levy and Collect Annual Assessments for City Wide Landscape Maintenance District, Zone of Benefit 51 (Report by Keith Helmuth)

Mayor Poythress asked if there are any consent calendar items that a Council person would like to have pulled for further discussion. Mayor Pro Tem Rigby requested that Item B-9 be pulled for discussion.

Mayor Poythress asked for a motion for action on the Consent Calendar other than Item B-9.

ON MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER OLIVER, AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MEDELLIN, THE CONSENT CALENDAR EXCLUDING ITEM B-9 WAS ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 6-0.

RES. NO. 16-38 **A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA APPOINTING EMMANUEL GOMEZ TO THE MADERA ADA ADVISORY COUNCIL**

RES. NO. 16-39 **A RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF AGREEMENT FOR A REVENUE MEASURE FEASIBILITY SURVEY TO TRUE NORTH RESEARCH, INC. AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO SIGN THE AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY.**

RES. NO. 16-40 **A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL, OF THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A SUBORDINATION AGREEMENT FOR ARISTEO AND LUISA VACA FOR A CALHOME AND HOME FIRST TIME HOME BUYER LOAN AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO SIGN ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF MADERA**

RES. NO. 16-41 **A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA APPROVING THE AGREEMENT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF IMPROVEMENTS FOR CAPISTRANO XVI AND APPROVING AN ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION PERMIT FOR CAPISTRANO XVI SUBDIVISION, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY**

RES. NO. 16-42 **A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA APPROVING ANNEXATION OF ZONE OF BENEFIT 51 TO LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF MADERA AND SETTING DATE FOR PUBLIC HEARING TO LEVY AND COLLECT ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS FOR CITY WIDE LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT, ZONE OF BENEFIT 51**

B-9 Consideration of a Resolution in Support of Measure C, the State Center Community College District Bond (Sonia Alvarez)

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby indicated that it looks to him like the initiative is looking for support of the Council and he has several questions, mainly because their website doesn't even work and number two just as a representative of the voting public, he would like to know what he is putting his name behind.

Bobby Kahn, EDC Director stated that he is not here tonight as the Economic Development Director, but is here representing the State Center Community College District as their trustee for Area 1. He didn't know if the Council wanted to ask him questions or if they wanted an explanation however, they wanted to handle this.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby stated that they could handle it any way that Mr. Kahn wanted, maybe give them a brief Reader's Digest version or what Mr. Kahn felt was necessary and if Mr. Kahn didn't answer his questions within that presentation, he would be more than happy to follow up.

Mr. Kahn stated that the State Center Community College District has deemed it necessary to go out on a bond. They have made a study and can go out to a bond of \$600 million. They have opted to go to a bond of \$485 million that will calculate to about \$18.85 per \$100,000 of value. So on a \$200,000 home, you are looking at \$37 per year. When the District says that, it is the maximum amount. The District has a history of being very prudent with the bond money that they use and the taxpayer's money. Their last bond was projected to cost the taxpayers about \$14 and change, but because of their oversight and refinancing and

taking advantage of market conditions, they were able to lower that to, he thinks, \$7 per \$100,000. He is referring to the bond for Madera in particular, which is the part of the bond he represents as the representative for Madera County and the eastern parts of Fresno, but Madera is near and dear to his heart.

Mr. Kahn stated that the Madera Community College Center will be receiving \$40 million of that money and that will be able to complete the Academic Village 1. It would be adding about 24 classrooms and labs and they will also do extensive expansion for the career for advanced manufacturing. This is a critical component in order for them to keep moving forward to bring that center to full college accredited status. Madera County, he believes, is the only county in California that doesn't have a fully accredited college within their boundaries. They have a plan in place under Dr. Caldwell's master plan to begin the accreditation process in a few years and have the college accredited by 2025. In order to do that, they need to continue their growth pattern at that college. They have experienced extensive growth in the last few years and they are adding classes, adding opportunities for students and extending the offerings. They are expanding the science technology, engineering and math sector of the college, as well as starting a new agri-business section to the college.

Mr. Kahn stated that in addition to that, also benefiting Madera County will be \$25 million that will go to the Oakhurst campus. The Oakhurst campus is a 2½ acre parcel with all portable buildings on it at this time. The District is committed to doing something permanent in eastern Madera County, however, they don't think it's a prudent idea to build a permanent building on 2½ acres, because they will pretty much be built out at that point. What their master plan is for Oakhurst, is to find an alternative site that is 15 to 20 acres, that they can build a permanent building on and then have room for expansion as that college campus grows.

Mr. Kahn stated that other parts of the bond, because Fresno City is their starship campus, a lot of the money will be going to that particular campus, but again the Council needs to realize that many Madera students, are students from Madera, take advantage of the opportunities at Fresno City College, so they have a fairly large representation at that campus as well. Reedley College and their newly accredited Clovis Community College, will also be receiving funds from this bond, as they can imagine. He offered to answer any questions. He is sure he left something undone.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby offered full disclosure in that he is an employee of the state school system. He advised that he works at Reedley College, just so Mr. Kahn knows that he is not trying to roadblock anybody. \$40 million to the Madera Center, another \$25 million, if we are talking County to Oakhurst, that is still less than a ¼ of what's going to be allocated to Clovis, Fresno City and Reedley. Mayor Pro Tem Rigby asked if Mr. Kahn knows what exactly is being allocated to Clovis.

Mr. Kahn responded that to be honest, he has only focused on the Madera numbers. He can get the information for him, but Mayor Pro Tem Rigby also has to keep in mind that in Madera County, their student body represents 10% or less of the total population of the State Center Community College District, so he thinks the proportionate share that is being allocated to their campuses is more than fair. He thinks they are getting more than their fair share of the money.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby asked if Mr. Kahn felt it was argumentative simply because they don't have the classes, and if they were to get the money, they would be able to offer the classes then they wouldn't lose the students to the other campuses where they are going to find the classes.

Mr. Kahn agreed that is a very valid argument, because during the recession the one campus that they really hit hard was the Madera Community College Center. To be honest with them, it was one of the things that made him get more involved with the system, and the fact that they basically gutted that campus and turned it into a remedial classes only. Dr. Caldwell has come on board, she has recognized that. She is very visionary. She has been very active in the Madera community. She has reached out to a lot of the leaders asking what needs to be done. She's done incredible work along with Dr. Fitzer. They have met with the City Administrator and City's transportation staff to try to get better transportation out to the college center to make it more accessible for all students of Madera. To be honest and fully transparent with

Council, they are re-building that campus, but they are rebuilding it in a rapid manner and it's one of the fastest growing campuses now within the system.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby agreed absolutely and asked who determines the allocation of funds. He doesn't want to put words in Mr. Kahn's mouth, but it makes it sound like this is being determined based on per student per capita.

Mr. Kahn replied no, not really. He didn't want to leave them with that impression and he apologized for doing that. What it was is that each campus had a committee that put forth what they felt was their most important needs. This started about 2½ years ago when they started thinking about a bond. What are the needs, what are the needs district-wide? So each campus was evaluated and then it came together as a big group and then the presidents and the cabinets of each college, along with the Chancellor's cabinet, all met over lengthy meetings to determine, ok, what are the highest priorities. So all the top priorities were then listed on what they felt would go for the bond. From there, the waters had to be tested, naturally, with the board to make sure they had the appetite for it and then once they gave the ok they said yes, they want them to take the next step. That's when Brian Speece, the former Vice Chancellor of Operations did all the really hard work of putting the numbers to the facilities and figuring how much each one would cost and that's what really determined the amount of the bond. There were some additions made. They, actually, allocated another \$5 million to Oakhurst, because they felt that with the site work that needed to be done that they were going to need more money, so there was a little massage done there, but it wasn't done on a per capita basis, but when he says that, he does feel they are getting their fair share and he thinks this is one of the first times, in a long time, that Madera will be getting more than its fair share of the bond. In 2002, they did get some money for the Academic Village I, but it went nowhere, and Oakhurst got no money.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby noted that it's nearly half a billion dollar bond and questioned if Mr. Kahn feels that \$40 million is fair.

Mr. Kahn responded that in his opinion it's fair for where they are in the system right now, because they have to think, as a board member, he's thinking of Madera, but he also has to think district-wide and where their needs are and what are the most pressing needs and Fresno City, to be quite honest with them has some very significant needs. That's a very old campus. It's the oldest campus in the California system. They have a lot of very old buildings that need a lot of retrofit so there's a lot of expense and if they are going to keep up, especially in their math sciences there where their nursing program is. That is the campus that houses their nursing program. He added that in fact, they are going to be building a new math science building if the bond passes. That's how outdated their math science building is.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby stated he is more curious about the rapid growth that took place in Clovis. It just seems like to him he watched, in the past, as the state allocated funds that could have gone to Madera Center to help grow that, like Mr. Kahn said, rather than grow it, it was stripped. Clovis wasn't stripped. Clovis was grown. He has no problem allocating funding to a campus like Fresno City, the mother ship, if you will, of all these campuses and even Reedley College, the granddaddy of them all. He doesn't know if he can support something as less than \$100 million when he is looking at the pie and where it is being divided up. It would be hard for him to ask a voter in Madera to get behind something that seems though it may be fair from where Mr. Kahn is standing, but just from the outside looking in doesn't seem as fair.

Mr. Kahn responded that again, the Council has to take the needs of the entire district, in all fairness again, Clovis Community College is the fastest growing campus they have. He thinks that Mayor Pro Tem Rigby is referring to a shift in the focus by the district several years ago and that is because the growth of that campus overwhelmed the district. That campus grew much faster than anybody anticipated it growing and therefore, the shift was on Madera. When the Clovis Center was built, when it was a Center status, the growth in that area was much more rapid than ever anticipated by the district, therefore more funds, more focus was put on that. Now that it is accredited, a fully accredited college, there's a lot of infrastructure that needs to be completed on that. He added that because now it does have full college status, they have athletics to look at, they have all the improvements and they need more buildings on that campus. They need to improve that the same way they have to improve Madera. They are getting a fair share of the

money too. As a trustee, it's a tough call, because you want to make sure your area is getting full representation, but he thinks where they are, what their allocation is, what that allocation will accomplish for the Madera Center and moving that to college status is huge especially for the City of Madera. He thinks they have a gem out there now; an unrecognized gem. This will really put another huge feather in the cap of the greater Madera area by having a fully accredited college in their community which is long overdue.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby thanked Mr. Kahn.

Council Member Robinson stated that he is familiar with the city college noting that he graduated from Long Beach City College. He was the track coach at Fresno City College and then became head coach at Reedley/Kings River Community College. His wife currently attends the Madera Community College, but she has to lengthen her stay there because of availability of classes. He thinks, to be fair, they should have transportation. It should be available so that Madera students take the necessary classes in Clovis and Fresno. They should have free transportation so they don't miss out. He could approve the allocation of the funds since they only represent 10%, but they should have free transportation going to the other two community colleges nearby.

Mr. Kahn stated that he is not going to argue that point. If they could provide more transportation, they would. That's a very costly proposition, for not only them, but also the communities they work with to try to coordinate. They had to do quite a bit of work, and he wants to thank some of the members of the Council for working with the district and especially David Tooley, City Administrator who brought his transportation experts. He noted it was quite a step for them to get more routes just to the Madera Community College Center. Trying to take on transportation to Clovis is a real challenge, because there's really no defined public transportation corridor between those areas. In response to the second part of Council Member Robinson's comment is that you will find, henceforth, that in order to complete a two year degree, they will not have to take classes in Clovis, Fresno City or Reedley. They will be able to start their education at the Madera Community College Center and they will be able to finish it. It will be class offerings where they can finish within the two year timeframe and of course they're also working with dual enrollment and other types of offerings that are available; he's not going to get into all the detail. They won't have to make that drive any more.

Council Member Medellin asked Mr. Kahn where the City Council was when they had all of their meetings for input.

Mr. Kahn replied that meetings were sparsely attended, unfortunately, by the community of Madera and they do still have a taskforce, so if the City Council wants to help walk precincts or whatever, they should let him know.

Council Member Medellin stated he has been in support of the bond since day one but actually, the questions he's had since day one are exactly the questions Mayor Pro Tem Rigby had asked. In order to be transparent and support and write a letter of support from the entire Council, he as a Council Member would then go out and advocate for that and kind of field these same questions that Mayor Pro Tem Rigby is asking and he agrees, he doesn't believe would represent the Madera campus. That leaves between Madera and Oakhurst about 13%, so 86-87% is going to go to three schools which could be \$125 million. He doesn't know what is allocated. What he's trying to say, in order for him as a Council person to advocate for this, it would be easier if they had some more facts and figures and why they came up with these figures. The Fresno Bee did an article a few months ago and some of Mr. Kahn's colleagues have stated that they want the majority of the money to stay in Fresno at Fresno City and in Clovis. Again, they compete with Clovis schools every day and the cost of a new high school has almost increased by 40% here in Madera and they haven't even moved dirt. By the time they start moving dirt, he thinks \$40 million is a drop in the bucket and he thinks they don't have what they need to send their kids from Madera Unified to a short travel distance in their own backyard to continue their education. So all he's asking is more transparency and maybe a little more facts and figures so they can advocate for this bond.

Mr. Kahn clarified the money is not just being allocated to four campuses. They actually have five campuses when they consider the career technical education campus at Annadale in the southeast part of Fresno. The other thing, and he knows this is near and dear to the Chief's heart, is that they also run the police and fire academies that train almost all the public service first responders for the entire region of the Central Valley. Those are two areas that are grossly negligent right now as far as..... They need to have new facilities and that is part of the bond that they will be making a commitment to build a new police and fire academy. He apologized noting that he returned a bunch of fliers that were left at the Economic Development Commission luncheon to the campus because he didn't want them to go wasted. He should have just hung on to a handful of them and brought them tonight. He will get those to the City Clerk. They're just small, brief pamphlets. He can also get the Council a more complete fact sheet that will cover everything, so that they can see exactly where all the money is going. He added that they have a lot bigger responsibility than just the five campuses. They have the training facilities and a lot of career technical education needs that they have to meet to help hold up the region.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby stated that it sounds good. He advised that the website is down. He can't send a voter to the website to look at all these different things and again, he can't get over the fact that he's going to be asking his constituents in the City of Madera to approve half a billion dollars when over 75% of that approved money is going to go to a campus that they may or may not see. He commented that it's a tough sell.

Mayor Poythress directed his comments to the Council and asked if they want to receive information before they consider this resolution.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby replied that he would love nothing more than to see their campus in Madera accredited, a college that is well represented in other colleges and competitive within the Central Valley that serves their City, that serves their students most importantly, and creates opportunities for employment as they graduate students. This is something he understands the board members of this measure are trying to move quickly, because June 7th is right around the corner, but this was the board's decision not ours. It would be hard for him to support this without further information.

Mayor Poythress suggested they table this particular item until they get some additional information that can answer some of these questions. He thinks Mr. Kahn probably has an idea what those things are. He just wants to make a point, number one, Mr. Kahn's involvement as a Trustee is not even close to what their representation was in prior representation. Mr. Kahn has been very involved and very much an advocate for their campus here in Madera as well as his role with Economic Development, so he kind of gets what they need to move forward. He also understands that, referring to the police academy and the training offered, they can't offer that in every location. It's very specialized and it has to be at one particular place. He thinks that if they can break that out, even though they're not getting, say 10% of the money, but they know, it's broken out to show where it's going more specifically. He thinks there would be a lot of support.

Mr. Kahn responded that along that point is that's exactly what the Mayor says. The public safety academies, they're plan is not to combine them, but to combine them on to one site and put state of the art facilities at one site and make a new career technical education site with the public safety being the anchor tenants, if you would, of that particular site. They're scheduled to be moved to a new state of the art facility.

Council Member Medellin asked Mr. Kahn if this was spelled out in the pamphlet that he was talking about or in some social media that's out there.

Mr. Kahn responded that if it is not spelled out in the pamphlet, because it's a small one, what he will do, if the Council chooses to table this tonight, he would like to request that they can get back on the Council's next agenda, because as Mayor Pro Tem Rigby stated that they are in a sprint right now.

Mr. Kahn requested that the Council give the District 10 minutes to put on a full presentation such as what they did for the Board of Supervisors. He called them off, because he saw this item on the Consent

Calendar. He didn't want to have them here with a bunch of materials, if the Council wasn't prepared for that on their agenda. He apologized as it was his call not theirs. He can have representatives here. They can have the experts that put the bond language together, that put the calculations together and they can have a full on presentation provided to the Council. They can do a much better job than he could in answering some of the technical questions.

Council Member Medellin stated that he wants nothing more than to advocate for it, like he's said before. He just wants to make sure that when he does, they have all the facts and figures, and all the stats and everything they can in order to answer those questions like that of the police academy. It may not be here, but they're going to have a state of the art academy in one location that will be the best of the best. Again, he's just looking for facts so that they can advocate for this.

Mr. Kahn apologized and stated that staff were willing to come. They were going to be driving from Reedley and commuting an hour so he told them that it was a Consent Calendar item and he hated for them to make two hours of driving to sit through a Consent Calendar item. Mr. Kahn apologized for that. He should have had them here and been more prepared to answer the more difficult, more technical questions.

Mayor Poythress stated that the election is in 62 days and the absentee ballots go out May 9th, so they will table this item and move forward and get things on the next agenda.

Mr. Kahn stated that he will work with the City Administrator and the City Clerk on agendizing it and thanked the Council for their time.

Mayor Poythress stated there staff requested that Item E-2 be heard next as Staff has the CPAs who are involved in the audit in the audience. The Council Members didn't object and Mayor Poythress asked Tim Przybyla, Director of Financial Services to announce this item.

E-2 Acceptance of the Audited Financial Statements and Single Audit Report (Federal Grants) for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2015 (Report by Tim Przybyla)

Tim Przybyla, Director of Financial Services stated that they have completed their Financial Statement Audit for FY 2014-2015 and that the Auditor, Fausto Hinojosa is here to present those Audit Financial Statements.

Mr. Hinojosa greeted the Mayor and members of the Council and thanked them for the opportunity to come and share the results of the audit. He acknowledged that the Council has a long agenda tonight and his plan is not to go into great amount of detail in respects to the Financial Statements, but to share with the Council the results of the audit. Mr. Hinojosa stated that the plan is to go over the Auditor's Report, the opinion on the Financial Statements and to maybe point out a couple of key changes and differences from these Financial Statements to the prior year Financial Statements and then to go over the Single Audit Report which is the report on federal grant compliance.

Mr. Hinojosa stated he would start with the book of probably over 100 pages. The independent auditor's report page 1 is on their letterhead. There are a couple of things here that he would like to point out. First of all, management's responsibility for the Financial Statements. Within the second paragraph of that report, it describes that management is responsible for the internal controls and their job as auditors is to assess risks and look for material error or fraud. That's their responsibility and the way that they do that is by initially conducting a risk assessment and determining where those errors might exist or where fraud might exist. The key component of that is obtaining a solid understanding of the City's internal control processes. Internal control is not just one procedure, but a system that starts with the tone at the top. For example, what they are doing tonight is a part of that control environment. Council's questions to staff and review of financial reports, that's at high level and they'll drill down all the way to the detail level. For example, segregation of duties is one control that a lot of people are familiar with. The person that's reconciling the bank shouldn't be the same person that's signing checks, etc. They obtain an understanding of that and their purpose for doing that is to conduct a risk assessment, because they want to focus their

audit time in those areas of greatest risks. That's management's responsibility as compared to the auditor's responsibility.

Mr. Hinojosa stated that their opinion on page one states that the Financial Statements do present fairly in all material respects. Council has an Unmodified or Clean Opinion, that's the best type of opinion that the City can have. The other three opinions are:

- A Qualified Opinion which says everything is close to being right, but you have a problem
- An Adverse Opinion that says no we don't want to touch these Financial Statements and
- A Scope Limitation which says they tried doing the audit but you were unable to provide the documents, etc.

The City has an Unmodified Opinion which is the best type of opinion to have.

Mr. Hinojosa stated that on page 19 is a Statement of Net Position or a Balance Sheet and just to layout the format of this statement, not to go into any great detail with the numbers, they have a few columns there:

- Governmental Activities column which is a summary of all of your governmental funds such as the General Fund, Gas Tax, all of the funds that are funded through property taxes, sales taxes, etc. Those are all combined in that Governmental Activities column.
- Business Type Activities column includes all of the Enterprise Activity such as those that are funded through user charges, etc. There is more detail about those.

Mr. Hinojosa stated that on the Governmental Activities column, page 19, Council will see that the City has total assets of \$242,000,000. They have liabilities of \$39,000,000, so they have a Net Position of \$200,000,000 which is that bottom line. Most of that net position is a result of capital assets and infrastructure. If they look at the unrestricted net position, they have a negative 14,000,000 number. They will see Unrestricted \$14,000,000 on page 19. That unrestricted deficit resulted from the recording of their pension liability. The Council may remember from prior year presentations that the June 30, 2015 year is the first year where the pension liability is required to be reported.

Mr. Hinojosa directed the Council to jump over to page 56. There is a table there that lists all of the long term liabilities and there are two tables there:

- The Governmental Liabilities table towards the bottom of that says Net Pension Liability. The Council will see a number in the June 30, 2015 column that says \$24.9 million. That is the City's share of the Pers Pension Liability for their Governmental Activities.
- In the Business Type Activity schedule, that is also there on page 56. At the bottom of that schedule, you'll see Net Pension Liability for \$4,000,000. Those two together add up to about \$28,000,000 and that's what caused their net position to go negative in that statement/balance sheet that they were just looking at, because if all of a sudden they were adding \$28,000,000 of liability that was never there before.

Mr. Hinojosa indicated that there is a footnote that starts at page 68 that has a whole lot of information with respect to these pension liabilities. This really is the biggest change this year and in many years for governmental financial statements. There is a table on page 68 and they can see that the total pension liability is almost \$69,000,000 however, the City has assets to fund that liability of \$52,000,000 and so the net is at \$16,000,000. That is for the City's Miscellaneous Plan. They have a Miscellaneous Plan and then you have a Safety Plan. For their Safety Plan, they'll see on page 69 that that liability is \$12,000,000. Miscellaneous Plan \$16,000,000; Safety Plan \$12,000,000. Those add up to the \$28,000,000 that they were looking at on the Financial Statements.

Mayor Poythress stated that this would be considered their unfunded liability.

Mr. Hinojosa confirmed that is their unfunded liability and that the City has two types of plans. One is an agent plan and one is a cost sharing plan. So for one of these liabilities; the Safety Plan, the City is in a cost sharing plan. The \$12,000,000 is their small proportionate share of the billion dollar liability that PERS has and your agent plan; there's an accounting just for the City. The \$16,000,000 number in the City's Miscellaneous Plan is not the City's share of anybody else's, that's just all them. That \$12,000,000 number is part of a bigger pool. That's their share of that big pool. Mr. Hinojosa confirmed that Mayor Poythress was right that this is the unfunded liability; never recorded in prior years. June 30, 2015 is the first year that this liability was required to be reported and the City has included it.

Mr. Hinojosa directed the Council to jump back to the Financial Statements so they can take a look at the General Fund. He asked them to go to page 22 and they would see a General Fund Governmental Fund balance sheet and they'll see multiple columns there. They are the City's Governmental Funds. Mr. Hinojosa directed the Council to notice that there is no pension liability in the General Fund. The first balance sheet that was looked at a minute ago was a balance sheet that includes all of the assets and all of the liabilities on a full-accrual basis as required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. Page 22 is a fund financial statement that is more focused on current assets and current liabilities which is why that pension liability doesn't show there. Mr. Hinojosa asked the Council to look at their General Fund Unassigned Fund balance towards the bottom at the third number from the bottom of page 22. They'll see a \$12,000,000 number that is the fund balance of the General Fund. The General Fund here looks healthy; the pension liability is not there. The reason it's not there, is because under the accounting rules, it's not required to be reported here. It's not even permitted to be reported here because this is a schedule that is showing cash and other current assets and liabilities as they are coming due. This long term pension liability is obviously not something that they need to send a check to PERS tomorrow. That is something that they are going to include in their budget and pay over time and that's the focus of this statement.

Mr. Hinojosa indicated that page 24 is basically an income statement for their governmental funds and Mr. Hinojosa thinks that is important. The General Fund at \$25.7 million of revenues had expenses of almost \$28,000,000 but then it had some transfers in and some transfers out so it ended up with an improvement for the year of \$1.1 million. That information is in that General Fund column page 24 and part of the reason for that is that General Fund incurs expenses that are then reimbursed by other funds and that's why they have some of those transfers in. That's how they would read that statement. Those other funds listed there such as gas tax and other non-major funds, they are not going to look at in any detail. Most of those funds have restricted revenue sources that they comply with, so there is nothing further there to look at.

Mr. Hinojosa indicated that page 26 is a balance sheet for those proprietary funds or those Enterprise funds. They will see water, sewer and solid waste there. They'll have assets, liability and net position. In these statements, each of these funds do have their share of that big pension liability.

For example:

- In the water fund, under the non-current liability section, they'll see that the water fund's share of the liability was \$1.1 million.
- The sewer fund's share was 1.5 million, etc.

Mr. Hinojosa stated that page 28 is an income statement for their Enterprise Fund. They'll see their water fund. Their water fund had revenues of almost \$5.6 million; expenses of \$5 million so, operating income of \$539,000. A concern would be if their operating income in any one of these funds didn't have enough operating revenue to cover those operating expenses. The only fund that is that way right now is the drainage operations fund, but all of these other funds actually seem to be doing okay operating income-wise. Mr. Hinojosa stated that was all he wanted to share on the financial statements and he would be glad to answer any questions.

Seeing no questions, Mr. Hinojosa moved on the Single Audit Report which should be a separate bound document; there are two reports there. One report is an independent auditor's report on internal control over financial reporting and that report includes one finding with respect to financial reporting. No findings

with respect to compliance. He apologized and stated that there was one finding with respect to internal control and one with respect to compliance. The report on page 3 of this separate package is the independent auditor's report in accordance with an audit performed in accordance with OMB Circular 133 or Single Audit. This is an audit done for their federal grants and they are reporting that they had two findings.

Mr. Hinojosa stated that the first finding is on page 9. As part of their audit process, they identified some errors and some reconciliation schedules and numbers that were not tying out to the trial balance. They raised those issues to the finance team and for various reasons those numbers just were not properly reconciled. Those were corrected, they proposed audit adjustments and all of that's been corrected. City Council can see management's response at the bottom of page 9. It says, "The City concurs with the finding. Management will further enhance the year-end financial closing process to include the necessary steps to ensure proper reporting and reconciliation of all of these account balances." They've listed the amounts, the audited adjustments that were required or listed at page 9 in those bullet points.

Mr. Hinojosa stated that the other issue is on page 10 and was a compliance issue that they found. Unfortunately the CDBG required reports were not filed timely and once they identified that they talked to management. The reports were caught up and they then audited those reports. Part of their audit process is that when reports are submitted to the federal government, they are taking those reports and they're making sure they agree to the underlying accounting data in the City's system and those reports weren't available, because they weren't filed and that is also part of the reason for the delay or a primary reason for the delay in the audit. They didn't want to complete the audit and simply say, "Geez, you didn't file the reports." They decided to wait and allow the City time to file those reports because the audit was not due until March 31. The reports were filed. They then took those reports and traced them to the underlying accounting data. They didn't find any errors in them but again, unfortunately they were not filed timely and from an audit perspective they are required to report that finding.

Mr. Hinojosa stated that he had been speaking fairly quickly and he sees people making notes, so he is glad to answer any questions that anybody might have.

Mayor Poythress asked the Council and Staff if anyone had questions for Mr. Fausto.

David Tooley, City Administrator thanked Mr. Hinojosa. Mr. Tooley stated that he's been in the business 40 years, noting that he sleeps at night with his CPA, and that was one of the best most concise presentations of the Financial Statement that he's heard.

Council Member Medellin stated that Mr. Hinojosa did a good job.

Mayor Poythress called Item E-2 for discussion and announced that if there are no questions, he will accept a motion for action. No questions were asked.

ON MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLEY, AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER ROBINSON, ITEM E-2 "ACCEPTANCE OF THE AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SINGLE AUDIT REPORT (FEDERAL GRANTS) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015" WAS ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 6-0.

C. HEARINGS, PETITIONS, BIDS, RESOLUTIONS, ORDINANCES, AND AGREEMENTS

C-1 A Public Hearing to Consider an Appeal by Junaid Lateef of the Planning Commission Denial of Conditional Use Permit 2015-28, Conditional Use Permit 2015-29, Variance 2015-03 and Site Plan Review 2015-32

And

Consideration of a Resolution of Determination on Appeal (Report by Chris Boyle)

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby declared a conflict of interest on Item C-1 and exited the room.

Chris Boyle, Planning Manager thanked Mayor Poythress and the Council and stated that this is a public hearing to consider an appeal by Mr. Lateef as it relates to a cumulative denial of a request for conditional use permits, variance and site plan review that would allow the establishment of a convenience store at the intersection of Martin and West Olive.

Mr. Boyle stated that the Planning Commission took action on December 8th of 2015. The permits in questions were:

- Conditional Use permit (CUP) 2015-28 which provided for the sale of tobacco and tobacco related products.
- Conditional Use Permit (CUP) 2015-29 which provided for the sale of beer and wine for off-site consumption.
- Variance (VAR) 2015-03 which was a request for a reduction, a two stall reduction in required parking.
- Site Plan Review (SPR) 2015-32 which would have guided the physical improvements and the repurposing of the site to a convenience store.

Mr. Boyle indicated that the Planning Commission made four findings in terms of their reasons for the cumulative denial.

- The first reason was the proximity of other similar business; that there were too many convenience stores in Madera and in the surrounding area.
- The second reason for denial was the nature of the business would impact the redevelopment of the Bethard Square Shopping Center which is currently undergoing entitlements for a remodel on that site.
- The third reason was the proximity of project to schools. The Commission had concerns with tobacco and alcohol within a mini-mart which attracts children which is within a major walking route to and from school and is not a good location for that use.
- Finally, the Planning Commission made a finding regarding the health and welfare of the community. The issue is with protecting the welfare of this community, the concentration of this area and not just this zone.

Mr. Boyle stated that the applicant, from prior to application through application to the Planning Commission and now into this appeal, has placed a bit of emphasis on the issues that are related to the alcoholic control licensure, the letters of public convenience and necessity and the like. He could take a long period of time doing a primer on the processes within the City of Madera and within the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control as relates to issuance of licenses for the sale of alcoholic beverages, but he will set that aside for a different moment.

Mr. Boyle stated that in the synopsis as it relates to those processes, they can ask themselves three questions:

- The first question is, is the area in a zone of over-concentration; a census tract of over-concentration and the answer to that question is, "No, it is not in a census tract of over-concentration." Other census tracts in the City are over-concentrated; not this particular census tract.
- The second component would be, is there a moratorium on the issuance of Type 20 licenses; new Type 20 licenses in Madera County and the answer is, "Yes, there is a moratorium on the issuance of licenses in Madera County of which the City is a part thereof".
- The third component is, does the City of Madera require a use permit for the sale of alcoholic beverages of all types and the answer to that is, "Yes".

Mr. Boyle stated that it should be noted that they looked at these three components and the Planning Commission didn't make findings specific to whether or not there was a moratorium in place or whether or not over-concentration was an issue. Their findings that recommended denial come from other issues not prevalent to the issuance of the ABC license over-all. Mr. Boyle invited them to look briefly at the required findings that the Planning Commission had to look at in advance of making their determination.

- As it relates to a findings required for a variance, ordinance requires that where practical difficulties, unnecessary hardships or results inconsistent with the general purposes of this chapter, may result from the strict interpretation or literal application of the ordinance, a variation may be granted. What that basically says is there must be some special circumstance or hardship that this parcel suffers from that other parcels do not. The Planning Commission did not make that finding.
- As it relates to the required findings for a CUP, the ordinance states that in order to grant any use permit, the findings of the Commission shall be that the establishment, maintenance or operation of the use or buildings applied for will not under the circumstances of the particular case be detrimental to the health, safety, peace, morals, comfort and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of such proposed use or be detrimental or injurious to the property and improvements in the neighborhood or general welfare of the city. In this case, the Planning Commission could not make finding specific to granting of the two use permits.

Mr. Boyle stated that in terms of what's before the Council, before the Council can grant any appeal or change any conditions imposed by the Planning Commission, the Council should make written findings of fact setting forth where in the Planning Commission's findings they were in error and Staff has provided a determination of findings resolution as an attachment to their overall packet. In that this is an appeal hearing and not a presentation necessarily by Staff, staff takes the time to brief the Council on the Planning Commission's actions prior. That completes his presentation and he'd be happy to answer any questions the Council might have.

Mayor Poythress thanked Mr. Boyle and stated that in the Planning Commission report to City Council in the Planning Commission's reasons for denial of the application, the last reason confuses him. It's under health and welfare of the community. It says, the issue is with protecting the welfare of this community, the concentration of this area and not just the zone. Mayor Poythress asked what that meant.

Mr. Boyle replied that it's a general statement that calls out the number of convenience stores not just in this general vicinity, but in the City over all. Mr. Boyle stated that in light of the fact that they'd be issuing a new license then that would be exacerbating the condition.

Mayor Poythress asked if there was any discussion on how a mini-mart would compromise the health and welfare in the community.

Mr. Boyle stated that in this particular instance, the Planning Commission's focus was on alcohol and tobacco and its proximity to the school. The staff report at that time noted that the school was 1,000 feet away from the use. The Planning Commission's concern was more about the path the children took to and from school and its proximity to the convenience store within that normal path of travel that the children would take. That introduction of exposure to tobacco and to alcoholic beverages by the youth within the community or within this neighborhood was detrimental to the health, safety and morals of the community.

Mayor Poythress asked that by going in there they would see cigarettes and beer and that would be detrimental to them.

Mr. Boyle stated that was correct.

Mayor Poythress replied that it was interesting, because no other store has those things, he guessed. Mayor Poythress asked the Council if they had any questions of Mr. Boyle before he opens up the public hearing.

Council Member Oliver asked Mr. Boyle that in regards to the concentration levels, was that just for Type 20 licenses or all licenses in general.

Mr. Boyle responded that when they talk about over-concentration, it's for all licenses. There's a breakdown between on-sale and off-sale licenses, but over-concentration addresses all issuance of all types of licenses. The moratorium speaks only to Type 20 licenses.

Council Member Holley asked if licenses are issued by the Police Department.

Mr. Boyle responded that the City's process is encapsulated within the use permit, so when the Planning Commission takes action to approve a conditional use permit for alcohol sales that is the City's comment as it relates to whether we have support or opposition to the sale of beer and wine or other distilled spirits in a particular location. The ABC has a separate process so they kind of run concurrently together where the ABC does their due diligence and the applicant makes application for a ABC license of one type or another and then that applicant must also complete a conditional use permit process through the City and without one or the other, you can't complete that process. He noted that different municipalities have different processes and different policies as it relates to the sale of alcohol but in the City of Madera one, must secure the use permit for the sale of alcohol in advance of or as a component of an ABC license being issued. If the City denies the ABC, the application for use permit, ABC then will not issue the ABC license. Mr. Boyle stated that the Police Department has the opportunity to comment as part of staff's research on behalf of the application request for the use permit and, of course, they weigh their position as a component of staff's final recommendation to the Commission, but they don't specifically make a particular specific hard finding as it relates to an approval.

Council Member Medellin stated that he withdraws his question until after testimony.

Mayor Poythress asked the Council if they had any questions in regards to this item. No questions were asked and Mayor Poythress opened the public hearing for any members of the public who would like to address this issue.

Bruce Evans of Solomon Saltsman & Jamieson is representing the applicant/appellant. Mr. Evans stated that their client is Johnny Lateef and that Mr. Lateef is a product of Madera, Madera High School, Fresno State and he's now studying to be a respiratory therapist. Mr. Lateef also has his younger brother, Sohaib also a product of Madera High and UC Merced who's completed his medical studies and is on his way to becoming a doctor. The family came to Madera starting in the 70s when Mr. Lateef's uncle who's a physician came here and the family followed and they've lived the American dream here opening a number of businesses and are making an effort to open another one.

Mr. Evans stated that they submitted a letter and it had some bold language in it, probably a little too bold. They came out swinging. It was not their intent to offend anyone. Sometimes as attorneys, they get into fight mode and their concern was that Mr. Lateef did not get a fair hearing before that Planning Commission; that the hearing was already lost when Mr. Lateef walked in the door.

Mr. Evans reminded the Council that the Council's professional Planning staff had actually recommended approval of this application before the Planning Commission. The only thing Planning staff wasn't recommending was approval on the alcohol component and that was largely because of the moratorium issue which doesn't actually apply in this case because it's not over-concentrated.

Mr. Evans stated that Planning staff were recommending approval if Mr. Lateef could buy an existing license and transfer it which they tried to do before they came here tonight. They wrote a letter to everybody who had a surrendered license to see if they could buy it but they're not available.

Mr. Evans stated that there were concerns at the Planning Commission, among staff and the Police Department that there were too many mini-marts, too many convenience stores and he gets that. The Super 7 which is the closest one, he's sure they all know it, that's what they have on their minds when they

think convenience store/mini-mart. They think plastered with alcohol advertising, not being able to see through the windows and neon signs. Mr. Evans stated that if he lived in that neighborhood, if he was on the Planning Commission or on this Council, he probably wouldn't want another convenience market either; not one that looked like that.

Mr. Evans stated that Mr. Lateef hired them to work with the City. Mr. Lateef's vision for this business was not a convenience market, but he didn't know how to articulate that, so Mr. Lateef asked them to work with the City to come up with conditions that are consistent with what Mr. Lateef wants for the business. How do they do that? Well, they start with the neighborhood. They have a half mile radius from the location, so they have this one mile swath of land. Rite Aid, their store, the Valero gas station, the Super 7 and the Madera Avenue Market and the only location they could find in this one mile swath that they've called the "food desert" that they can actually get any reasonable groceries, is the Madera Avenue Market which is a pretty nice looking Hispanic market; a lot of specialty items, meat, but that's it. Mr. Evan's asked how the Council approves a use that does not become this; that is something better; that answers the question of the Planning Commission of too many mini-marts.

Mr. Evans asked the Council to look at the building and he knows the Council have all seen it. It's a great looking building. It's got really nice architectural features; very well maintained. It looks like something that they have in their head of a neighborhood grocery store; like the one near his house. It doesn't look like a 7-Eleven or a Circle K. It doesn't look like the Super 7. It looks a lot better. Mr. Lateef has got a lot of space in there. Then they came up with a list of conditions. Mr. Evans asked how does he become a neighborhood grocery store and how do they approve this and make sure they can serve this need to be a neighborhood grocery store; something more than soda and candy and beer. Mr. Evans stated that the Council can add very specific conditions like the ones that they volunteered.

Mr. Evans stated that when the Planning Department recommended approval, it was a good start. The Planning Department already came up with a list of conditions on the business to ensure that it didn't result in nuisance activities, but they worked with Mr. Lateef and they came up with a much more extensive list. Aside from the improvements that they were going to make to the building which the Planning Department also referenced to the Planning Commission and the landscaping, they came up with conditions. The Council can condition that it has to be a general store. That it has to have an assortment of dairy, of produce, of vegetables. Mr. Lateef is not going to be open 24 hours. Mr. Lateef will volunteer to be open from 7 am to 10 pm, that's it. It's not like a 7-Eleven that's selling alcohol till 1 am at night. It's not going to be that. The Council can put that condition on there. Mr. Lateef volunteered a condition that said no more than 10% of his retail space would be allocated to beer or wine. That's a small little space. That's a couple of coolers and maybe a stack. Mr. Lateef also submitted a floor plan that the alcohol will be behind a sales counter totally inaccessible to the customer so you don't have the theft issue or the accessibility of kids. Mr. Evans stated that tobacco by law also has to be behind the counter and not directly accessible to customers. Mr. Lateef agreed not to sell malt liquor. He agreed not to sell certain container sizes and limit the single beer sales. Now they've eliminated the types of beverages that tend to be associated with the problem consumer at a convenience store. Mr. Lateef agreed to no advertising of alcohol on the exterior of the building, so it can't look like that. Mr. Evans stated that this is a very common condition that the state puts in ABC licenses any time a store has nearby residential. It's an appropriate condition. It ensures that the building looks good; that it's a place they could take their kid. Mr. Lateef agreed no games, no arcade games, anything like that that attracts kids.

Mr. Evans stated that the Council can put a limit on the CUP. The Council can call it back for a one year review. Mr. Evans stated that the point is that the Council has all the control. They can condition the business specifically. They can call him back for a review hearing to monitor those conditions. Mr. Lateef would pay for the public notice to do that. There is a way to approve this business so it doesn't add another convenience market to Madera. It adds something better; something more than the soda and the chips and the beer and the candy. Mr. Lateef's goal is to have a food store and they've got to be able to sell beer and wine if you're going to have a little grocery store because that's a staple grocery item, but Mr. Lateef also wants to offer the Pakistani foods and the specialty items that people within his ethnic community want.

Mr. Evans submits that if the Council says no, the building remains vacant, but he asks the Council to find a way. Mr. Evans knows that the Council can't do it tonight because they don't have a resolution but he asks that the Council find a way and direct City staff to work with Mr. Lateef and come up with a list of conditions in conjunction with the City's Police Department, like the ones they volunteered. They can tweak those and they can tailor them or they can drill down on them, but asks that the Council approve it with those conditions and the place can open. Mr. Evans stated that it can have groceries, it can have alcohol, it can have tobacco, but very restricted. Mr. Evans is pretty sure that if he looks at other CUPs, this would be the most restricted business in Madera for a retail store and the goal of Planning is generally to replace the non-conforming uses with conforming uses. Mr. Lateef has a business here that would be a conforming use that might offer those guys some competition that might give that community a nice place to go. If the Council says no, those guys are insulated from any further competition. They just stay doing the same way; they stay as they are. That's what they're asking for. Mr. Evan's stated he would be happy to answer any questions, but he would like Mr. Lateef to have an opportunity to address the Council directly.

Mr. Lateef bade the Council good evening and stated that he just wanted to add a couple of things. His main focus with the neighborhood market is really Indian Pakistani groceries. Mr. Lateef indicated that right now, the other place that he manages which is owned by his dad has a limited amount of groceries that serve their cultural people, but they really need a place where the community can have access to all those groceries and right now people are driving to Fresno to go purchase them. Whether it's some of the Indian communities since they are vegetarian..... There might be spices that are not available here and that's their goal; that's been their vision. He's been in this business for close to 15 years now. He's been here in Madera managing his dad's store for the past 10 years and the way he conducts business is that those are not his customers. The people he sees on a daily basis, they are more of his family now and they know him pretty well and that's how he avoids the trouble that some of those other places may have. He has families that come to the store and they're comfortable there, because he doesn't have advertisements all over the place. The real focus with this is the traditional groceries that are not available here in Madera. That's pretty much his goal. That's his vision and as he's said, he's been managing his dad's place; he's managed a couple of gas stations in Fresno. He's been in this business for a long time and he thinks it's finally the time that he take the next step and has his own place. That's where he's headed with that.

Mayor Poythress thanked him and asked if the Council had any questions for Mr. Lateef before he opened public comment to others in the audience.

Council Member Medellin asked if the dad's store on Cleveland Avenue would be closed as that has a current Type 20 license right now. Mr. Lateef replied that his dad's store has a Type 21 and that he will not be a part of that store any longer.

Council Member Medellin asked if the store will remain open. Mr. Lateef replied that it would be open for the time being.

Council Member Medellin asked if the alcoholic beverages would be catered more to the kind of import of a specialty Pakistani store. Mr. Lateef replied that they'll be catered more to that and not only that. Mr. Lateef stated that he will have specialty wines and beer that people use for cooking; mainly the wines for marinating the steaks and stuff like that. Mr. Lateef would be focusing more on specialty items that are not available.

Council Member Medellin asked that although the license would allow him to sell domestic alcoholic beverages, does he really want to focus on specialty alcoholic beverages. Mr. Lateef responded that right now, he speaks with a lot of people and from Madera. They drive to Fresno to BevMo to purchase an item. There's no place in Madera that has the specialty items that he's going to carry.

Council Member Medellin stated that he likes that vision; they certainly can't deny that.

Mayor Poythress asked the Council if there were any other questions for the proponent. Seeing none, Mayor Poythress opened public comment to the public.

Carrie [last name not given] stated that she lives at 211 North A Street. She is currently going to Mr. Lateef's father's store and what brought her in is she's a chef and she likes her caffeine and she likes to cook so she goes in there before she goes to work and she buys her 32 ounce Mountain Dew sodas. When she was in there, she noticed Mr. Lateef had some Desi food like lentils and different things so she went and took a trip down that aisle and now she uses him for different spices and different things. Carrie stated that it would be amazing as a chef, that goes up to Huntington Lake and cooks for families that come down from other places, in California to represent Madera and be able to cook them an Indian dish which she loves to do. Carrie stated that she focuses on Cuban cuisine so it'd be really fun to see Mr. Lateef get some of the things that she cannot get anywhere like the kaffir lime leaves which are part of their culture, different saffron and turmeric that turn her rice yellow which she absolutely loves and she wants to be able to give that back. So for him to be able to open this store and like she's said, she's been going to his store for about four years now, it would be really opportunistic for everybody not just his culture because she's not Pakistani as you can tell, but everybody who loves to cook, loves spices. They all know that those spices that come from India are topnotch so she would love for the store to be opened. Wines, sauces; different things she can do with it. Beers, beer can chicken. Carrie asked who doesn't love that. She stated that this is something their community could use that there is nothing in Madera like that. She drives all the way into Fresno to get everything that way. She thinks the ones that have the best saffron, she has to go all the way into Selma so definitely something Madera could use. There's nothing else like it. Carrie stated that it will be really pretty so she doesn't see why it was denied in the first place and thanked the Council for their time.

Council Members thanked Carrie.

Mayor Poythress asked if there were any other members of the public wishing to speak on this item.

Jeanie Martinez stated that she's lost her voice and asked that the Council bear with her. Ms. Martinez stated that she's known Mr. Lateef and his dad since they opened the store. She indicates that she is a Pepsi-holic so she is there daily buying her Pepsi. She was a little concerned because they were talking about the mental health and the welfare of the children that might go in and see the beer and the alcohol and the tobacco but asked if the Council has been to Rite Aid. There is the ice cream stand; there's all the liquor. It's right there out in the open. It's not behind anything. It's not locked up. She just kind of found it hard to believe that it would even have been a point since almost directly across the street there's 200 or 300 kids a day eating ice cream with the alcohol sitting on the shelf right beside them. Ms. Martinez stated that Mr. Lateef runs a clean store. They have no problems. They don't allow loitering around outside and she just doesn't think it would be a problem in the neighborhood and she's just here offering her support. Ms. Martinez thanked the Council.

Mayor Poythress thanked Ms. Martinez.

Deborah Bernal with Madera County Health Department stated that this idea for the store is great the way it sounds. The Madera County Health Department wants to highlight the fact that it is close to a school and this new idea versus the previous idea with the tobacco and liquor store focus is great. She stated that the Council could also go the extra mile and restrict flavored tobaccos because as they all know its young children that start smoking. The younger they start smoking, the easier it is for them to become a smoker. Ms. Martinez stated that it's not a particular store owner that decides what goes into their store necessarily, it's the tobacco industry. If they're going to carry Marlboro Lights, they're going to carry the menthols and the other flavored e-juices and all the other items that come with it; they have promotions. The flavors are great tropical fruit, strawberry and chocolate. They have to be very careful with these flavors, because are they marketing to adults or are they marketing to children. Ms. Bernal stated that they see them a lot of times because they go out and do observational surveys. They see them behind the counter but they are literally right next to the gum and sometimes they are not behind a case. She's not saying that is going to be the case at this store. It's very admirable that a family that is into health as a respiratory tech and an

MD, they know the consequences of alcohol, tobacco and the early exposures. If they're walking to and from school every day seeing it what that means. If they go into a store and they see it every day, because the signs are at eye level in a lot of the stores..... This new concept would be great. Ms. Bernal asks that the Council consider asking that flavored tobacco not be a part of the store because who are they targeting with the flavored tobacco. As a mother of two young boys, that's her passion right there. They want to keep children..... She knows it's the parent's responsibility. She knows the lady pointed out Rite Aid.... Twenty-five years ago they could ride in their car without car seats. Today, they would look at that and say they're insane if they don't have a car seat. Just because something was normalized..... She was born in 1979 so she remembers watching tv and seeing people smoking. They don't see that any more so she wants to make, they want Madera to be beautiful. They want Madera to be a place that people compare to Clovis. They had a lot of Clovis talk today. Ms. Bernal asked why people want to move to Clovis. She stated that if they go into a lot of the areas of Clovis, they do not have convenience stores. They have other type of stores like what the family is now thinking of doing. So again she asks the City Council to consider not having flavored tobacco as part of the store. Ms. Bernal asked if the Council had any questions for her.

Mayor Poythress thanked her.

Myra Miranda with the Madera County Public Health Department advised the Council that she brought some education packets on how the retail environment affects youths. Ms. Miranda reiterated that they don't have a problem with businesses opening up or anything. Their concern is with tobacco, specifically with flavored tobacco and e-cigarettes. They're just asking that as a consideration, because as they all know, the average smoker doesn't start as an adult they usually start under 18 years old and the longer a person puts off putting smoking the more likely it is they will not smoke. She doesn't know if the Council has seen some of the ads that the California Department of Public Health has put on and how similar these flavored tobacco products are to candy. That is their concern in terms of the store opening up. They have no problem with the store. They just want to make sure that Madera is healthy and that is the primary goal of the Health Department, to make sure that all Maderans are healthy. Reducing exposure of any kind especially when it comes to tobacco would probably benefit the community in the long term. Ms. Miranda thanked the Council.

Mayor Poythress asked if there were any other members of the public who wished to address the Council on this item.

A gentleman approached the podium and stated that he wasn't going to speak today, but he does know Mr. Lateef and he goes to the store all the time.

Mayor Poythress directed the gentleman to state his name.

Adam Horner stated that although they do sell tobacco and alcohol there as far as compared to other convenience stores around Madera they're very limited in their advertising and where they keep their stuff. They keep all their tobacco behind the thing and they run their store really well and they do that now when they don't have these restrictions in a fair amount. He takes his daughter in the store and it's probably the only convenience store he will take her in to because they're not blasted with those ads. Mr. Horner stated that they do have ads, but they're not blasted with them, they keep them on the low. Mr. Horner stated that they are doing a good job now and he doesn't see why they wouldn't do a good job especially if the Council is going to give them restrictions on what they can sell and how they can sell and stuff like that. Mr. Horner thanked the Council.

Mayor Poythress asked if there were any other members of the public who wished to address this issue.

Mr. Evans thanked everyone for the comments and stated that they're quite reasonable and well-taken. He stated that cigarette smoking is gross and he has two small kids and they get a lot anti-smoking education at school, however it is a legal product. Mr. Lateef has said he'll agree to a condition for no e-cigarettes. He wouldn't sell the flavored tobaccos that he thinks Public Health is talking about. They're not sure where that definition..... There are certain cigarettes that might qualify as flavored tobacco that they think are

different than what the audience is talking about that are marketed to youth so they would have to work with staff to craft something that gets at Public Health's concern but that's fine.

Mayor Poythress stated that if there's no other members of the public who wish to address the particular item, they'll close the public hearing and bring it back to Council for discussion.

Council Member Medellin stated he is a little confused as to these specific conditions. He asked if the specific conditions from the Planning Commission meeting are the same ones that they heard this evening about restrictions and things like that.

Brent Richardson, City Attorney, stated that they are not the same. These conditions were offered up after counsel for the appellant became involved and it is just an attempt at a resolution to the problem. Mr. Richardson stated that they are addressing the objections to the cause of denial and he believes that was the whole purpose. Most of these were offered after the fact and Mr. Boyle can confirm that.

Mr. Boyle stated that at their first meeting with the appellant and their counsel they proposed the set of conditions of approval.

Council Member Medellin asked that in fairness, the Planning Commission did not get to hear the same testimony that they've heard this evening or the same compromise that Mr. Lateef may have.

Mr. Richardson replied that was correct and that it's an attempt to try to work with the objections and overcome the issues.

Council Member Medellin stated that they are here regarding an appeal based on Planning Commission's decision but if Planning Commission's decision was not based on what they're hearing this evening, then how could that be fair.

Mr. Richardson replied that there are a couple options: They can either uphold or deny the decision or overturn it or their other option, as suggested by counsel for the appellant, would be to direct staff to bring back a revised set of conditions which incorporate the restrictions if the Councils feels that would be something they'd entertain.

Mr. Richardson initially thought that the Council should send it back to the Planning Commission but he thinks they would end up going around in circles. He thinks the Council has every right to keep it here and his suggestion would be that if they were to entertain something like that, that the Council direct staff to develop a set of conditions that are livable and bring it back to the Council for a final approval subject to any changes they might want.

Council Member Medellin stated that they can customize it which is not something that they've done and he can appreciate that. He wished they could customize a lot of CUPs based on certain circumstances and surroundings and things of that nature, but it's only as good as enforcement. They can set circumstance or customize this to fit but if they don't have any way of enforcing it then what's the use. It's just a point he's trying to make that's all. He doesn't really expect an answer, but he just wants to make that point. Council Member Medellin stated that they have a Sign Ordinance and as they can see from not only this picture but other pictures, it's not being followed. They are short staffed and he doesn't know who's going in and see that they have 10% dairy and 20% vegetables. That is something that is just not feasible.

Mr. Evans offered to address the concern.

Mayor Poythress asked that Mr. Evans come up to the microphone.

Mr. Evans stated that they deal with this all the time. Cities are short staffed and how do they hold businesses to their promise. Mr. Evans stated that an easy way is that the Council build in a one year review of the CUP. Not from today's date but from whenever they actually open and get the license if this

is approved. The Council builds in a one year review and directs staff to come back and report to the Council or the Commission if they are following the conditions. Mr. Evans stated that some cities have that in every CUP. He stated that if it's a noticed hearing, Mr. Lateef will reimburse the City for the cost of that notice and he's very confident that Mr. Lateef will run the place like he says he's going to run it but if they don't the City has all the control; the City has all the tools. The City could further condition the CUP; they could suspend it; they could revoke it.

Mayor Poythress asked staff that based on this suggestion and having the premises reviewed at Mr. Lateef's expense, could that be something that they could tickler in some type of system to make sure that happens.

Mr. Richardson responded that is actually not that uncommon. Oftentimes they'll put a certain review period in CUPs especially when there's a concern that maybe something won't be followed. Mr. Richardson stated that Mr. Evans is correct as it's not that uncommon here either.

Council Member Oliver stated that there are a couple of things that came to his mind while reading through the staff report. It is precedents and he knows one of the findings the Planning Commission made was the possibility that this development, this potential business might stunt redevelopment of Bethard Square. Ultimately, he thinks Bethard Square and the new ownership there are going to have some ideas as far as tenants and what they are going to do with that property that the Council may or may not be in agreement with or prefer but ultimately he doesn't think it's going to be the Council's hands guiding that decision but the market and local policy. That is his concern as far as precedence. Council Member Oliver thinks that from everything he's looked at and read, he believes they have the policy in place that would support a use and business like theirs. The other is the precedence with regards to the Type 20 moratorium. Council Member Oliver asked Mr. Boyle if he could quantify how many applications they've denied because of that moratorium in the past two years. Council Member Oliver asked if this is something that comes up quite often and asked that Mr. Boyle elaborate a little bit.

Mr. Boyle responded that most of the ABC licenses that are issued are not Type 20 licenses and that's important to note. More often than not, the licenses they issue are for restaurants and the like which are not Type 20 licenses; they're on-site consumption. When they have granted Type 20 licenses they're typically transfer licenses that come from one location in the County or in some cases in the City to another location. That hasn't always been the case. There have been, call them accidents that have happened..... The last time that they didn't catch the Type 20 moratorium was in 2012. As you move further back in the record and policies change over time and he couldn't volunteer what type of record they would have back in time.....but in the time that he's been here, which is about nine years, they've required transfer of license except in the omission in 2012.

Mayor Poythress asked if that was the Chevron station at Yosemite. Mayor Poythress asked if there had been an issue with that. It was owned by somebody and they lost the....

Council Member Medellin stated that was the Myers family and it was like 2009, 2010.

Mr. Boyle replied that was a case of another appeal where ultimately the Council took action to allow for the re-establishment of that use. Mr. Boyle stated that the use he's calling out is Family Mart at Howard and Pine Street.

Council Member Oliver asked that if there were any repercussions from the state with the Family Mart application or did they meet those exceptions.

Mr. Boyle replied, no, that as noted in his report once a use permit is approved the process that is followed with ABC has been satisfied. The PCN is issued on the part of ABC not the City so the City's opportunity to comment came with the use permit.

Council Member Robinson stated that if the store was a restaurant such as an Indian Pakistani Restaurant and they had beer, wine and on-site consumption which would be much more favorable for ethnic foods as opposed to a convenience store where a lot of people are against alcohol consumption because of health problem and smoking.....

Council Member Medellin stated that it is the stigma of another convenience store. He knows the Lateef family and he knows their current business and it is very clean and is run very professional. A well-planned City is something that they look at five years, 10 years and 20 years down the road. He read the minutes where the Chief had made a comment about the moratorium although they have room in the census tract. If you look at the City of Madera as a whole there's an issue. There's a problem with calls for service, drunk in public or whatever. He's not putting that label on this family but in general. He is pro-business and he would like it to be done right and professionally and within the law and give every business owner every opportunity to be successful but he still has concern over some of the Planning Commission concerns with proximity to the school and in relation to development across the street at Bethard Square. Bethard Square has been a blight for a long, long time and they're just now starting to renovate.

Council Medellin stated that there were issues on Planning Commission years ago about having a convenience store across the street from a lung cancer center or things of that nature. They had people in there asking what kind of message and saying that same thing such as that they are treating people with diseases and as they walk out to the parking lot there's signs like that Super 7 mart right there. Council Medellin stated that if he's correct, they're putting a fitness center across the street at Bethard Square.

Mr. Boyle replied that there is an application for Planet Fitness to be established in the Mi Rancho Market.

Council Member Medellin stated that he's just looking at a well-planned City that they can be proud of, starting with this particular community in Bethard Square. He's just making comments and kind of speaking out because he's going back to being fair and everything he's read and the minutes that he's read and everything that was presented to Planning Commission and today there's kind of a little bit of a monkey wrench into it. He thinks a specialty Indian Pakistani store, of things we're all tired of people driving out of town to obtain, he would love to see that here and who better to do that than the proponent and his family and who better knows that particular market than the proponent. Council Member Medellin stated that he just wants to be sure that they're not setting precedent. That they are not doing a case-by-case basis and putting stipulations on something and then they are not policing that.

Mayor Poythress stated that from what he heard tonight, based on some of the self-imposed conditions and suggestions, he likes what he heard. He looks through some of the reasons this was denied and he has some problems with it. Too many convenience stores, there are, they're everywhere but a traditional convenience store is not something that's going to bring additional revenue. It's going to cut into an existing pie but they're talking about something little bit different in this particular case. Mayor Poythress stated that at looking at what's proposed, he doesn't see how in the world it would interfere with a positive redevelopment of Bethard Square. In regards to the proximity of schools and he thinks they already beat that up and the issue of protecting... He doesn't know, he thinks that as Council Member Medellin pointed out there are a lot of things that the Planning Commission didn't have an opportunity to review but he thinks that the Lateef family has been pro-active in terms of looking for ways to overcome some of the objections and he wouldn't be opposed to directing staff to come up with some conditions that would be acceptable for opening for this particular market especially with the 7 am to 10 pm. They are not talking about particular late hours. Mayor Poythress stated that knowing the Lateef family, he knows that a lot of testimonyand he can't tell you how many phone calls how many people that were calling him in terms of proponents but he thinks it goes to show their reputation in terms of operating stores. Mayor Poythress again stated that he would not be opposed to having staff take a look at some of these conditions and bringing back something that's acceptable.

Council Member Medellin stated that he too can appreciate the input of Madera County Health Department, as they should be. He spoke with them about underage smoking and drinking and things of that nature and it should be addressed. He would have thought there would be more neighbors here expressing

concern as well so that they have an opportunity to work together and pitch their idea and that's what it's about. Council Member Medellin stated that that is what it's about, trying to come to an agreement to where they can all get along. He has no objection to staff reviewing what's been proposed. He also has no objection to keeping this item at this level instead of back at Planning Commission if it will save some time and if they're going to be the ultimate say and if they have that option. Council Member Medellin suggested that they just keep it there at the Council level.

Mayor Poythress asked for consensus.

Council Member Holley stated that he would like to say one thing. He kept quiet for a reason because he serves on one of the boards out there but when he sees a business come into Madera that's homely, that's trying to do something for a culture, he loves that and they can talk about why do they have so many convenience stores. It's because they can't get the big stores to come to Madera so they get these mom and pop stores that pop up all over their City and some make great. He hasn't really seen a whole lot that has caused a lot of issues because he's probably got 8 or 9 of them right in the same area but they're problem-less. There's no problems around them. They're conducting themselves. Council Member Holley stated that sometimes they go in there and see the signs and the Health Department goes in and talk with the different owners and they comply with moving items out of the way out of people's sight. So they have to work at these things but he wouldn't want to see a business that's trying hard to do something and is going to do the right thing for a culture which means a lot that he takes his family in consideration and trying to have something there specially for them. Council Member Holley stated that he'd like to see some graphics drafted up to where they can make this work. Also, throw in, if this is not working then have a review in year and see where they're at with that.

Council Member Medellin stated that the original use, because they bought it from Captain Kirk, was going to be a cell phone use. They haven't talked about that tonight and Council Member Medellin asked if that is still something that they're considering as part of their square footage, to still do accessories, cell phones, things like that or are they now strictly talking about a convenience store.

Mr. Lateef responded that as far as the cell phone goes, he's mainly doing repairs. He doesn't have a whole lot of products excessive like selling new phones or offering new plans. He's just doing repairs. He has a separate repair room in the back. Customers come and drop off their phone and they leave. He handles the repair part in the back.

Council Member Medellin asked if the customer floor space area will be devoted to the convenience store and Mr. Lateef replied affirmatively.

Council Member Medellin asked if in the back room; he's been there plenty of times and Mr. Lateef replied that in a side room.

Council Member Medellin reiterated that Mr. Lateef will do some small repairs and stuff and Mr. Lateef agreed it was just small repairs and stuff.

Council Member Medellin stated he was just getting clarification and thanked Mr. Lateef.

Mr. Lateef stated it was no problem and thanked Council Member Medellin.

Council Member Robinson stated that a lot of convenience stores start out doing one, two or three things and then before you know it they are doing 15 things; a variety of things to make up for other businesses not being around.

Mayor Poythress commented they are looking for opportunity.

Council Member Robinson agreed that they're looking for opportunity but stated that when he lived in Berkeley he went to this Indian restaurant. They made some of the best chicken and they need more

culinary in the City of Madera and that would be a plus. Also, they can look forward to doing something like that.

Council Member Medellin stated that at Planning Commission they did sometimes put a one year review or sometimes a six month review or there was a time they did an every three month review. It sounds a little harsh but at the same time it could work in their favor that the more times they go in and visit and see that they're running the business as they said they were it's just more feathers in their cap.

Mr. Lateef stated that he doesn't have a problem with that.

Council Member Medellin jokingly stated someone may be there every day, but won't say who.

Mr. Lateef replied, "Be my guest."

Council Member Medellin thank Mr. Lateef.

Mr. Richardson suggested that if the Council wants staff to bring something back then they should continue this item to a date certain to avoid any further noticing requirements. Maybe to one of the May meetings or something like that. He doesn't know what staff feels it will take to get something put together. They're going to obviously get to together with the...

Dave Merchen, Community Development Director, stated that he thinks that in terms of the direction that's been given they understand that and it's not going to take meaningful time to incorporate the conditions which have already really been drafted by Mr. Evans and the applicant so they incorporate those in short order and as early as the next Council meeting. He thinks they can be prepared to do so.

Mr. Richardson stated that would be two weeks and asked the applicant if April 20th would work for him.

Mr. Evans stated that he and Ms. Odin were talking on the way up here and his office has five appearances on April 20th, so he would ask for 30 days.

Mayor Poythress stated they're looking for the first meeting in May.

Mr. Richardson stated, May 4th.

Mr. Evans stated he can make that work, absolutely.

Mr. Richardson stated that he would suggest a motion continuing to that date if that's what the Council's desire was.

ON MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLEY, AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MEDELLIN, ITEM C-1 WAS CONTINUED TO THE MAY 4, 2016 CITY COUNCIL MEETING BY A VOTE OF 5-0. ABSTENTION: MAYOR PRO TEM RIGBY

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby reentered the Council Chambers.

Council Member Robinson asked Mayor Poythress if they could take a five minute break.

Mayor Poythress stated that it's been requested that they take a five minute break. They will convene back in five minutes. [Break at 7:45 pm.]

Mayor Poythress resumed the meeting at 7:49 pm. He stated that they've had a really great fulfilling five minute break and now they're ready to hit the rest of the agenda with gusto.

C-2 Public Hearing and Consideration of a Resolution of the City Council Confirming Special Assessments for Delinquent Administrative Fines (Report by Brent Richardson)

Brent Richardson, City Attorney, state that he would like to pull the 1100 Garfield Avenue property off the exhibit, so they'll just be confirming the first property on the Exhibit A. He stated that he'd contacted counsel for the bank that is in charge of the Garfield property and counsel for the bank had some concerns that he, Mr. Richardson, wasn't prepared to address so he wants to vet those out before they confirm it rather than get something wrong. He'd rather confirm they're doing it right. Mr. Richardson asks that they just confirm the first one.

Mayor Poythress asked if there were any questions for Mr. Richardson before they opened up the public hearing. Seeing none; he opened up the public hearing. He asked if there were any members of the public who would like to address the Council on this item: Seeing none, he closed the public hearing and brought it back to Council for action.

ON MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER MEDELLIN, AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER OLIVER, ITEM C-2, RES. NO. 16-43 WAS ADOPTED WITH THE EXCLUSION OF THE GARFIELD PROPERTY UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 6-0.

RES. NO. 16-43 RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA CONFIRMING SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS FOR DELINQUENT ADMINISTRATIVE FINES.

C-3 Public Hearing and Annual Report on Development Impact Fees for the 2014-2015 Fiscal Year and Consideration of a Resolution Adopting this Report and Making Certain Findings Related to this Report (Report by Tim Przybyla)

Tim Przybyla, Director of Financial Services, stated that the Government Code Section 66001 requires public agencies to review on an annual basis the status of collected development impact fees. The code also requires that the public agencies post the notice at least 15 days prior to the public hearing and staff has posted the notice at the City bulletin board. The detail breakdown of each of these fees and the staff report are included in this agenda packet for their review. Mr. Przybyla stated that Staff recommends that Council open a public hearing to allow the public to ask or to give any input on this matter and then upon closing the public hearing if Council accepts the report, staff recommends that the Council adopt the resolution provided herein.

Mayor Poythress asked if there were any questions for Mr. Przybyla before they opened up the public hearing. Seeing none; he opened up the public hearing. He asked if there were any members of the public who would like to address the Council on this item: Seeing none, he closed the public hearing and brought it back to Council for action. No other questions were asked and Mayor Poythress called for title.

Sonia Alvarez, City Clerk, advised the Mayor that this item is a resolution.

Mayor Poythress apologized and asked for a motion for action.

ON MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER HOLLEY, AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER OLIVER, ITEM C-3, RES. NO. 16-44 WAS ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 6-0.

RES. NO. 16-44 A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA REVIEWING CERTAIN IMPACT FEE ACCOUNTS, ADOPTING THE REPORT AND MAKING CERTAIN FINDINGS RELATED THERETO

C-4 Second Reading and Consideration of Adoption of an Ordinance Prezoning Approximately 18.96 Acres Located at the Northwest Corner of Tozer Street (Road

28) and South A Street to the PF (Public Facilities) Zone District in Support of the Construction of an Elementary School (Report by Chris Boyle)

Chris Boyle, Planning Manger stated that staff would continue to recommend adoption of the ordinance which would allow for the subsequent application for annexation by the school district and facilitate the construction of the elementary school. He stated that completes his presentation and he would be happy to answer any questions.

Mayor Poythress stated that this is in an area where the County is going to be putting in some improvements that's going to create a huge economic impact on that area of town, he's teasing, he heard that on report last week and was just wondering if this was nearby.

Council Member Medellin stated that they pinky swore from what he heard.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby stated it was a town house agreement.

Mayor Poythress asked if members of the Council had any questions for Mr. Boyle in regards to this item. No questions were asked and Mayor Poythress called for title.

The ordinance was read by title by the City Clerk.

ON MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER OLIVER, AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MEDELLIN, FURTHER READING WAS WAIVED AND ITEM C-4, ORD. NO. 933 C.S. WAS ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF 6-0.

ORD. NO. 933 C.S. AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA AMENDING THE OFFICIAL CITY OF MADERA ZONING MAP PREZONING APPROXIMATELY TWENTY ACRES LOCATED AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF TOZER STREET (ROAD 28) AND SOUTH A STREET TO THE PF (PUBLIC FACILITY) ZONE DISTRICT AS IDENTIFIED WITHIN EXHIBIT "A"

D. WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS

There are no items for this section.

E. ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

E-1 Presentation of the Preliminary City of Madera Capital Budgets for Fiscal Year 2016/2017

Tim Przybyla, Director of Financial Services, introduced Patricia Barboza the new Financial Services Manager. Mr. Przybyla stated that she is very influential in helping them get the clean opinion with the auditors. She helped prepare the AB 1600 reports that he presented to the Council recently. She comes with a lot of experience and has been very helpful to him and he just wanted to give a little credit to her for those things.

Mayor Poythress thanked him.

Mr. Przybyla stated that he will give a quick presentation of the preliminary capital project budgets for Fiscal Year 16/17. He just wanted to beat their chest a little bit and have Council recognize that they are an award winning City and that they obtained the California Society of Municipal Finance Officers Operating Budget Excellence award for their 15/16 Fiscal Year budget.

Mayor Poythress congratulated him.

Mr. Przybyla indicated that they are now getting the budget rolling. This is the first of six presentations. There will be four preliminary presentations. This is the first of those four. Then there'll be a budget workshop in early June and then a Final Budget presentation on June 15 and no action is required on this item. This is informational. Mr. Przybyla stated that the Capital Projects are somewhat different than Operational Budget. They're funded by grants, special revenues or reserves that are built up over time until there's enough money built up to fund the major projects that they have in mind. They may fluctuate because of that from one year to another whereas Operational Budgets you expect them to stay somewhat consistent unless there's a real good reason for increases or decreases. These budgets also tend to be postponed when funds are tight, but currently they plan to complete a good number of deferred maintenance over the next several years. In the 2016/2017 proposed projects, they have a total of \$8 million. \$2.7 of that is for water projects; \$221,000 for sewer projects, \$1.5 million for an airport project, \$3.2 million in streets, sidewalks and paths and \$107,000 in non-street park projects. The reason he says non-street is because part of the street, sidewalk and paths figure includes a couple of projects that are actually Parks, but he grouped them with Streets and then there's \$67,000 in fire projects and \$160,000 in drainage projects. Current year's 15/16 budget included over \$9 million of capital projects. Mr. Przybyla indicated that the Council has packets with a listing of Capital Projects and at the bottom it shows how those are grouped and directly where those numbers came from. Mr. Przybyla stated that if there are any questions on any of the projects, he is sure that the departments would be glad to answer them at this time.

Mr. Przybyla stated that the mid-year budget looked good. Departments are still performing well in their budgets. They hope to finish this year with a surplus in the General Fund and departments are working hard on their budgets and submitting information in a timely manner, and they anticipate another award winning budget. Mr. Przybyla stated that he would answer any questions they might have, but he doubts that they have any at this time.

Mayor Poythress indicated that he did have a question. Mayor Poythress asked for the criteria to get an award winning budget. Mr. Przybyla stated that, that was a whole other meeting. Mayor Poythress asked if they submitted a video. Mr. Przybyla stated that there were no videos and that the City Administrator could comment.

David Tooley, City Administrator stated that there is a great deal of objective criteria against which their presentation is judged.

Mayor Poythress stated that he was curious. It sounded really interesting.

Mr. Przybyla stated that it was a big team effort. They had to state City goals and objectives and as they do further budget presentations they will tout those as they give those presentations.

Mr. Tooley commented that only a banker or a finance professional is fascinated by those kinds of things.

Council Member Medellin stated that if there's an award to be had, Mr. Mayor wants a piece of it.

E-2 Acceptance of the Audited Financial Statements and Single Audit Report (Federal Grants) for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2015 (Report by Tim Przybyla)

This item was heard out of order and discussion may be found immediately following consent items above.

F. COUNCIL REPORTS

Council Member Robinson stated that he has nothing to report.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby stated that he had the opportunity to join the Rosewood Court Neighborhood Watch group; their first meeting with Council Member Medellin. It went really well. He was able to present the Blue Stripe Initiative as that's moving forward as well. They'll be painting curbs blue with red stripes beginning next Monday.

He was also honored to be invited to Desmond Middle School where he got to share a little bit more about what their City government does to the Desmond Community Service Group under the direction of Miss Jamie Ashburn.

Mayor Pro Tem Rigby stated that he enjoyed their State of the City that Mr. Mayor addressed; well done. He's sure the team did a great job of putting together the video and kudos to Joseph in the Parks Department for a job well done, above and beyond. That was so great.

He just wanted to say a special thank you from his wife to staff. They received a special gift from staff celebrating the birth of their son. Mayor Pro Tem Rigby stated that it's good to be back, after missing a month, but his wife and sons are doing well. They are on no sleep, but that's the duty of what they chose. It's fun.

Council Member Holley stated that he had one great exciting project coming up this Saturday; Bowl For Kids' Sake for the Big Brothers Big Sisters Program. They are welcome to come out and watch it at Madera Bowl. It's going to be from 12 to 2 pm and watch it Hawaiian style, so they can come out and they might win some great prizes. It's going to be exciting.

Council Member Medellin stated that he has nothing to report.

Council Member Oliver stated that last Saturday he attended the First Stride for Inclusion 5K and Family Walk for Madera Special Needs Sports and Recreation. The event was a great success. He had an opportunity to welcome the crowd on behalf of his colleagues and the Mayor and it was just very impressive to see the turn out, to see all that they've been able to accomplish in just a short period of time. Council Member Oliver believes that a little over 200 people were there in total, so it was a great success and hopefully he'll be able to run again next year.

Mayor Poythress stated that they were really worried when they first had this idea to get this thing going and it turned out to be a great success. Mayor Poythress stated that it was great and wonderful and thanked Council Member Oliver for being there.

Mayor Poythress stated the only thing he has to report is that he had an opportunity to participate in a wedding, not his own, but that of his daughter, last Saturday. He stated that for the dads out there and for the dads who've had married daughters, he thought it was no big deal until he's right there and you have your daughter there and you're getting ready to walk her down and it kind of hits you and it just like "Woah". It was a wonderful time.

Mayor Poythress stated that the other thing is this Saturday; they have their great Parks & Rec opportunity in the park; participate in park clean ups and trail work and getting the community together to participate as one to help clean up our City, so he's looking forward to that. 8:30 am at the Town & County Park parking lot. Coffee from 8 to 9 am, so he may be there at 8:05 am.

G. CLOSED SESSION – This item was withdrawn. No discussion or action taken.

G-1 Closed Session Announcement – City Attorney

G-2 Conference with Legal Counsel – Pending Litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(d)(1): 2 cases:

Marvin Fortner WCAB No. ADJ 8269630 & ADJ 8269726

G-3 Closed Session Report – City Attorney

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned by Mayor Poythress at 8:03 p.m.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN

Approval of the minutes is not addressed in the vision or action plans; the requested action is also not in conflict with any of the actions or goals contained in that plan.

SONIA ALVAREZ, City Clerk

ROBERT L. POYTHRESS, Mayor

Prepared by:
ZELDA LEÓN, Deputy City Clerk

City of Madera

Council Meeting Of June 1st, 2016
Agenda Item No. B-2

Memorandum To: The Honorable Mayor,
City Council and City Administrator

From: Office of the Director of Finance

Subject: Listing of Warrants Issued

Date: 06/01/2016

Attached, for your information, is the register of the warrants for the City of Madera covering obligations paid during the period of:

May 10th, 2016 to May 23rd, 2016

Each demand has been audited and I hereby certify to their accuracy and that there were sufficient funds for their payment.

General Warrant:	204014-204210	\$	890,839.18
Wire Transfer	Union Bank Payroll and Taxes	\$	570,411.77
Wire Transfer	SDI	\$	1,729.65
Wire Transfer	Cal Pers	\$	151,588.80

Respectfully submitted,



Tim Przybyla
Financial Services Director


CITY OF MADERA
REGISTER OF AUDITED DEMANDS FOR BANK #1-UNION BANK GENERAL ACCOUNT
May 23rd, 2016

CHECK	PAY DATE	ISSUED TO	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
204014	5/12/2016	DAMIANO, ANITA	REFUND DEP FOR HANGAR UNIT H	35.00
204015	5/12/2016	RAMIREZ, MAGDALENO	ENCROACHMENT PERMIT BOND DEP REFUND 4947	500.00
204016	5/12/2016	MARTINEZ, YVONNE	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204017	5/12/2016	AT&T	04/16 CALNET 3 SVS 9391026388	1,089.17
204018	5/12/2016	AT&T	04/16 CALNET 3 SVS 9391026407	891.24
204019	5/12/2016	AT&T	04/16 CALNET 3 SVS 9391031559	2,210.74
204020	5/12/2016	AT&T	04/16 CALNET 3 SVS 9391031580	173.13
204021	5/12/2016	AECOM	SS&SD PROJECT 60097011	2,997.40
204022	5/12/2016	AMERICAN BUSINESS MACHINES	COLOR PLOTTER REPAIR	903.07
204023	5/12/2016	AMERICAN MOBILE SHREDDING	SHREDDING SVS PD	280.00
204024	5/12/2016	AMERICAN PUBLIC WORKS ASSOCIATION	MEMBERSHIP RENEWAL	184.00
204025	5/12/2016	THE ARC FRESNO	CITY CAN ORDERS APRIL 2016	1,842.24
204026	5/12/2016	BRIDGE STORE	ALTERNATIVE PAY STATION SVS APR 2016	717.00
204027	5/12/2016	CALIFORNIA CLIMATE CONTROL, INC.	HVAC REPAIR	2,507.30
204028	5/12/2016	MADERA TROPHY	SHIRTS EMBROIDERED/SOLID WASTE	106.27
204029	5/12/2016	CONCENTRA MEDICAL CENTERS	PRE-EMPLOYMENT PHYSICAL/DOT TESTING	349.50
204030	5/12/2016	CORELOGIC INFORMATION SOLUTIONS INC	METROSCAN 04/16	150.00
204031	5/12/2016	ADMINISTRATIVE SOLUTIONS INC.	MONTHLY ADMIN FEE MAY 2016	3,135.00
204032	5/12/2016	MARAVILLA AUGUSTINA	Utility Billing Credit Refund	180.61
204033	5/12/2016	SANCHEZ JOSE	Utility Billing Credit Refund	215.51
204034	5/12/2016	FIPPS BILL AND JANICE SKELTON	Utility Billing Credit Refund	192.21
204035	5/12/2016	SINGH PARAMJEET	Utility Billing Credit Refund	157.71
204036	5/12/2016	CANALES VINCENT JR	Utility Billing Credit Refund	180.68
204037	5/12/2016	ESCHEIK FARIS OR CITY OF MADERA	Utility Billing Credit Refund	237.71
204038	5/12/2016	MORALEZ MACARIO R OR CITY OF MADERA	Utility Billing Credit Refund	53.66
204039	5/12/2016	OKAMURA KENNETH AND MARY LOU	Utility Billing Deposit Refund	4.16
204040	5/12/2016	CITY OF MADERA OR GIL VALERIE	Utility Billing Credit Refund	130.36
204041	5/12/2016	MCKEEVER BECKY	Utility Billing Deposit Refund	50.56
204042	5/12/2016	ROBIN KAY BINGHAM SEPARATE PROPERTY TRUST	Utility Billing Credit Refund	171.00
204043	5/12/2016	ROUNTREE VALENTA VEE OR CITY OF MADERA	Utility Billing Credit Refund	103.48
204044	5/12/2016	TORRES HECTOR AND VERONICA RODRIGUEZ	Utility Billing Credit Refund	139.55
204045	5/12/2016	KEY CHARLES	Utility Billing Credit Refund	150.57
204046	5/12/2016	EDGINTON THOMAS H	Utility Billing Deposit Refund	26.72
204047	5/12/2016	SANTOYO MIRIAM	Utility Billing Credit Refund	123.46
204048	5/12/2016	DONALDSON MICHELLE	Utility Billing Credit Refund	79.32
204049	5/12/2016	RUBIO LYDIA OR CITY OF MADERA	Utility Billing Credit Refund	174.51
204050	5/12/2016	SANCHEZ SUSANA	Utility Billing Credit Refund	140.37
204051	5/12/2016	MAGANA CHARLES	Utility Billing Credit Refund	134.70
204052	5/12/2016	ANGELS OF GRACE THRIFT STORE	Utility Billing Credit Refund	63.86
204053	5/12/2016	DAVIS JAMES M	Utility Billing Credit Refund	88.60
204054	5/12/2016	ENTENMANN-ROVIN CO	VOLUNTEER BADGE	208.36
204055	5/12/2016	ESPINOZA SEWER SERVICE	TOILET SERVICE	50.00
204056	5/12/2016	FORENSIC NURSE SPECIALISTS, INC.	FORENSIC SERVICE	2,300.00
204057	5/12/2016	FRESNO COUNTY ECONOMIC OPPTY. COMMISSION	ADC MEALS MARCH 2016	764.99
204058	5/12/2016	FRESNO REPROGRAPHICS	216-1 AIRPORT PROJECT PLANS/SPECS	2,348.48
204059	5/12/2016	GOLDEN STATE OVERNIGHT	OVERNIGHT SHIPPING	203.35
204060	5/12/2016	GUARDIAN WESTERN SWEEPING INC.	MONTHLY POWER SWEEPING	521.00
204061	5/12/2016	HARBISON INTERNATIONAL INC.	PINE-PECAN PUBLIC IMPROVE PROJECT PMT 10	2,850.00
204062	5/12/2016	JOHNSON REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL	INSPECTION 224 WILSON AVE/EMMELEE THOMAS	400.00
204063	5/12/2016	CITY OF MADERA RESIDENTIAL REHAB	RCLS CR TO FUND45586/COVER 1YR MAINT CST	517.00
204064	5/12/2016	CITY OF MADERA	APRIL ADC WATER/TRASH 322 W 6TH ST	135.24
204065	5/12/2016	CITY OF MADERA	MAY UTILITIES-INTERMODEL METER	58.45
204066	5/12/2016	CITY OF MADERA	MAY UTILITIES/INTERMODEL METER	102.89
204067	5/12/2016	CITY OF MADERA	REF PREPAYMENT BL #53298-BIBLE LIBRARY	79.00
204068	5/12/2016	MADERA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	FY 16/17 MEMBERSHIP DUES	600.00
204069	5/12/2016	MADERA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	FY 2015-16 MEMBER ASSESSMENT FEE	9,751.00
204070	5/12/2016	MADERA TRIBUNE	AIRPORT AD FOR BID	537.42
204071	5/12/2016	MADERA TRIBUNE	FOG NOTICE	698.62
204072	5/12/2016	WILLDAN FINANCIAL SERVICES	CFD #2006-1 SPECIAL TAX BONDS SERIES2006	2,572.73
204073	5/12/2016	NATIONAL DATA & SURVERYING SERVICES	TRAFFIC COUNTS - ALMOND & GRANADA	725.00

204074	5/12/2016 ONTRAC	MAILING SVS CALHOME	3.59
204075	5/12/2016 P G AND E	04/16 SVS 5225647713-5	9.85
204076	5/12/2016 PETTY CASH - FINANCE DEPT.	PETTY CASH REIMBURSEMENT-FINANCE	383.27
204077	5/12/2016 CONNER, SUSAN ANNE	CAT TRAP DEPOSIT REFUND	21.00
204078	5/12/2016 MARTINEZ, JOSE	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204079	5/12/2016 BREAZELL, TASHIA	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204080	5/12/2016 SILVA, PRISCILLA	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204081	5/12/2016 LOPEZ, GUADALUPE	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204082	5/12/2016 PECK'S PRINTERY	BUSINESS CARDS-PD OFFICERS	311.04
204083	5/12/2016 SOLAR CITY CORP	CANCELLED PERMIT #20151760	1,386.76
204084	5/12/2016 PIERCE CONSTRUCTION	ASPHALT PATCHING	19,728.38
204085	5/12/2016 PITNEY BOWES GLOBAL FINANCIAL SERVICES	QUARTERLY RENTAL (1/30/16-4/29/16)	265.32
204086	5/12/2016 RAIN FOR RENT - SAN JOAQUIN	SCHNOOR EMERGENCY SEWER BYPASS 4/22/16	942.45
204087	5/12/2016 ROBINSON, DEREK	PER DIEM LOCC EXECUTIVE FORUM/ADV LDRSHP	269.90
204088	5/12/2016 SEABURY, COPELAND & ANDERSON	AIRPORT LIABILITY INSURANCE/BROKER FEE	8,230.00
204089	5/12/2016 SEQUOIA EQUIPMENT CO. INC	2015 590SN BACKHOE LOADER	101,589.66
204090	5/12/2016 SPARKLETTS	LAB & DRINKING WATER	119.86
204091	5/12/2016 SPEAKWRITE LLC	TRANSCRIPTION SVS	554.26
204092	5/12/2016 TECHNICON ENGINEERING SVCS., INC.	LAB SVS @ KNOX PARK REHAB PRJCT #PK59	1,272.00
204093	5/12/2016 TESEI PETROLEUM, INC.	FUEL	545.22
204094	5/12/2016 TESEI PETROLEUM INC.	FUEL CHARGES 04/21/16-04/30/16	10,376.22
204095	5/12/2016 THALES CONSULTING, INC.	ANNUAL SCO CITY FIN TRNS/SPECIAL DIST RP	3,900.00
204096	5/12/2016 THYSSENKRUPP ELEVATOR CORPORATION	YOUTH CENTER ELEVATOR SERVICE	241.98
204097	5/12/2016 VIDEO INSPECTION SPECIALISTS, INC.	CCTV INSPECTION SCHNOOR EMERGENCY SEWER	2,100.00
204098	5/12/2016 WESTAMERICA BANK	YOUTH CENTER LEASE NOTE #526-01049	194,256.86
204099	5/19/2016 AGUILAR, FERNANDO	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204100	5/19/2016 LEYVA, ELPIDIO	FACILITY DEPOSIT REFUND	100.00
204101	5/19/2016 STAR CENTER, LLC	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204102	5/19/2016 SAMSON, PATTI	FACILITY DEPOSIT REFUND	100.00
204103	5/19/2016 HEARTLAND TRAINING CENTER	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204104	5/19/2016 AT&T	04/16 CALNET 3 SVS 9391031566	339.98
204105	5/19/2016 ACTIVE NETWORK, LLC.	ACTIVE NET SERVICE	1,333.33
204106	5/19/2016 SANCHEZ, ANTONIA	FACILITY DEPOSIT REFUND	100.00
204107	5/19/2016 ALL VALLEY ADMINISTRATORS	ADMIN FEES FOR MAY 2016	108.00
204108	5/19/2016 ALL VALLEY ADMINISTRATORS	MEDICAL & CHILD CARE EXP 05/20/16 PR	646.64
204109	5/19/2016 BSK ASSOCIATES	PERMIT COMPLIANCE	1,125.50
204110	5/19/2016 BUGGY SHOWER CAR WASH	PD CAR WASHES APR 2016	301.00
204111	5/19/2016 BUSHONG, JASON	MILEAGE REIMB CALL OUT TO WWTP 5/5/16	14.93
204112	5/19/2016 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	FINGERPRINTS APRIL 2016	160.00
204113	5/19/2016 CBCINNOVIS, INC	CREDIT CHECK	16.00
204114	5/19/2016 COLONIAL LIFE & ACCIDENT INSURANCE CO	D700482-3 FOR 05/20/2016 PAYROLL	1,042.48
204115	5/19/2016 COMPUCOM SYSTEMS INC	ANNUAL MICROSOFT RENEWAL	46,033.28
204116	5/19/2016 CONCENTRA MEDICAL CENTERS	PRE-EMPLOYMENT PHYSICALS	523.50
204117	5/19/2016 COOK'S COMMUNICATIONS	PHONES SVS	235.00
204118	5/19/2016 DATAPROSE, LLC	UB STMS MARCH/APRIL 2016	16,664.42
204119	5/19/2016 DIAMOND COMMUNICATIONS	QUARTERLY ALARM MONITORING	431.00
204120	5/19/2016 CROWN SERVICES CO.	SPECIAL EVENT TOILET RENTALS	230.00
204121	5/19/2016 CITY OF MADERA OR SCHIMCHAK AMI	Utility Billing Deposit Refund	177.08
204122	5/19/2016 INGRAM KAREE	Utility Billing Deposit Refund	82.01
204123	5/19/2016 GRANADO DOLORES	Utility Billing Credit Refund	143.03
204124	5/19/2016 CLOETERS IVAN	Utility Billing Credit Refund	140.48
204125	5/19/2016 FELIX MARIA E OR CITY OF MADERA	Utility Billing Credit Refund	218.69
204126	5/19/2016 TOSCHI CARRIE	Utility Billing Credit Refund	181.13
204127	5/19/2016 ALEMAN JANA	Utility Billing Credit Refund	142.13
204128	5/19/2016 CANE CHARLENE	Utility Billing Deposit Refund	45.08
204129	5/19/2016 MENDOZA EULOGIO	Utility Billing Credit Refund	87.28
204130	5/19/2016 DIXON DEBORAH	Utility Billing Credit Refund	154.92
204131	5/19/2016 ELTAREB MELONY OR CITY OF MADERA	Utility Billing Credit Refund	138.32
204132	5/19/2016 FIELDS BRYNISHA	Utility Billing Credit Refund	36.92
204133	5/19/2016 PEREZ APRIL	Utility Billing Credit Refund	41.74
204134	5/19/2016 CAMACHO ROSA OR CITY OF MADERA AND VALENCIA MIGUEL	Utility Billing Deposit Refund	53.15
204135	5/19/2016 DEL TORO CLARISSA RENEE	Utility Billing Credit Refund	68.35
204136	5/19/2016 RUIZ REINA OR CITY OF MADERA	Utility Billing Credit Refund	150.39
204137	5/19/2016 HERNANDEZ JOSE	Utility Billing Credit Refund	150.20
204138	5/19/2016 GOODMAN WAYNE	Utility Billing Credit Refund	5.92


204139	5/19/2016	SHEIKH KHUBAIB	Utility Billing Deposit Refund	47.55
204140	5/19/2016	DANANDEH DAVID	Utility Billing Credit Refund	260.60
204141	5/19/2016	MEGA TRENCHES LAS PALMAS MANAGEMENT	Utility Billing Credit Refund	228.76
204142	5/19/2016	MEGA TRENCHES LAS PALMAS MANAGEMENT	Utility Billing Credit Refund	248.82
204143	5/19/2016	ENTENMANN-ROVIN CO	BADGES	543.29
204144	5/19/2016	FACT AUTOMATED ENTRANCES, INC.	REPAIR FRONT ENTRANCE DOOR @ BERGON	184.50
204145	5/19/2016	FRESNO COUNTY ECONOMIC OPPTY. COMMISSION	ADC MEALS APRIL 2016	726.96
204146	5/19/2016	FRESNO MADERA AREA AGENCY ON AGING	03/16 UNSERVED MEALS	334.40
204147	5/19/2016	FRESNO REPROGRAPHICS	PK 61 CENTENNIAL PARK PLANS/SPECS	941.23
204148	5/19/2016	GEIL ENTERPRISES, INC.	MAY 2016 JANITORIAL SVS	8,489.08
204149	5/19/2016	GOLDEN STATE FLOW MEASUREMENT INC.	METER END POINTS	15,703.00
204150	5/19/2016	GRANTED SOLUTIONS	GRANT ADMINISTRATOR SVS 03/01-03/31/16	11,000.00
204151	5/19/2016	KAISER FOUNDATION HEALTH PLAN	LOUIS REYES FIT FOR DUTY EXAM	355.00
204152	5/19/2016	LANGUAGE LINE SERVICES, INC.	TRANSLATION SVS	6.63
204153	5/19/2016	M A C E A	MAY 2016 MONTHLY DUES	25.00
204154	5/19/2016	CITY OF MADERA	MAY ADC WATER/TRASH 332 W 6TH ST	135.24
204155	5/19/2016	CITY OF MADERA	MAY UTILITIES 703 SHERWOOD WAY	174.53
204156	5/19/2016	M.C.E.A.	MAY 2016 MONTHLY DUES	395.00
204157	5/19/2016	MADERA CLEANERS & LAUNDRY	YOUTH CNETER MAT SVS	32.30
204158	5/19/2016	MADERA COUNTY TREASURER	APRIL 2016 PARKING PENALTIES	211.50
204159	5/19/2016	M P O A	MAY 2016 MONTHLY DUES	6,649.48
204160	5/19/2016	MADERA TRIBUNE	PK 61 CENTENNIAL PARK AD FOR BID	371.80
204161	5/19/2016	MADERA TRIBUNE	HELP WANTED AD	80.75
204162	5/19/2016	MADERA TRIBUNE	TIRE AMNESTY	567.00
204163	5/19/2016	MALLORY CO	RSVC-MAKO ANNUAL SVS	978.24
204164	5/19/2016	MID VALLEY DISPOSAL INC.	WASTE DISPOSAL SVS APRIL 2016	297,278.79
204165	5/19/2016	MOORE-TWINING ASSOCIATES, INC.	CITY PROJECT S10-01 PROGRESS PMT #3	1,394.35
204166	5/19/2016	N.P.C.-ORCHARD TRUST COMPANY	PLAN #340227-01 FOR 05/20/16 PAYROLL	6,043.70
204167	5/19/2016	N.P.C.-ORCHARD TRUST COMPANY	PLAN #340227-02 FOR 05/20/16 PAYROLL	2,340.98
204168	5/19/2016	O'DELL ENGINEERING, INC.	CENTENNIAL PARK PLAYGROUND DESIGN SVS	4,320.00
204169	5/19/2016	OPERATING ENGINEERS, LOCAL #3	MAY 2016 MONTHLY DUES	4,774.00
204170	5/19/2016	ESPINOSA, MARCOS	TURF REPLACEMENT REBATE-1535 LEMON AVE	315.00
204171	5/19/2016	ROHL, JOHN	ALARM PERMIT OVERPMT REFUND-528 SUNDANCE	50.00
204172	5/19/2016	JARAMILLO, AMIEL	ALARM PERMIT REFUND-OUT OF CITY ADDRESS	50.00
204173	5/19/2016	SCHMALL, DANIELLE	T-BALL REFUND-NO TRANSPORTATION	45.00
204174	5/19/2016	UNITED FARM WORKERS OF AMERICA	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204175	5/19/2016	VALDEZ, ALICIA	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	100.00
204176	5/19/2016	FERNANDEZ, LISA	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204177	5/19/2016	BROWN, MARK	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204178	5/19/2016	LARA, BEATRICE	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204179	5/19/2016	CABRERA, LINDA	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204180	5/19/2016	SALAZAR, IRENE	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204181	5/19/2016	VILLAREAL, AURELIA	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204182	5/19/2016	SANCHEZ, KASSANDRA	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204183	5/19/2016	GARCIA, ERICA	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204184	5/19/2016	ALDAMA, PHILIP	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204185	5/19/2016	MENDOZA, JESSICA	FACILITY DEPOSIT REFUND	100.00
204186	5/19/2016	GARCIA, FRANCISCO	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204187	5/19/2016	GONZALES, MARIA GUADALUPE	FACILITY DEPOSIT REFUND	100.00
204188	5/19/2016	JAUREGUI, MARIA	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204189	5/19/2016	PLACIDO, ANA	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204190	5/19/2016	GIL, JEANETTE	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204191	5/19/2016	PECK'S PRINTERY	BUSINESS CARDS-PD OFFICERS	450.47
204192	5/19/2016	CENTRO CRISTIANO JESUS EL CAMINO	PARK DEPOSIT REFUND	50.00
204193	5/19/2016	PHOENIX GROUP INFO SYS	CITATIONS APRIL 2016	217.50
204194	5/19/2016	PIERCE CONSTRUCTION	ASPHALT PATCHING	3,342.30
204195	5/19/2016	PLAYGROUNDS UNLIMITED	KNOX-PLAYGROUND ISNTALL	10,908.40
204196	5/19/2016	PUBLIC RESTROOM COMPANY	CENTENNIAL PARK PROJECT-PROGRESS PMT	9,713.00
204197	5/19/2016	RIDX PEST CONTROL	PEST CONTROL SVS - 935 E YOSEMITE AVE	150.00
204198	5/19/2016	SCRAP TIRE CO.	TRAILER SERVICE	7,500.00
204199	5/19/2016	SITE ONE LANDSCAPE SUPPLY LLC	PARTS/SUPPLIES	1,438.51
204200	5/19/2016	SPECTRATEK	ATT CONTRACT CELL PHONE BODY UNIT SVS	810.00
204201	5/19/2016	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD	WWTP CERT GRADE III - CHICK	400.00
204202	5/19/2016	TAG/AMS, INC.	DOT TESTING-MARIO CARRILLO	26.00
204203	5/19/2016	TAMARACK PEST CONTROL	MAY 2016 PEST CONTROL SVS	450.00

204204	5/19/2016 TESEI PETROLEUM, INC.	FUEL	202.23
204205	5/19/2016 TESEI PETROLEUM INC.	PROPANE	999.19
204206	5/19/2016 THRIVE FITNESS	MAY 2016 MONTHLY DUES	81.00
204207	5/19/2016 VILLA GARDENING SERVICE INC	APRIL 2016 GARDENING SVS-ACCORNERO PARK	550.00
204208	5/19/2016 VANTAGEPOINT TRANSFER AGENTS-457	PLAN #302351 CONTRIBS FOR 05/20/16 PR	21,298.22
204209	5/19/2016 WILDLIFE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY, INC.	APRIL 2016 RODENT CONTROL SVS	1,035.00
204210	5/19/2016 ZEE MEDICAL SERVICE CO.	GLOVES	191.48
		Bank # 1 - Union Bank General Account Total	890,839.18



REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

Approved By:



Department Director

City Administrator

Council Meeting of June 1, 2016

Agenda Item Number B-3

SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH FIRST CARBON SOLUTIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING SERVICES TO PREPARE THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS FOR SIX (6) PROJECTS, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approves Resolution No. 16-_____:

1. Approving the Agreement with First Carbon Solutions.
2. Authorizing the Mayor to execute the Agreement.

SUMMARY:

The Agreement with First Carbon Solutions is for Environmental Planning Services required for the environmental clearance for the following six (6) projects:

1. Raymond Road Shoulder Paving
2. Construct Pedestrian Facilities at Gateway Drive, Central Avenue, 3rd Street, and E Street
3. Fresno River Trail-Schnoor Avenue to MID North Bank Phase 1
4. Fresno River Trail between North-South Trail behind Montecito Park and Granada Drive Phase II
5. Pedestrian Bike Path adjacent to MID Canal from Fresno River to Cleveland Avenue
6. Intersection Improvements of Howard Road at Westberry Boulevard

The total amount of the agreement is \$49,960 which includes \$5,000 for extra

Engineering

205 W. Fourth Street • Madera, CA 93637 • TEL (559) 661-5418 • FAX (559) 675-6605

www.madera-ca.gov

services with approval of the City Engineer. The project is included in the 2015/16 City Budget – Capital Improvement Program. Environmental Services will be funded by Measure “T” – Environmental Enhancement and Local Transportation Fund, and the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds.

DISCUSSION:

The City of Madera solicited proposals from qualified firms for environmental planning services to prepare the environmental documents for six (6) projects in the City. These projects are partially funded with Federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds.

A Request for Proposal for Professional Environmental Services (RFP) was sent to four firms that were selected from our list of qualified firms. Proposals were received from First Carbon Solutions and Provost and Pritchard Engineering.

The agreement provides all services necessary for the preparation of the required CEQA/NEPA compliant documents for each project subject to approval by Caltrans. The projects will be analyzed individually. The environmental analysis of the Project(s) shall consist of an Environmental Initial Study Checklist (IS) and the completion of the most recent version of the Caltrans Preliminary Environmental Study (PES) form as described below.

Information included in the approved IS/PES form shall be utilized to determine if additional environmental planning services are required to provide necessary studies for any of the projects mentioned above.

The six (6) projects listed above are included in the 2015/16 Capital Improvement Program with funding sources varying by project. Those sources include Measure “T” Environmental Enhancement and Local Transportation Fund for project design, environmental services and right of way acquisition. Construction will be funded by CMAQ, Measure “T” – Environmental Enhancement and the Local Transportation Fund. Construction is currently programmed for Fiscal Years 16/17 and 17/18.

The general scope of work for each project is as follows:

1. **Raymond Road Shoulder Paving**

The project involves the construction of shoulder paving for 2,200 LF (0.4 mi.) on the east side of Raymond Road, north of Cleveland Avenue adjacent to the Fresno River. Right of way acquisition will be required.

2. **Construct Pedestrian Facilities – Bounded by Gateway Drive, Central Avenue, 3rd Street, E Street**

The project involves the construction of approximately 5,100 LF of sidewalks, crosswalks, flashing beacons, and provides for pedestrian traffic crossing at the railroad right of way at Central Avenue.

3. **Fresno River Trail, Schnoor Avenue to MID North Bank Phase I:**
The project location is the Fresno River north bank in the City of Madera. The project involves the construction of a trail along the bank of the Fresno River from the Schnoor Avenue Bridge to the MID canal. The trail will consist of a concrete pedestrian /bike path, eight to ten feet in width, running adjacent to bank. The project will connect existing segments of the Vern McCullough Fresno River Trail. The improvements will facilitate safer connection/access to the existing trail.
4. **Fresno River Trail between North-South Trail behind Montecito Park and Granada Drive Phase II.**
The project location is the Fresno River north bank in the City of Madera. The project involves the construction of a trail along the bank of the Fresno River from the MID canal to Granada Drive. The trail will consist of a concrete pedestrian /bike path, eight to ten feet in width, running adjacent to bank. The project will connect existing segments of the Vern McCullough Fresno River Trail. The improvements will facilitate safer connection/access to the existing trail.
5. **Pedestrian Bike Path adjacent to MID Canal from Fresno River to Cleveland Avenue**
The project involves the construction of a trail along the MID canal from the Fresno River north bank to Cleveland Avenue. The trail will consist of a concrete pedestrian /bike path, eight to ten feet in width, running adjacent to bank. The project will connect existing segments of the Vern McCullough Fresno River Trail. The improvements will facilitate safer connection/access to the existing trail.
6. **Intersection Improvement of Howard Road at Westberry Boulevard.**
The project involves the construction of intersection improvements at Howard Road and Westberry Boulevard. The project area is currently located on flat terrain. The intersection is currently a stop controlled intersection. The project will require right of way acquisition.

The Selection Committee consisting of three City Engineering staff reviewed, evaluated and ranked the proposals on the four criteria listed in the RFP, key personnel related project experience, similar project experience, approach to work tasks/schedule, and manpower/fee proposal. The firm of First Carbon Solutions received the highest ranking. The required process for determining an acceptable fee for services was conducted by Staff. The agreement is hereby recommended for Council approval.

The agreement amount is \$49,960 which includes \$5,000 for extra services with approval of the City Engineer.

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no fiscal impact to the City's General Fund. The project is funded and included in the adopted City's FY 2015/16 CIP Budget.

The funding source for project design and environmental work is the City's allocation of Measure "T" Environmental Enhancement— budgeted in Fund 41570, Local Transportation Fund – Fund 42000, and CMAQ Federal Aid Fund 41700.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN

Action 126 - This project supports this strategy for providing clean, attractive streets that are safe and aesthetically pleasing. The requested action is for improvement of infrastructure and is not in conflict with any of the actions or goals contained in the plan.

RESOLUTION NO. 16-___

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA,
CALIFORNIA, APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH FIRST CARBON
SOLUTIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING SERVICES TO PREPARE
THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS FOR SIX (6) PROJECTS AND
AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT**

WHEREAS, funding for design and environmental and right of way work on the six Projects has been included in the 2015/16 Budget and 2015/16 Capital Improvement Program; and

WHEREAS, environmental services by a professional firm is required for the design of the Projects; and

WHEREAS, First Carbon Solutions has the professional skills to perform the necessary services and City desires to retain First Carbon Solutions; and

WHEREAS, the Agreement with First Carbon Solutions for such professional environmental services is recommended for approval and a copy of such agreement is on file in the Office of the City Clerk.

NOW THEREFORE, THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, HEREBY, finds, orders and resolves as follows:

1. The above recitals are true and correct.
2. The Agreement with First Carbon Solutions for professional environmental services in an amount not to exceed \$49,960, a copy of which is on file in the Office of the City Clerk and referred to for particulars, is hereby approved.
3. The Mayor is authorized to execute the Agreement.
4. This resolution is effective immediately upon adoption.

* * * * *

AGREEMENT WITH FIRST CARBON SOLUTIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING SERVICES TO PREPARE THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS FOR SIX (6) PROJECTS IN THE CITY OF MADERA, CALIFORNIA

This Agreement made and entered into this 1st day of June, 2016 between the City of Madera, a municipal corporation of the State of California, hereinafter called "CITY", and "First Carbon Solutions", located in Fresno, CA, hereinafter called "CONSULTANT".

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, CITY plans to construct improvements for six (6) projects at various locations in the City of Madera, California, hereinafter called "Project(s)"; and

WHEREAS, CITY needs professional environmental planning services to prepare the Environmental Documents for the six (6) projects; and

WHEREAS, CONSULTANT is qualified and certified to provide the required professional environmental services and is knowledgeable of Federal and State environmental and regulatory requirements, and City standard policies; and

WHEREAS, CITY desires to hire CONSULTANT for such environmental services.

NOW THEREFORE:

The parties hereto mutually agree as follows:

1. SERVICES OF CONSULTANT:

CITY hereby hires CONSULTANT to provide professional environmental planning services as set forth herein. Said work to be performed pursuant to this agreement is more particularly described in the Scope of Work.

2. SCOPE OF WORK:

CONSULTANT shall provide the professional services set forth in the "Task Schedule," EXHIBIT A, in the "Work Plan" Exhibit B, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. CONSULTANT accepts full responsibility for the scope of services provided by sub-consultants necessary for delivery of the project. CONSULTANT shall

comply with applicable City of Madera design standards and requirements as directed by the CITY and applicable State and Federal requirements.

3. PROGRESS MEETINGS:

CONSULTANT shall communicate and meet with CITY staff at project progress meetings at intervals mutually agreed to between CITY and CONSULTANT to verify, refine and complete the project requirements and review the progress of the project. Such meetings shall not exceed two per month during the course of CONSULTANT'S work. CONSULTANT shall prepare brief minutes of such meetings and submit them to CITY for review and approval.

4. CITY'S OBLIGATIONS

The CITY shall provide the consultant with the following:

- a. Provide a Project Manager to work with CONSULTANT;
- b. Review all submittals timely;
- c. Pay all fees for permits;

5. COMPENSATION

The basic fee based on the estimated hours of work listed in the "Task Schedule", Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, for the work tasks itemized in the Scope of Services is \$44,960. It is understood and agreed by both parties that items listed as optional in Exhibit "A" may be eliminated for individual projects based on need and this may reduce the base fee. It is understood and agreed by both parties that all expenses incidental to Consultant's performance of services, including travel expenses, are included in the basic fee as shown in EXHIBIT B. City and Consultant agree on the hourly rates in EXHIBIT C.

6. PAYMENT:

Payments for all undisputed portions of each invoice as provided for hereunder shall be made within 30 days of receipt and approval of CONSULTANT'S monthly invoices for the work performed specified herein. CONSULTANT'S invoice shall specify the billed

hours and hourly rates for each employee classification. The sub-consultants work shall be included on CONSULTANT'S invoice with a copy of the sub-consultant's invoice attached. A report on summary of costs to date for each component of the work shall accompany the invoice. This summary shall also estimate the percentage of the work completed for each component and the balance remaining in each component.

7. EXTRA SERVICES:

CITY agrees to pay CONSULTANT for extra services not contemplated hereunder as set forth in the Scope of Services or for such services as may be specifically requested by CITY through the City Engineer in writing and agreed to by CONSULTANT for an agreed to fixed fee or hourly rate of compensation or for necessary expenses over that listed in the Budget, provided, however, the City Engineer's authority is limited to expenditures not to exceed the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000).

8. AUDITS AND INSPECTIONS ACCESS:

CONSULTANT shall, upon reasonable notice and at any time during regular business hours, and as often as CITY may deem necessary, make available to the CITY or its authorized representative for examination, all of its books, records and data with respect to matters covered by this Agreement. CONSULTANT shall permit CITY to audit and inspect all invoices, materials, payrolls, records of personnel, conditions of employment, and other data relating to matters covered by this Agreement.

9. LIABILITY INSURANCE:

CONSULTANT shall procure and maintain for the duration of the contract insurance against claims for injuries to persons or damages to property which may arise from or in connection with the performance of the work hereunder by the CONSULTANT, his agents, representatives, or employees.

A. Minimum Scope of Insurance

Coverage shall be at least as broad as:

1. Insurance Services Office Commercial General Liability coverage (occurrence form CG0001).

2. Insurance Service Office form number CA 0001 (Ed. 10/01) covering Automobile Liability, Code 1 (any auto).

3. Workers' Compensation insurance as required by the State of California and Employer's Liability Insurance.

4. Errors and Omissions liability insurance appropriate to the consultant's profession. CONSULTANT'S coverage is to be endorsed to include contractual liability.

B. Minimum Limits of Insurance

CONSULTANT shall maintain limits no less than:

1. General Liability: \$1,000,000 per occurrence for bodily injury, personal injury and property damage. If Commercial General Liability Insurance or other form with a general aggregate limit is used, either the general aggregate limit shall apply separately to this project/location or the general aggregate limit shall be twice the required occurrence limit.

2. Automobile Insurance: \$1,000,000 per accident for bodily injury and property damage.

3. Employer's Liability: \$1,000,000 per accident for bodily injury or disease.

4. Professional Liability (Errors & Omissions): \$250,000 per claim and \$1,000,000 aggregate with a deductible not to exceed \$75,000, except that a higher deductible may be approved with appropriate documentation acceptable to the City's Risk Manager.

C. Deductibles and Self Insured Retentions

Any deductibles or self insured retentions must be declared to and approved by the CITY. At the option of the CITY, either: the insurer shall reduce or eliminate such deductibles or self insured retentions as respects the CITY, its officers, officials, employees, and designated volunteers; or the CONSULTANT shall provide a financial guarantee satisfactory to the CITY guaranteeing payment of losses and related investigations, claim administration and defense expense.

D. Other Insurance Provisions

The commercial general liability and automobile liability policies are to contain, or be endorsed to contain, the following provisions:

1. The City, its officers, officials, employees and designated volunteers are to be covered as additional insureds with respect to liability arising out of work or operations performed by or on behalf of the CONSULTANT including materials, parts or equipment furnished in connection with such work or operations or automobiles owned, leased, hired or borrowed by the CONSULTANT. General liability coverage shall be provided with the following endorsement forms: 1) CG 20 10 XX XX and 2) a CG 20 37 XX XX or substitute forms with identical language and coverages.

2. For any claims related to this project, the CONSULTANT'S insurance coverage shall be primary insurance as respects the CITY, its officers, officials, employees and designated volunteers. Any insurance or self-insurance maintained by the CITY, its officers, officials, employees or designated volunteers shall be excess of the CONSULTANT'S insurance and shall not contribute to it.

3. Each insurance policy required by this clause shall be endorsed to state that coverage shall not be cancelled by either party, except after thirty (30) days prior written notice by certified mail, return receipt requested, has been given to the CITY.

4. Coverage shall not extend to any indemnity coverage for the active negligence of the additional insured in any case where an agreement to indemnify the additional insured would be invalid under Subdivision (b) of Section 2782 of the Civil Code.

E. Acceptability of Insurers

CONSULTANT shall furnish the CITY with original certificates and amendatory endorsements effecting coverage required by this clause. The endorsements should be on forms provided by the CITY or on other than the CITY'S forms provided those endorsements conform to CITY requirements. All certificates and endorsements are to be received and approved by the CITY before work commences. The CITY reserves the right to require complete, certified copies of all required insurance policies, including endorsements affecting the coverage required by these specifications at any time.

10. OWNERSHIP OF DOCUMENTS:

All original papers, documents, reports, drawings and other work product of CONSULTANT are instruments of service. All reports and legal documents shall include the professional's registration number and be stamped, signed and dated. All instruments of service shall, upon payment in full to CONSULTANT, become the property of the City whether the project for which they are prepared is executed or not. CONSULTANT shall be permitted to retain copies, including reproducible copies, of the instruments of service for information and reference. The instruments of service shall not be used by the CONSULTANT on other projects, except by agreement in writing by the City. In the event the City reuses such instruments of service, CONSULTANT shall be released and held harmless by the City from any and all liability, including legal costs and attorneys' fees, with respect to the reuse of such instruments of service.

Reuse of documents for any purpose other than as intended under this Agreement shall be at CITY'S sole risk. CITY shall indemnify CONSULTANT for any damages incurred as a result of such reuse, including use of incomplete documents.

11. TIME OF COMPLETION:

A. Based on an agreed Notice to Proceed date, CONSULTANT shall complete the work described in EXHIBIT B, Work Plan, and as per Project Timeline based on Exhibit A, Task Schedule.

B. CONSULTANT shall not be held responsible for delays caused by CITY review or by reasons beyond CONSULTANT'S control. Also CONSULTANT shall not stop his work, including work unrelated to any extra services request, unless it can be shown that the project work cannot proceed while a claim or request for extra services is being evaluated.

C. Time is of the essence in the completion of the services covered by this Agreement. Failure of CONSULTANT to comply with the above time schedule by more than fourteen (14) calendar days, unless the delay is not attributable to CONSULTANT or is attributable to CITY, is sufficient cause to terminate this Agreement, at the option of CITY, in accordance with Section 12.

D. CONSULTANT shall complete all services required under this Agreement and this Agreement shall expire on an agreed upon date for each individual project, unless extended by mutual agreement.

12. TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT:

A. This agreement may be terminated at any time by either party upon fifteen (15) calendar days written notice. In the event the Agreement is terminated by either party, CONSULTANT shall be compensated for services performed to the date of termination based upon the compensation rates and subject to the maximum amounts payable agreed to together with such additional services performed after termination which are authorized by the CITY representative to wind up the work performed to date of termination.

B. CITY may immediately suspend or terminate this Agreement in whole or in part by written notice where, in the determination of CITY, there is:

1. An illegal use of funds by CONSULTANT;
2. A failure by CONSULTANT to comply with any material term of this Agreement;
3. A substantially incorrect or incomplete report submitted by CONSULTANT to CITY.

In no event shall any payment by CITY or acceptance by CONSULTANT constitute a waiver by such party of any breach of this Agreement or any default which may then exist on the part of either party. Neither shall such payment impair or prejudice any remedy available to either party with respect to such breach or default. CITY shall have the right to demand of CONSULTANT the repayment to CITY of any funds disbursed to CONSULTANT under this Agreement which, as determined by the appropriate court or arbitrator, were not expended in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

13. ASSURANCE STATEMENT:

ENGINEER shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex in the performance of this contract. The ENGINEER shall carry out applicable requirements of 49 CFR Part 26 in the award and administration of this agreement. Failure by the ENGINEER to carry out these requirements is a material breach of this

agreement, which may result in the termination of this agreement or such other remedy as CITY deems appropriate. Each subconsultant contract signed by the ENGINEER must include this assurance.

14. **DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE):**

Caltrans Local Assistance Procedures Manual ("Caltrans Manual") Exhibits 10-01 and 10-02 are incorporated and made part of this AGREEMENT, by attachment.

Wherever Exhibits 10-01 or 10-02 refers to "Contractor" or "Contract", it shall also mean ENGINEER and AGREEMENT, respectively. Also, "Agency" refers to CITY.

CITY has determined that for design of this project, there will be **no goal** for DBE's.

During the period of this AGREEMENT, ENGINEER shall maintain records of all applicable subcontracts advertised and entered into germane to this AGREEMENT, documenting the opportunity given to DBE's to participate in this AGREEMENT, actual DBE participation, and records of materials to be purchased from DBE suppliers. Such documentation shall show the name, business address, and DBE certification number of each DBE subconsultant or vendor.

Even if there is no DBE participation to report, ENGINEER shall submit a completed Caltrans Manual Exhibit 10-01 to the CITY before June 1, 2016, the date scheduled for City Council award of agreement. Upon completion of the AGREEMENT, ENGINEER shall complete Caltrans Manual Exhibit 10-02 Consultant Contract DBE Commitment form, certified correct by ENGINEER, and submit it to the City.

15. **APPROVAL:**

CITY will give reasonably prompt consideration to all matters submitted by CONSULTANT for approval to the end that there will be no significant delays in CONSULTANT'S program of work. An approval, authorization or request to CONSULTANT given by CITY will only be binding upon CITY under the terms of this Agreement if in writing and signed on behalf of CITY by a CITY representative or designee.

16. HOLD HARMLESS:

CONSULTANT shall defend and indemnify the CITY, its officers, officials, employees and designated volunteers for claims that arise out of, pertain to, or relate to the negligence, recklessness, or willful misconduct of the CONSULTANT, its officers, sub-consultants, agents, employees or contractors, in performing or failing to perform any work, services or functions under this Agreement.

17. RESPONSIBILITY FOR OTHERS:

CONSULTANT shall be responsible to CITY for its services and the services of its subconsultants. CONSULTANT shall not be responsible for the acts or omissions of other parties engaged by CITY nor for their construction means, methods, techniques, sequences, or procedures, or their health and safety precautions and programs.

18. PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY:

CONSULTANT shall be obligated to comply with applicable standards of professional care in the performance of the Services. CONSULTANT recognizes that opinions relating to environmental, geologic, and geotechnical conditions are based on limited data and that actual conditions may vary from those encountered at the times and locations where the data are obtained, despite the use of due professional care.

19. PARTIES BOUND BY AGREEMENT:

This Agreement shall be binding upon CITY, CONSULTANT, and their successors in interest, legal representatives, executors, administrators and assigns with respect to all covenants as set forth herein. CONSULTANT shall not subcontract, assign, or transfer any of the work except as otherwise provided for in this agreement.

20. COMPLETE AGREEMENT OF PARTIES:

This Agreement, including attachments incorporated herein by reference, represents the entire Agreement and understanding between the parties. Any modifications of this Agreement shall be in writing and signed by authorized representatives of the parties. One or more waivers of any term, condition or covenant by either party shall not be construed as a waiver of any other term, condition or covenant.

21. ASSIGNMENT WITH APPROVAL:

It is understood that neither party shall assign, sublet, subcontract or transfer its rights or obligation under this Agreement without the prior express, written consent of the other party.

22. INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR:

In performance of the work, duties and obligations assumed by CONSULTANT under this Agreement, it is mutually understood and agreed that CONSULTANT, including any and all of CONSULTANT'S officers, agents and employees will, at all times, be acting and performing as an independent contractor, and shall act in an independent capacity and not as an officer, agent, servant, employee, joint venture, partner or associate of CITY. Furthermore, CITY shall have no right to control or supervise or direct the manner or method by which CONSULTANT shall perform its work and function. However, CITY shall retain the right to administer this Agreement so as to verify that CONSULTANT is performing its obligations in accordance with the terms and conditions hereof. CONSULTANT and CITY shall comply with all applicable provisions of law and the rules and regulations, if any, of governmental authorities having jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof.

Because of its status as an independent contractor, CONSULTANT shall have absolutely no right to employment rights and benefits available to CITY employees. CONSULTANT shall be solely liable and responsible for providing to, or on behalf of, its employees all legally required employee benefits to others unrelated to CITY or to this Agreement.

23. GOVERNING LAW:

Any controversy or claim arising out of, or relating to, this Agreement which cannot be amicably settled without court action shall be litigated either in the appropriate State court for Madera County, California, or as appropriate in the U. S. District Court for the Eastern District of California, located in Fresno County. The rights and obligations of the parties and all interpretations and performance of this Agreement shall be governed in all respects by the laws of the State of California.

24. AMENDMENTS:

Any changes to this Agreement requested either by CITY or CONSULTANT may only be affected if mutually agreed upon in writing by duly authorized representatives of the parties hereto. This Agreement shall not be modified or amended or any rights of a party to it waived except by such in writing.

25. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND WAGE RATES:

CONSULTANT shall comply with all Federal, State, and local laws, ordinances, regulations and provisions applicable in the performance of CONSULTANT'S services. CONSULTANT may use professional practices and standards regarding the interpretation of these laws.

Wherever reference is made in this Agreement to standards or codes in accordance with which work is to be performed or tested, the latest edition or revision of the standards or codes current on the effective date of this Agreement shall apply, unless otherwise expressly stated.

26. CONSULTANT 'S LEGAL AUTHORITY:

Each individual executing or attesting this Agreement on behalf of CONSULTANT hereby covenants and represents: (i) that he or she is duly authorized to execute or attest and deliver this Agreement on behalf of such corporation in accordance with a duly adopted resolution of the corporation's board of directors and in accordance with such corporation's articles of incorporation or charter and by-laws; (ii) that this Agreement is binding upon such corporation; and (iii) that CONSULTANT is a duly organized and legally existing corporation in good standing in the State of California.

27. NOTICES:

Any and all notices or other communications required or permitted by this Agreement or by law to be served on or given to either party to this Agreement by the other party shall be in writing, and shall be deemed duly served and given when personally delivered to the party to whom it is directed or any managing employee of that party or, in

lieu of personal service, when deposited in the United States mail, first class postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

CITY OF MADERA

Attention: City Engineer
Engineering Division
205 W. 4th Street
Madera, CA 93637

CONSULTANT

Attention: Mary Bean, Vice President
First Carbon Solutions
7265 N. First Street
Fresno, CA 93720

28. SOLE AGREEMENT:

This instrument constitutes the sole and only agreement between CONSULTANT and CITY respecting the Project and correctly sets the obligations of the CONSULTANT and CITY to each other as of this date. Any agreements or representations respecting the above project, not expressly set forth in this instrument are null and void.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed as of the day and year first above written.

* * * * *

CITY OF MADERA

CONSULTING FIRM

By: _____
Robert L. Poythress, Mayor

By: _____
Mary Bean, Principal in Charge

Taxpayer I.D. Number

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: _____
Brent Richardson, City Attorney

ATTEST:

By: _____
Sonia Alvarez, City Clerk

EXHIBIT 10-01 CONSULTANT PROPOSAL DBE COMMITMENT

1. Local Agency: City of Madera 2. Contract DBE Goal: 0%
 3. Project Description: Professional Environmental Planning Services to Prepare the Environmental Documents for Six Projects in the City of Madera, California
 4. Project Location: City of Madera, California
 5. Consultant's Name: FirstCarbon Solutions (FCS) 6. Prime Certified DBE: N/A

7. Description of Work, Service, or Materials Supplied	8. DBE Certification Number	9. DBE Contact Information	10. DBE %
none	n/a	n/a	0

Local Agency to Complete this Section

17. Local Agency Contract Number: _____
 18. Federal-Aid Project Number: _____
 19. Proposed Contract Execution Date: _____
11. TOTAL CLAIMED DBE PARTICIPATION 0 %

Local Agency certifies that all DBE certifications are valid and information on this form is complete and accurate.

20. Local Agency Representative's Signature _____ 21. Date _____
 22. Local Agency Representative's Name _____ 23. Phone _____
 24. Local Agency Representative's Title _____

IMPORTANT: Identify all DBE firms being claimed for credit, regardless of tier. Written confirmation of each listed DBE is required.

- Kerri N. Tuttle* _____ 4-29-2016 _____
 12. Preparer's Signature 13. Date
 Kerri N. Tuttle _____ 888.826.5814 _____
 14. Preparer's Name 15. Phone
 Associate Director, FCS _____
 16. Preparer's Title

DISTRIBUTION: Original—Included with consultant's proposal to local agency.

ADA Notice: For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document is available in alternate formats. For information call (916) 654-6410 or TDD (916) 654-3880 or write Records and Forms Management, 1120 N Street, MS-89, Sacramento, CA 95814.

EXHIBIT 10-02 CONSULTANT CONTRACT DBE COMMITMENT

1. Local Agency: _____ 2. Contract DBE Goal: _____
 3. Project Description: _____
 4. Project Location: _____
 5. Consultant's Name: _____ 6. Prime Certified DBE: 7. Total Contract Award Amount: _____
 8. Total Dollar Amount for **ALL** Subconsultants: _____ 9. Total Number of **ALL** Subconsultants: _____

10. Description of Work, Service, or Materials Supplied	11. DBE Certification Number	12. DBE Contact Information	13. DBE Dollar Amount
Local Agency to Complete this Section			\$
20. Local Agency Contract Number: _____ 21. Federal-Aid Project Number: _____ 22. Contract Execution Date: _____			%
Local Agency certifies that all DBE certifications are valid and information on this form is complete and accurate. _____ 23. Local Agency Representative's Signature 24. Date _____ 25. Local Agency Representative's Name 26. Phone _____ 27. Local Agency Representative's Title			14. TOTAL CLAIMED DBE PARTICIPATION IMPORTANT: Identify all DBE firms being claimed for credit, regardless of tier. Written confirmation of each listed DBE is required. _____ 15. Preparer's Signature 16. Date _____ 17. Preparer's Name 18. Phone _____ 19. Preparer's Title

DISTRIBUTION: 1. Original – Local Agency
 2. Copy – Caltrans District Local Assistance Engineer (DLAE). Failure to submit to DLAE within 30 days of contract execution may result in de-obligation of federal funds on contract.

ADA Notice: For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document is available in alternate formats. For information call (916) 654-6410 or TDD (916) 654-3880 or write Records and Forms Management, 1120 N Street, MS-89, Sacramento, CA 95814.

ATTACHMENTS

EXHIBIT A
TASK SCHEDULE

EXHIBIT B
WORK PLAN

EXHIBIT C
HOURLY RATE SCHEDULE

Exhibit A - Task Schedule

Task	Schedule (week)	Staff	PROJECT 1		PROJECT 2		PROJECT 3		PROJECT 4		PROJECT 5		PROJECT 6		Hours by staff	
			Rate	hours	Cost	hours	Cost	hours	Cost	hours	Cost	hours	Cost			
Prepare PES Form	4															
		B. Goldie	\$70	20	\$1,400	40	\$2,800	30	\$2,100	24	\$1,680	22	\$1,540	16	\$1,120	152
		J. Hudson	\$140	2	\$280	6	\$840	4	\$560	4	\$560	4	\$560	2	\$280	22
Attend Field Review Meeting *	8															
TBD - Caltrans will schedule		J. Hudson	\$140	2	\$280	2	\$280	2	\$280	2	\$280	2	\$280	2	\$280	12
Prepare Technical Memos/Studies **	12															
air quality memo (optional)		K. Johnson	\$60	8	\$480	4	\$240	2	\$120	2	\$120	2	\$120	2	\$120	20
noise memo (optional)		P. Ault	\$155	4	\$620	4	\$620	4	\$620	4	\$620	4	\$620	4	\$620	24
traffic memo (optional)		K. Tellez	\$160	2	\$320	2	\$320	2	\$320	1	\$160	1	\$160	1	\$160	9
biology memo (optional)		A. Laor	\$75	40	\$3,000	4	\$300	8	\$600	4	\$300	2	\$150	2	\$150	60
cultural resources (optional)		D. DePietro	\$125	24	\$3,000	40	\$5,000	16	\$2,000	16	\$2,000	12	\$1,500	10	\$1,250	118
APE Map and Exhibits for PES form and technical memos (optional)		J. Demartino	\$140	6	\$840	8	\$1,120	4	\$560	4	\$560	4	\$560	2	\$280	28
Estimated Cost by Project					\$10,220		\$11,520		\$7,160		\$6,280		\$5,490		\$4,260	
TOTAL estimated Cost	\$44,930															

* The need for a field review meeting will be confirmed by Caltrans

** All technical memos are optional. The need for each memo will be confirmed by Caltrans after reviewing the completed PES. The associated budget may be repurposed to the PES or other tasks as needed to respond to comments from Caltrans or to prepare specific technical studies.

EXHIBIT B

B. WORK PLAN

FCS Approach to CEQA and Caltrans Compliance

The typical approach we follow for projects requiring consultation with Caltrans' Office of Local Assistance is described below. Following our generalized approach, we provide specific information for each of the six City projects.

1. Project Plan

Prepare and Submit a Preliminary Environmental Study

FCS will complete a PES form to evaluate each project. The PES will be provided to the City for review and submittal to the Caltrans District 6 Office of Local Assistance. The information in each PES form will be based on preliminary research prepared for each of the six projects. Exhibits will be prepared to support the information presented in each PES.

Our approach includes additional time on Project 1 to gather all the supporting information for the PES form. Preparation of the PES form for Projects 2 through 6 can then benefit from a more streamlined approach as a substantial amount of initial leg work has been done for Project 1.

Attend Field Review Meeting to Confirm Level of NEPA Documentation Required

Once the City submits each PES form to Caltrans, FCS will coordinate with the assigned planner to set a date for a field review meeting. As part of the field review meeting, Caltrans will identify any additional technical studies that may be required to complete NEPA processing. Possible additional technical analysis may include but is not limited to additional field surveys to confirm absence of cultural or biological resources, completion of an air quality conformity determination, or additional noise analysis. Following an approved PES, FCS may provide a revised scope of services to provide the technical studies requested by Caltrans to support NEPA documentation.

Prepare Technical Studies for Submittal to Caltrans

Based on the outcome of the Field Review meeting, FCS will prepare the technical memos or studies as directed by Caltrans. Based on the simplicity of the projects as discussed in the RFP, this scope assumes that simple technical memos would be required, primarily to document best management practices to be implemented during construction to avoid short-term effects. An exception is the area of cultural resources. Because some of the projects are located along the Fresno River, FCS assumes that Caltrans will request that an APE map be prepared. This scope assumes that an accompanying technical memo to document potential resources would be sufficient, and that preparation of an archaeological survey report or historic properties survey report would not be required. We have included a nominal amount of time to prepare these documents in order to stay within the City's identified budget. If Caltrans requires more extensive documentation (ASR/HPSR/HRER/XP-1 testing), or if Caltrans requires the preparation of a biological Natural Environment Study (NES), FCS will discuss with the City how to efficiently accommodate Caltrans without requiring an extensive budget augment.

In addition, to accommodate the City's identified budget, this scope assumes one round of comment from the City and from Caltrans on the PES and technical memos.

Project 1: Raymond Road Shoulder Paving

FCS will prepare the PES form and attend the Caltrans Field Review meeting to confirm the level of technical documentation required. This scope assumes that technical memos will be sufficient to satisfy Caltrans requirements, with the exception of cultural resources where an APE map is assumed to be required, along with a simple technical memo to document the results of a search of the California Historic Resources Information System (CHRIS). This scope also assumes that a biological NES would not be required; rather a simple technical memo that identifies the local habitats and documents that the project would not adversely affect the Fresno River is assumed to be adequate.

This scope assumes that the project would qualify for a CEQA exemption on the basis of Section 15304 (h) bicycle lanes on existing rights-of-way, and the project would qualify for a categorical exclusion from NEPA on the basis of §771.117.c.3: Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

Project 2: Pedestrian Facilities

FCS will prepare the PES form and attend the Caltrans Field Review meeting to confirm the level of technical documentation required. This scope assumes that technical memos will be sufficient to satisfy Caltrans requirements. This scope assumes

that an APE map would be required, but that a technical memorandum explaining the lack of impact would be sufficient to satisfy Caltrans requirements. This scope assumes that a biological NES would not be required.

This scope assumes that the project would qualify for a CEQA exemption on the basis of Section 15301 (c) minor alterations to existing streets, with negligible expansion of use, and the project would qualify for a categorical exclusion from NEPA on the basis of §771.117.c.3: Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

Project 3: Fresno River Trail—Schnoor to MID North Bank

FCS will prepare the PES form and attend the Caltrans Field Review meeting to confirm the level of technical documentation required. This scope assumes that technical memos will be sufficient to satisfy Caltrans requirements, with the exception of cultural resources where an APE map is assumed to be required, along with a simple technical memo to document the results of a search of the CHRIS. This scope also assumes that a biological NES would not be required; rather, a simple technical memo that identifies the local habitats and documents that the project would not adversely affect the Fresno River is assumed to be adequate.

This scope assumes that the project would qualify for a CEQA exemption on the basis of Section 15304 (h) bicycles lanes on existing rights-of-way, and the project would qualify for a categorical exclusion from NEPA on the basis of §771.117.c.3: Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

Project 4: Fresno River Trail—Granada Drive Phase II to Montecito Park

FCS will prepare the PES form and attend the Caltrans Field Review meeting to confirm the level of technical documentation required. This scope assumes that technical memos will be sufficient to satisfy Caltrans requirements, with the exception of cultural resources where an APE map is assumed to be required, along with a simple technical memo to document the results of a search of the CHRIS. This scope also assumes that a biological NES would not be required; rather, a simple technical memo that identifies the local habitats and documents that the project would not adversely affect the Fresno River is assumed to be adequate.

This scope assumes that the project would qualify for a CEQA exemption on the basis of Section 15304 (h) bicycles lanes on existing rights-of-way, and the project would qualify for a categorical exclusion from NEPA on the basis of §771.117.c.3: Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

Project 5: Pedestrian Bike Path—Adjacent to MID Canal from Fresno River to Cleveland Avenue

FCS will prepare the PES form and attend the Caltrans Field Review meeting to confirm the level of technical documentation required. This scope assumes that technical memos will be sufficient to satisfy Caltrans requirements, with the exception of cultural resources where an APE map is assumed to be required, along with a simple technical memo to document the results of a search of the CHRIS. This scope also assumes that a biological NES would not be required; rather, a simple technical memo that identifies the local habitats and documents that the project would not adversely affect the Fresno River is assumed to be adequate.

This scope assumes that the project would qualify for a CEQA exemption on the basis of Section 15304 (h) bicycles lanes on existing rights-of-way, and the project would qualify for a categorical exclusion from NEPA on the basis of §771.117.c.3: Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

Project 6: Intersection Improvements—Howard Road at Westberry Boulevard

FCS will prepare the PES form and attend the Caltrans Field Review meeting to confirm the level of technical documentation required. This scope assumes that technical memos will be sufficient to satisfy Caltrans requirements, with the exception of cultural resources where an APE map is assumed to be required, along with a simple technical memo to document the results of a search of the CHRIS. This scope also assumes that a biological NES would not be required; rather, a simple technical memo that identifies the local habitats and documents that the project would not result in any adverse effects would be sufficient.

This scope assumes that the project would qualify for a CEQA exemption on the basis of Section 15301 Existing Facilities involving minor alterations with no expansion of use and the project would qualify for a categorical exclusion from NEPA on the basis of §771.117.c.8: Installation of traffic signals where no substantial land acquisition or traffic disruption will occur.

EXHIBIT C

FEHR & PEERS

2015-2016

(July 2015 through June 2016)

Hourly Billing Rates

Classification Hourly Rate

Principal	\$195.00	-	\$325.00
Senior Associate	\$200.00	-	\$310.00
Associate	\$130.00	-	\$210.00
Senior Engineer/Planner	\$140.00	-	\$190.00
Engineer/Planner	\$110.00	-	\$145.00
Senior Technical Support	\$125.00	-	\$175.00
Senior Administrative Support	\$110.00	-	\$140.00
Administrative Support	\$100.00	-	\$125.00
Technician	\$105.00	-	\$135.00
Intern	\$80.00	-	\$95.00

- *Other Direct Costs / Reimbursable expenses are invoiced at cost plus 10% for handling.*
- *Personal auto mileage is reimbursed at the then current IRS approved rate (57.5 cents per mile as of Jun 2015).*
- *Voice & Data Communications (Telephone, fax, computer, e-mail, etc.) are invoiced at cost as a percentage of project labor.*

Fehr & Peers reserves the right to change these rates at any time with or without advance notice.

EXHIBIT C

MARK THOMAS & COMPANY, INC.

CHARGE RATE SCHEDULE "Q"

Expires June 30, 2016*

HOURLY CHARGE RATES

PROFESSIONAL AND OFFICE

Principal	\$325.00 per hour
Structural Manager	255.00 per hour
Engineering Manager V	310.00 per hour
Engineering Manager IV	294.00 per hour
Engineering Manager III	252.00 per hour
Engineering Manager II	236.00 per hour
Engineering Manager I	225.00 per hour
Survey Manager III	200.00 per hour
Survey Manager II	170.00 per hour
Survey Manager I	150.00 per hour
Engineer X	205.00 per hour
Engineer IX	195.00 per hour
Engineer VIII	184.00 per hour
Engineer VII	175.00 per hour
Engineer VI	164.00 per hour
Engineer V	142.00 per hour
Engineer IV	132.00 per hour
Engineer III	118.00 per hour
Engineer II	106.00 per hour
Engineer I	100.00 per hour
Engineer Technician/Inspector IV	120.00 per hour
Engineer Technician/Inspector III	110.00 per hour
Engineer Technician/Inspector II	100.00 per hour
Engineer Technician/Inspector I	85.00 per hour
Engineer/Survey Technician Assistant	65.00 per hour
Project Surveyor II	130.00 per hour
Project Surveyor I	110.00 per hour
Survey Technician	100.00 per hour
Technical Writer	105.00 per hour
Project Coordinator III	108.00 per hour
Project Coordinator II	98.00 per hour
Project Coordinator I	80.00 per hour
Administrative IV	120.00 per hour
Administrative III	100.00 per hour
Administrative II	80.00 per hour
Administrative I	70.00 per hour
Messenger	50.00 per hour

FIELD

Single Chief	\$117.00 per hour
Single Chainman	95.00 per hour
1 Person Field Chief and Vehicle	160.00 per hour
2 Person Field Party and Vehicle	235.00 per hour
3 Person Field Party and Vehicle	330.00 per hour

EXHIBIT C
MARK THOMAS & COMPANY, INC.

CHARGE RATE SCHEDULE "Q"
Expires June 30, 2016*

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT SERVICES

Landscape Architect II	\$189.00 per hour
Landscape Architect I	\$164.00 per hour

SPECIAL SERVICES

Expert Witness	\$375.00 per hour
Strategic Consulting (Principal)	\$375.00 per hour

OTHER DIRECT COSTS

Reimbursables including, but not limited to: Printing and Materials, Filing Fees, and Field Expenses	-Cost plus 5%
Outside Consultant Fees	-Cost plus 5%

FIRSTCARBON SOLUTIONS FEE SCHEDULE

FCS provides consulting services in environmental and regulatory compliance, planning, air quality and GHG, biological and cultural resources management, noise, and restoration planning and monitoring. Compensation is based on the following fee schedule and charges.

Hourly Labor Rates

FCS Personnel	Hourly Rates (\$)
President/Vice President	250–290
Director	160–260
Senior Project Manager/Senior Scientist/Senior Regulatory Scientist	140–190
Project Manager/Regulatory Scientist	110–160
Assistant Project Manager/Assistant Regulatory Scientist	85–135
Technical Analyst (Air Quality, Biology, Noise, and Cultural Resources)	60–100
Project Coordinator/Environmental Planner	75–100
Environmental Analyst/Regulatory Analyst	65–75
Research Analyst	60–65
Publications Coordinator/Technical Editor	100–180
GIS Analyst	70–125
Graphics Designer/GIS Technician	65–90
Word Processor	70–90
Administrative Assistant/Accounting/Clerical	60–65
Reprographics Assistant/Intern	55–75

Other Labor Rates

Labor rates for expert testimony, litigation support, and depositions/court appearances will be billed at a minimum of two (2) times the above rates. If additional services are authorized during the performance of a contract, compensation will be based on the fee schedule in effect at the time the services are authorized.

Direct Expenses


Direct expenses are billed at the amount charged, plus out-of-pocket expenses including, but not limited to, a 15% administrative fee.

1. Out-of-pocket expenses including, but not limited to, travel, messenger service, reprographics, lodging, meals, blueprint, reproduction, and photographic services: Cost, as charged to FCS.
2. Subcontractors' fees: As quoted.
3. Passenger Cars: \$0.540 per mile.
4. Four-wheel drive vehicles: \$75.00 per day (\$0.540 per mile).

5. Reproduction and Color Copies: See Reprographics Fee Schedule (provided as necessary).
6. Records checks: Fees vary by facility and project.
7. Museum curation: Fees vary by city and project.
8. Cultural resources storage/curation of fossil and artifact collections: Cost, as charged to FCS.
9. Per Diem: \$64.00 per day. This is the USA Federal Rate. Lodging surcharge may apply in high rate areas.
10. USFWS/CDFW impacts or mitigation fees: Cost, as charged to FCS.

Terms

Compensation and direct expenses are invoiced monthly and payable upon receipt or as codified in project specific contract.



REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

COUNCIL MEETING OF June 1, 2016
AGENDA ITEM NUMBER B-4

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mary Anne Seay".

PREPARED BY: Mary Anne Seay, Director
Parks & Community Services Department

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David Tooley".

APPROVED BY: David Tooley, City Administrator

SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF A RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONSULTANT SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH MADERA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (MUSD) FOR PARTIAL ADMINISTRATION OF THE DISTRICT'S ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-17 AFTER SCHOOL PROGRAM AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends Council adopt the resolution approving a Consultant Services Agreement between the City and the Madera Unified School District for partial administration of the District's Academic Year 16-17 After School Program. Staff further recommends that Council authorize the Mayor to execute the Agreement on behalf of the City.

SUMMARY:

The City has entered into Consultant Services Agreements with Madera Unified School District (MUSD) to provide After School Programs (ASP) at 14 sites throughout the District during the academic years 2014-15 and 2015-16. The City's Parks and Community Services (PCS) Department has successfully provided recreation, education and enrichment programs in the after school setting for two years and MUSD desires to enter into a similar, though reduced, Agreement for the upcoming 2016-17 academic school-year.

HISTORY:

During the fall of 2011, the MUSD Board of Education approved a plan to apply for State and Federal after school program grant resources. This action marked a change in the District's service delivery plan as the Madera County Office of Education previously operated the Club YES After School Program at all County school sites, including those on MUSD campuses.

Soon after making the decision to seek after-school resources on their own, District staff reached out to staff from the City's Parks and Community Services (PCS) Department to request assistance with the grants. MUSD staff also requested letters of support from the Madera City Council for two separate grant submittals. District staff attended Council Meetings in December of 2011 and November of 2012 to request these letters of support. Council agreed to support their efforts and letters were provided to MUSD after each request. Taking direction from City Council, the PCS Department maintained and grew its relationship with the District in hopes that the two agencies would work together to provide programs to youth during the critical after school hours. In June of 2012, the District was awarded with two after school grants, one from the Federal Government and one from the State of California.

The City and District did not immediately enter into an agreement as the timing of the grant awards coincided with significant budget cuts for the City. The cuts were so considerable that the reductions to staff made recruiting, hiring, and training staff for the ASP program an impossibility in 2012. During the 2013-14 school-year, the City and District worked in partnership to provide shared programs for youth at both City and District facilities. These programs, along with the initial support from staff and Council on the original grants, paved the way for the 2014-15 Agreement between the two agencies.

At the June 4, 2014 Regular Meeting of the City Council, a Consultant Services Agreement between the City and MUSD was approved. The document represented a one-year Agreement in which MUSD agreed to pay the City to provide enrichment and recreation activities at 14 school sites during the critical after school hours. The District and the City entered into a similar Agreement with identical terms for the 2015-16 school-year. The multi-year partnership has been a success and District and City staff are recommending extending the partnership into the next school-year.

There are some recommended changes to the value of the Agreement compared to previous years. First, the City will no longer provide services at Mt. Vista High School as funding was reduced by the granting agency at that site. This change reduces the total Agreement by \$23,500. Second, the City has recommended an increase to the reimbursement rate to account for the increase in staffing and other costs. Minimum wage increases and part-time law changes were key factors that led City staff to request a \$2.10/hour boost in reimbursement rate. As is the case for the City, costs are elevating for the District as well. The net result is a reduction of about 25% per site at the 13 remaining ASP sites where PCS will have a presence. The value of last year's Agreement was \$221,500; the proposed reimbursement to the City for upcoming school-year is \$147,000. PCS will eliminate staffing at Mt. Vista and reduce staffing levels at the remaining sites to account for the reduction to the contract amount.

Again, the specific actions recommended in this report are to approve the attached Consultant Services Agreement that would extend ASP services into the 2016-17 school-year and to authorize the Mayor to execute the Agreement on behalf of the City.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The recommended actions provide General Fund relief. This Consultant Services Agreement will allow for existing full-time staff time to be charged to the grant; staff estimates that the ASP program borne from this Agreement will relieve the General Fund by approximately \$60,000.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN:

The recommended actions support the following Vision Madera 2025 strategies:

Action 305.4: Expand youth service club and promote community services provided.

Strategy 332: Youth Services: Expand comprehensive services for Madera’s youth, including employment opportunities, community activities, sports programs, performing arts and after-school programs.

Strategy 334: After School Programs: Develop after-school programs with emphasis on local cultures and their histories.

Strategy 404: Promote increased community wellness.

Strategy 411: Enhance and expand recreational activities available to Maderans.

RESOLUTION NO. 16 - _____

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA APPROVING
A CONSULTANT SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH MADERA UNIFIED SCHOOL
DISTRICT (MUSD) FOR PARTIAL ADMINISTRATION OF THE DISTRICT'S
ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-17 AFTER SCHOOL PROGRAM AND AUTHORIZING
THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY**

WHEREAS, the youth of a community are known to be vulnerable to negative societal influences in the hours between the end of the school day and 6 pm; and

WHEREAS, Madera Unified School District has obtained funding to provide students the opportunity to attend structured and organized activities during these critical after-school hours; and

WHEREAS, MUSD is seeking consultants with expertise in recreation, enrichment and educational programming to provide staffing, curriculum and materials needed for the direct provision of after-school program activities; and

WHEREAS, the City's Parks and Community Services Department has the level of expertise necessary for provision of said programming; and


WHEREAS, MUSD has prepared an Agreement that specifies the responsibilities of the School District and the City in regard to provision by the City of after-school programming; and

WHEREAS, the Consultant Services Agreement is in the best interest of the public, the City and Madera Unified School District.

NOW THEREFORE, THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA, HEREBY, finds, orders and resolves as follows:

1. The above recitals are true and correct.
2. The Consultant Services Agreement with Madera Unified School District, a copy of which is on file in the office of the City Clerk and is referred to for more particulars, is hereby approved.
3. The Mayor is authorized to execute said Agreement on behalf of the City.
4. This resolution is effective immediately upon adoption.

* * * * *



MADERA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

CONSULTANT SERVICES AGREEMENT

This agreement is made and entered into this 15th day of June 2016, by and between Madera Unified School District (“District”) and The City of Madera (“Consultant”).

1. Consultant agrees to provide the following specified services:

The City of Madera will assign staff to provide enrichment services to students in the Madera Unified School District Afterschool Program at thirteen (13) sites.

2. Term. The Consultant’s services described in Paragraph 1 shall commence on July 1, 2016 and shall end on June 30, 2017 unless earlier terminated pursuant to Paragraph 8.

3. Payment. District agrees to pay Consultant as follows: A total of \$147,000 for services provided at the following sites: \$18,000 per site at Madera High, Madera South High; \$11,000 per site at Alpha Elementary, Berenda Elementary, Millview Elementary, Monroe Elementary, Nishimoto Elementary, Parkwood Elementary, Sierra Vista Elementary and Thomas Jefferson Middle School; \$9,000 for services at Adams Elementary; \$7,000 for services at Lincoln Elementary; and \$7,000 for services at Howard School. District will pay the City of Madera a total of \$27.35 per hour. This rate is a fully-burdened rate that covers site staff, administrative costs, and materials (not to exceed a total cost of \$6,963 across the 13 funded sites). Unless directed otherwise in writing by District, City shall not provide services in excess of allocated cost per site as listed above. Additional services and/or supplies can be provided to District at an additional rate. Supplies in excess of \$6,963 can be acquired at the City’s cost plus 15% in administrative costs and staffing at the agreed upon fully-burdened rate of \$27.35/hour.

4. Payroll Forms. Consultant agrees to complete the District’s consultant payroll form. Consultant agrees that failure to properly complete this form in a timely manner may result in nonpayment to consultant.

5. Independent Contractor Status. Consultant and any and all agents and employees of Consultant are agreed to be independent contractors in their performance under this Agreement and are not officers, employees, or agents of the District. Consultant shall retain the right to perform services for others during the term of this Agreement.

6. Indemnity. Consultant shall indemnify, defend, and save and hold harmless the District, its Board of Trustees, officers, agents, and employees from any and all claims, damages, losses, causes of actions, and demands, including reasonable attorney’s fees and costs, incurred in connection with the processing or defense of any matter, claim, lawsuit or contest arising out of Consultant’s performance of or failure to perform the work required by this Agreement.

7. Insurance. Consultant agrees to procure and maintain throughout the term of this Agreement a comprehensive general liability insurance policy to protect Consultant from damages because of bodily injury, including death, and from claims for damages to property which may arise out of or result from Consultant’s responsibilities under this Agreement, whether such acts or omissions be by Consultant or anyone directly or indirectly employed by Consultant. This insurance shall name the District as additional insured and shall be written for not less than Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) per occurrence, Five Million (\$5,000,000) aggregated liability coverage and One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) for property damage. A certificate of insurance shall be filed with the District and shall provide that no changes shall be made to such insurance without thirty (30) days prior written notice to the District.

8. Termination of Agreement. District and or Consultant may terminate this Agreement for any reason upon 30 days written notice. In the event of early termination, Consultant shall be paid for work performed to the date of termination. The District may then proceed with the work in any manner the district deems proper.

9. No Entitlement. Consultant agrees that it has no entitlement to any future contracts or work from District or to any employment or fringe benefits from the District.

10. Taxes. Payment to Consultant pursuant to this Agreement will be reported to federal and state taxing authorities as required on the IRS Form 1099. District will not withhold any money from compensation payable to Consultant. In particular, District will not withhold FICA (social security); state or federal unemployment insurance

contributions; and/or state or federal income tax or disability insurance. Consultant is independently responsible for the payment of all applicable taxes.

11. Governing Law and Venue. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed only in accordance with the laws of the State of California. If any action is initiated involving the application or interpretation of this Agreement, venue shall only lie in the appropriate state court in Madera County or federal court in Fresno County, California.

12. Binding Effect. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of and shall be binding upon the Consultant, the District and their respective successors and assignees.

13. Severability. If any provision of this Agreement shall be held invalid or unenforceable by a Court of competent jurisdiction, such holdings shall not invalidate or render unenforceable any other provision of this Agreement.

14. Amendment. The terms of this Agreement shall not be amended in any manner whatsoever except by mutual written agreements signed by the parties.

15. Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties. There are no oral understandings, side agreements, representation or warranties, expressed or implied, not specified in this Agreement.

16. Licenses. Consultant represents that Consultant and all agents and employees of Consultant are licensed by the state of California, if applicable, to perform all the services required by this Agreement. Consultant will maintain all licenses in full force and effect during the term of this Agreement.

17. Compliance with Law. Consultant agrees to perform the services contemplated by this Agreement in a professional and a competent manner and in compliance with all local, state and federal laws, and regulations governing the service to be rendered pursuant to this Agreement.

18. Approvals. The parties agree that the effectiveness of the Agreement is contingent upon approval by the District's Board of Trustees and by the Madera City Council.

19. Equipment and Materials. Consultant shall provide all equipment, materials, and supplies necessary for the performance of this Agreement. This provision is negotiable as to the needs of specific children.

20. Non-discrimination. Consultant shall not engage in unlawful discrimination in the employment of persons because of race, color national origin, age, ancestry, religion, sex, marital status, medical condition, physical handicap, or other bias prohibited by state or federal law.

21. Copyright. Any product, whether in writing or maintained in any other form produced under this Agreement shall be the property of District. District shall have the right to secure a patent, trademark or copyright and the product or information may not be used in any manner without District's written permission.

22. In accordance with Education Code Section 39656, this contract is not valid or an enforceable obligation against the District until approved or ratified by motion of the Governing Board duly passed and adopted.

Madera Unified School District

Consultant:

by: Victor Villar (name)

City of Madera

Associate Superintendent of Educational Services

Signature

Signature


Date: _____

Date: _____

Federal ID# _____

Or

SSN _____



REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

Approved By:

Department Director

City Administrator

Council Meeting of June 1, 2016

Agenda Item Number B-5

SUBJECT: CONSIDERATION OF A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INCREASE IN THE CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY FOR THE SURFACE SEALS AT VARIOUS STREETS CITY OF MADERA PROJECT NO. ST 16-01 AND APPROVING CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 TO PROVIDE A CHIP SEAL APPLICATION FOR ADDITIONAL CITY STREETS

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Council take the following actions:

1. Adopt a resolution approving an increase in construction contingency for the Surface Seals at Various Streets City of Madera Project No. ST 16-01.
2. Approve Change Order No. 1 to provide a Chip Seal Application for additional City Streets.

SUMMARY:

The contract for the Surface Seals at Various Streets City of Madera Project No. ST 16-01 was awarded on May 4, 2016, to Talley Oil, Inc. in the amount of \$442,219.85. At the time of project award, a construction contingency of 20% was included for the potential increase in bid quantities and for additional street locations or areas that could be included in the chip seal (pavement maintenance procedure) being done by the project. Additional construction contingency in the amount of \$50,000 is necessary for inclusion of additional City streets. Change Order No. 1 in the amount of \$132,487 is for the additional chip seal application of 34,866 square yards. The funding for the additional contingency is available in the Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget for Surface Seal Treatment project.

DISCUSSION:

The work in general consists of the surface application of a chip seal surface treatment designed to extend the pavement life by improving the pavement surface to provide a smooth pavement surface. The process consists of spraying a bituminous binder on the entire street surface followed with the placement of chip-rock aggregate that is immediately rolled and compacted

Engineering

205 W. Fourth Street • Madera, CA 93637 • TEL (559) 661-5418 • FAX (559) 675-6605

www.madera-ca.gov

(embedded into the binder) by pneumatic (rubber tire) rollers. Excess material is swept away followed by a fog seal application done within 2 days after application of the chip seal.

The chip seal application is a pavement maintenance procedure whereby the application of the asphalt emulsion mixed with the graded aggregate and fog seal is expected to add up to 7 or 8 years of life to the City streets being treated.

The change order will enable the Public Works Department to add an additional 34,866 square yards of the chip seal application to City streets that need the pavement maintenance. Attached is proposed Change Order No. 1 that includes a list of the additional streets.

It is staff's recommendation that the construction contingency be increased and Contract Change Order No. 1 be approved for the project.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

Funds for this project have been budgeted for FY 2015/16 with Surface Seal Treatment Funds and are available in Account No. 1825-3140. The project will not have a financial impact on the City's General Fund.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN:

Action 126.0 – This surface seal project will provide safe, clean and attractive streets consistent with the Madera Vision 2025 Plan.

RESOLUTION NO. 16-__

A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INCREASE IN THE CONSTRUCTION CONTINGENCY FOR THE SURFACE SEALS AT VARIOUS STREETS CITY OF MADERA PROJECT NO. ST 16-01 AND APPROVING CHANGE ORDER NO. 1 TO PROVIDE A CHIP SEAL APPLICATION FOR ADDITIONAL CITY STREETS


WHEREAS, the construction contract for the Surface Seals at Various Streets City of Madera Project No. ST 16-01, was awarded by Council on May 4, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the Surface Seals at Various Streets City of Madera Project No. ST 16-01 is programmed in the Fiscal Year 2015/16 Budget and are available in Account Number 1825-3140; and

WHEREAS, the additional contingency is necessary for approval of Change Order No. 1, necessary to complete the construction of improvements identified in the Public Works City-wide maintenance program.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA HEREBY finds, orders and resolves as follows:

1. The above recitals are true and correct.
2. Increase of the construction contingency in the amount of \$50,000 to the Surface Seals at Various Streets City of Madera Project No. ST 16-01, are hereby approved.
3. Approval of Change Order No. 1 in the amount of \$132,487.00 with Talley Oil, Inc. to provide a chip seal application for additional City streets. A copy of which is on file with the City Clerk and referred to for particulars, is hereby approved.
4. The City Clerk is authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of the resolution to the Director of Finance who is authorized to take such action as necessary to implement the terms of this resolution.
5. This resolution is effective immediately upon adoption.



**CITY OF MADERA
ENGINEERING DIVISION**

CHANGE ORDER No. 1

Date:	May 25, 2016
Notice to Proceed:	June 13, 2016
Date Started:	June 13, 2016
Contract Completion Date:	July 28, 2016
Revised Completion Date:	July 28, 2016

Project Name	Surface Seals at Various Streets
Project Nos.	City Project No. ST 16-01
Owner:	CITY OF MADERA
Contractor:	Talley Oil, Inc.

The following changes are hereby made to the Contract Documents.

Justifications:

Additive Items:

1 1-1a Additional chip seal application of 38,375 square yards - - - - Lump sum.. (Please see attached Additional listing of Street Location identified by Public Works)

1-1b Deduct (1,824) square yards from Willis/Sunset/Venturi and deduct (1,685) square yards from Willis/Venturi/W.Park - - - - -

Total Additive - - - - - 34,866 Square Yards - - - - \$ 132,487.00

Total Additive Amount - - - - \$ 132,487.00

Deductive/ Credit Items:


Total Credit Amount - - - - \$ -

CHANGES IN CONTRACT AMOUNT

Change to Contract Price (Additive)	\$132,487.00
Change to Contract Price (Deductive)	\$0.00
Original Contract Price	\$442,219.85
Previous Change Orders	none
Previous Change Order Amount	\$0.00
Current Contract Price	\$442,219.85
New Contract Price Due to Change Order No. 1	\$574,706.85

CHANGES IN CONTRACT TIME

Change to Contract Time (Additive)	0 Calendar days
Change to Contract Time (Deductive)	0
Original Contract Time	45 Calendar days
Days Added (Unworkable Days)	0 Calendar Days
Previous days Added by Previous Change Order	0
Current Contract Time	45 Calendar days
Original Contract Expiration Date	July 28, 2015
Revised Contract Time due from CCO No: 1	45 Calendar days
Revised Contract Expiration Date:	July 28, 2016

Recommended By: <u>Bob Mack</u> Street Division Manager	 Signature and Date 5/25/16
Ordered By: Jerry Martinez Project Resident Engineer	 Signature and Date 5/25/16
Accepted By: <u>TALLEY OIL, INC.</u> _____ President	 Signature and Date 5-26-16
APPROVED:: <u>David Randall</u> Public Works Director	 Signature and Date 5-25-16
APPROVED:: <u>Keith Brent Helmuth, P.E.</u> City Engineer	 Signature and Date 5-26-16

Chipseal 2016

5/19/2016

ADD LIST

Street	Segment		Width	Lenth	Square Yards	Detail 27B LF	Detail 8 LF	Detail 21 LF	Detail 38A LF	Detail 16 LF	Stop	X Walks	Legend	Legend	
	From	To									Bars Sq FT	White Sq FT	Yellow Sq Ft	Sq FT White	Sq FT Yellow
Boulder	Suburban	Double Tree	36	642	2,568						22				
Boulder	Double Tree	End (E)	36	438	1,752						22				
Boulder Place	Boulder	End (W)	61	160	1,084										
Double Tree	Westberry	Suburban	36	178	712							98			
Double Tree	Suburban	Paintbrush	36	639	2,556										
Easy Ct	Paintbrush	End	36	202	808						22				
Fairmont	Paintbrush	End	36	224	896						22				
Love Ct	Paintbrush	End	36	141	564						22				
Paintbrush	Double Tree	Tragon	36	220	880						22				
Paintbrush	Tragon	Fairmont	36	153	612										
Paintbrush	Fairmont	Easy Ct	36	201	804										
Paintbrush	East Ct	Love Ct	36	401	1,604										
Paintbrush	Love Ct	Windsox	36	200	800										
Paintbrush	Windsox	Riverview	36	226	904						22				
Suburban	End	Boulder	36	223	892										
Suburban	Boulder	Double Tree	36	230	920						18				
Suburban	Double Tree	Tragon	36	266	1,064						18				
Suburban	Tragon	Riverview	36	479	1,916						18				
Windsox	Paintbrush	End	76	299	2,525						22				
Yeager	Falcon	End	47	813	4246										
Dellavalle	Cleveland	Green	32	488	1739						15				
Dellavalle	Green	Sherwood	32	461	1639						15				
Dellavalle	Sherwood	James	32	469	1668						15				
D Street	Clark	Asti	49	475	2,586			424							
D Street	Adell	Asti	49	484	2,635			484			17				

38375


From ADD ALT LIST

Willis	Sunset	Venturi	32	513	1,824	513									
Willis	Venturi	W. Park	32	474	1,685	474	18								

Remove
Remove


TOTAL 34,866 Sq Yds

Report to City Council




Council Meeting of June 1, 2016
Agenda Item Number B-6

Approved by:



Department Director



City Administrator

Consideration of a Resolution Approving Health, Dental and Vision Insurance Providers for Fiscal Year 2016-17 and Authorizing the City Administrator to Execute any Agreements or Related Documents

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends Council adopt the resolution approving the 2016-17 health, dental and vision insurance providers and authorizing the City Administrator to execute any required agreements or related documents.

HISTORY

The City of Madera offers health benefits to its employees through an IRS Section 125 cafeteria-style plan. The health benefits include medical, dental and vision insurance. The City's contribution towards individual employee health insurance is a negotiated item in the various Memorandums of Understanding between the City and bargaining units. All Memorandums of Understanding with the bargaining units state that the City has the right to determine the plan carriers. Employee units have input on plan design.

SITUATION

The City maintains the services of a health insurance consultant/broker to coordinate carrier quotes and coverage comparisons. Der Manouel Insurance Group out of Fresno currently provides this service.

The City's medical plan consists of a high deductible PPO with the City self-funding the deductible through what is known as a Wrap plan. The 2015-16 PPO plan was purchased through Blue Shield and the Wrap plan is administered by Administrative Solutions Incorporated (ASi) in Fresno. This type of plan, a high deductible PPO with a self-funded Wrap, was new to the City for the 2015-16 plan year. Historically the City purchased fully insured plans. The self-funded Wrap was implemented to capture the savings of a high deductible plan while minimizing the impact to employee out-of-pocket costs for services. The Wrap plan allows the City to design how all elements of the plan such as office visit co-pays are allocated between the City and employees while under the deductible. The general design of the high deductible PPO with the Wrap worked

well for the City and employees this year. The plan type was a change for employees and change is not always easy or without controversy, but overall the change went well.

One benefit of the self-funded Wrap plan is that the City has a better idea of the types of services utilized by its employees. After one year of data, we know that we have higher than normal expenditures on prescription drugs, emergency room services and urgent care utilization. From feedback from employees, we also know that there was frustration with the mail-order prescription services provided through Blue Shield as well as the prescription drug tiers in the plan. Additionally, employees experienced several issues with Blue Shield regarding pre-approval of procedures/services for which they later tried to deny payment.

The City's broker went out to bid on the medical plans and received several quotes. The best option from the quotes was a plan offered by United Healthcare (UHC). UHC is offering a high deductible PPO that will be combined with a Wrap plan as was done for 2015-16. The UHC plan design will assist the City in addressing some of the issues that were experienced by employees in 2015-16 with the Blue Shield plan. Specifically, prescription drugs will not be subject to the deductible, so employees will not have to coordinate secondary insurance at the pharmacy or through mail order prescriptions. UHC also eliminates what Blue Shield had designated as 4th tier prescriptions which left some employees with \$200 per month co-pays. The UHC plan takes the City back to a 3-tier prescription program. UHC offers a comparable network to the existing Blue Shield plan and minimal provider disruption will occur with the change.

To address the over utilization of Urgent Care and Emergency Room Services, staff is recommending implementation of a teledoc service, Call A Doctor Plus. This service will be available to all employees and allows for telephonic medical advice from board certified physicians for non-emergency conditions. The service is able to prescribe medications to assist employees in meeting their medical needs. Employees who formerly used Kaiser were familiar with this type of teledoc service and staff believes it will be a beneficial addition to the plan design.

Dental insurance was placed with Principal Financial Group beginning with the 2015-16 plan year. The City experienced slightly high utilization, so design changes were recommended to out-of-network benefits only to keep the premium relatively the same. There are no proposed changes to in-network benefits.

Vision insurance was placed with Superior Vision beginning with the 2015-16 plan year and at that time the City was given a 4-year rate. No changes are recommended for Vision Coverage.

FISCAL IMPACT

Health plan premiums as well as the health insurance consultant's fees are paid by employees through payroll deductions. The City's contributions toward health insurance are negotiated with the bargaining units and contained in the Memorandums of Understanding.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN

Renewal of health and welfare benefits for City employees is not addressed in the vision or action plan; the requested action is also not in conflict with any of the actions or goals contained in that plan.

RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA
APPROVING HEALTH, DENTAL AND VISION INSURANCE PROVIDERS FOR FISCAL YEAR
2016-17 AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE ANY AGREEMENTS
OR RELATED DOCUMENTS

WHEREAS, the City of Madera retains an insurance consultant to annually evaluate and negotiate health, dental and vision insurance needs; and


WHEREAS, the insurance consultant has provided information to the City regarding health, dental and vision insurance options for plan year 2016-17; and

WHEREAS, the City of Madera wishes to provide said benefits to its employees through United Healthcare for medical insurance; Administrative Solutions Inc. for administration of the self-funded Wrap plan; Providence Financial Group, LLC for the Call A Doctor Plus service; Principal Financial Group for dental insurance; and Superior Vision for vision insurance.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA hereby resolves, finds, and orders as follows:

1. The above recitals are true and correct.
2. Superior Vision is approved as the vision insurance provider for plan year 2016-17.
3. Principal Financial Group is approved as the dental insurance provider for plan year 2016-17.
4. United Healthcare is approved as the medical insurance provider for plan year 2016-17.
5. Administrative Solutions Inc. is approved as the administrator for the self-funded Wrap plan for plan year 2016-17.
6. Call A Doctor Plus provided through Providence Financial Group, LLC is approved as the teledoc service provider for plan year 2016-17.
7. The City Administrator is authorized to execute any agreements or related documents as approved by the City Attorney on behalf of the City of Madera to effectuate specific provider plans. Any executed agreements or related documents will be maintained on file with the Office of the City Clerk.
8. This resolution is effective immediately upon adoption.

* * * * *



REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

Approved by:



Department Director



City Administrator

Council Meeting of: June 1, 2016

Agenda Number: B-7

SUBJECT: Consideration of the Corrected City of Madera Investment Report for the six month period ending December 31, 2015 for Approval and Acceptance

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends the Council approve and accept the Corrected City of Madera Investment Report for the six month period ending December 31, 2015 as presented.

DISCUSSION: The City of Madera Investment Policy calls for a quarterly report to be presented to the City Council, giving detailed information on the portfolio and bank positions with summary information to permit an informed outside reader to evaluate the performance of the investment program.

It has been brought to my attention, by my staff, that the report for the period ending December 31, 2015 that was previously brought to Council was regrettably incorrect. In preparing the report everything was updated with the exception of the bank account balances. That information has been corrected and we now present the corrected investment report for the period ending December 31, 2015. We sincerely apologize and regret any inconvenience this may have caused. Therefore, the Finance Director hereby submits the corrected six month investment report for the period ending December 31, 2015.

The market values for the items being reported were obtained from Union Bank, who acts as the custodian for our investments and who we consider to be an independent source for such information. After reviewing the information included in the attached Investment Report, the Finance Director/Treasurer certifies that to the best of his knowledge: 1) all investment actions taken during this quarter have been made in full compliance with the City of Madera November 2014 Investment Policy and, 2) the City will meet its expenditure obligations for the next six months.

As can be seen in the summary information of the Council Investment Report, the City's investment program has out-performed the benchmarks, with a 1.21% yield as compared to 0.65% for the 1-Year Treasury and 0.98% for the 2-Year Treasury. Total Market Value of the City's investments equaled \$53.8 million as of December 31, 2015, with Federal Agency Securities, Interest Bearing Accounts, Medium Term Notes and Negotiable Certificates of Deposit Securities making up 78.1% of that total. The decrease in total market value was due, in

part, to the timing of called securities. \$12.5 million in Federal Agency Securities were called during the six month period ending December 31, 2015.

The Portfolio Holdings Distribution by Maturity Range report is on the second page of the Council Investment Report and reflects the percentages of holdings for each maturity range as of December 31, 2015. All holdings are in line with the November 2014 investment policy.


FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no fiscal impact of the Council's acceptance of this Investment Report.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN: Approval of this item is consistent with Strategy 115 of the Vision Plan - Economic Resource Provision: Ensure sufficient economic resources to provide adequate City services and prepare for future growth.



CITY OF MADERA

Council Investment Report

December 31, 2015 REVISED



Benchmark Performance	Beginning Balance 6/30/2015	Ending Balance 12/31/2015
Weighted Average Yield	1.36	1.21
1 Year Treasury	0.28	0.65
2 Year Treasury	0.69	0.98



Asset Class	Face Amount/Shares	YTM @ Cost	Cost Value	Days To Maturity	% of Portfolio	Market Value	Book Value	Duration To Maturity
FDIC Insured Certificate of Deposit	2,195,000.00	1.377	2,195,000.00	635	3.52	2,195,000.00	2,195,000.00	1.69
Federal Agency Securities	18,000,000.00	1.754	18,303,810.00	1398	28.96	18,088,365.00	18,069,766.67	3.62
Interest Bearing Accounts	4,641,584.10	0.000	4,641,584.10	1	7.44	4,641,584.10	4,641,584.10	0.00
Local Agency Investment Fund	4,795,799.98	0.299	4,795,799.98	1	7.69	4,795,799.98	4,795,799.98	0.00
Medium Term Notes	11,218,000.00	1.609	11,373,567.95	450	18.05	11,322,417.48	11,263,609.50	0.30
Negotiable Certificate of Deposit Securities	13,680,000.00	1.274	13,659,516.40	962	21.89	13,690,909.61	13,659,840.88	2.31
Obligation of the US Government	8,000,000.00	1.031	5,940,046.87	792	9.56	6,002,500.00	5,965,749.82	2.15
State Municipal Bonds	1,800,000.00	3.809	1,856,190.60	109	2.89	1,816,098.50	1,803,509.01	0.30
Total / Average	62,310,384.06	1.357	62,765,515.88	767	100	62,552,672.65	62,394,858.94	1.88


Asset Class	Face Amount/Shares	YTM @ Cost	Cost Value	Days To Maturity	% of Portfolio	Market Value	Book Value	Duration To Maturity
FDIC Insured Certificate of Deposit	1,995,000.00	1.467	1,995,000.00	599	3.71	1,995,000.00	1,995,000.00	1.60
Federal Agency Securities	11,500,000.00	1.501	11,801,310.00	1037	21.40	11,508,180.00	11,630,533.51	2.78
Interest Bearing Accounts	6,019,527.82	0.009	6,019,527.82	1	11.20	6,019,527.82	6,019,527.82	0.00
Local Agency Investment Fund	3,802,834.07	0.400	3,802,834.07	1	7.07	3,802,834.07	3,802,834.07	0.00
Medium Term Notes	9,805,000.00	1.776	9,956,091.52	370	18.33	9,851,977.75	9,832,739.62	0.42
Negotiable Certificate of Deposit Securities	14,645,000.00	1.356	14,645,000.00	821	27.18	14,609,252.73	14,645,000.00	2.19
Obligation of the US Government	6,000,000.00	1.032	5,940,046.87	808	11.11	5,973,710.00	5,972,178.95	1.65
Total / Average	53,767,161.89	1.214	54,159,610.28	603	100	53,758,282.37	53,797,611.97	1.51

Investment Policy Compliance	Max	Max Maturity	Compliance
FDIC Insured Certificate of Deposit	30%	5 Years	Yes
Federal Agency Securities	90%	5 Years	Yes
Interest Bearing Accounts	20%	n/a	Yes
Local Agency Investment Fund	50M	n/a	Yes
Medium Term Notes	30%	5 Years	Yes
Negotiable Certificate of Deposit Securities	30%	5 Years	Yes
Obligation of the US Government	90%	5 Years	Yes
State Municipal Bonds	20%	5 Years	Yes


CITY OF MADERA

Council Investment Report


December 31, 2015 REVISED



Portfolio Holdings Distribution by Maturity Range



Maturity Range	Face Amount/Shares	YTM @ Cost	Cost Value	Days To Maturity	% of Portfolio	Market Value	Book Value	Duration To Maturity
0-1 Month	9,822,161.89	0.160	9,822,161.89	1	18.27	9,822,161.89	9,822,161.89	0.00
1-3 Months	2,000,000.00	2.412	2,000,000.00	59	3.72	2,002,220.00	2,000,000.00	0.00
3-6 Months	2,356,000.00	1.658	2,667,675.57	162	4.46	2,398,595.30	2,389,570.57	0.44
6-9 Months	997,000.00	0.799	997,000.00	223	1.85	996,930.28	997,000.00	0.61
9-12 Months	6,239,000.00	1.043	6,329,496.92	318	11.66	6,265,592.48	6,255,736.83	0.86
1-2 Years	14,167,000.00	1.360	14,188,763.40	533	26.38	14,179,190.54	14,163,590.04	1.00
2-3 Years	8,978,000.00	1.331	8,949,012.50	927	16.63	8,941,664.03	8,964,003.38	2.60
3-4 Years	3,976,000.00	1.705	3,976,000.00	1290	7.34	3,946,559.54	3,976,000.00	3.43
4-5 Years	5,232,000.00	1.848	5,229,500.00	1678	9.68	5,205,368.31	5,229,549.26	4.41
Total / Average	53,767,161.89	1.214	54,159,610.28	603	100	53,758,282.37	53,797,611.97	1.51



REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

Approved by:



Department Director



City Administrator

Council Meeting of: June 1, 2016

Agenda Number: B-8

SUBJECT: Consideration of the City of Madera Investment Report for the Quarter Ending March 31, 2016 for Approval and Acceptance

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends the Council approve and accept the City of Madera Investment Report for the quarter ending March 31, 2016 as presented.

DISCUSSION: The City of Madera Investment Policy calls for a quarterly report to be presented to the City Council, giving detailed information on the portfolio and bank positions with summary information to permit an informed outside reader to evaluate the performance of the investment program. The Finance Director hereby submits the investment report for the quarter ending March 31, 2016.

The market values for the items being reported were obtained from Union Bank, who acts as the custodian for our investments and who we consider to be an independent source for such information. After reviewing the information included in the attached Investment Report, the Finance Director/Treasurer certifies that to the best of his knowledge: 1) all investment actions taken during this quarter have been made in full compliance with the City of Madera November 2014 Investment Policy and, 2) the City will meet its expenditure obligations for the next six months.

As can be seen in the summary information of the Council Investment Report, the City's investment program has out-performed the benchmarks, with a 1.21% yield as compared to 0.66% for the 1-Year Treasury and 0.88% for the 2-Year Treasury. Total Market Value of the City's investments equaled \$57.6 million as of March 31, 2016, with Federal Agency Securities, Interest Bearing Accounts, Medium Term Notes and Negotiable Certificates of Deposit Securities making up 79.1% of that total. The increase in market value is due, in large part, to an increase in the general fund checking account balance. Most of this increase is from receiving the December 2015 property taxes.

The Portfolio Holdings Distribution by Maturity Range report is on the second page of the Council Investment Report and reflects the percentages of holdings for each maturity range as of March 31, 2016. All holdings are in line with the November 2014 investment policy.

FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no fiscal impact of the Council's acceptance of this Investment Report.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN: Approval of this item is consistent with Strategy 115 of the Vision Plan - Economic Resource Provision: Ensure sufficient economic resources to provide adequate City services and prepare for future growth.



CITY OF MADERA

Council Investment Report

March 31, 2016



Benchmark Performance	Beginning Balance	Ending Balance
	12/31/2015	3/31/2016
Weighted Average Yield	1.21	1.21
1 Year Treasury	0.65	0.66
2 Year Treasury	0.98	0.88




Asset Class	Face Amount/Shares	YTM @ Cost	Cost Value	Days To Maturity	% of Portfolio	Market Value	Book Value	Duration To Maturity
FDIC Insured Certificate of Deposit	1,996,000.00	1.467	1,996,000.00	569	3.71	1,996,000.00	1,996,000.00	1.80
Federal Agency Securities	11,600,000.00	1.601	11,801,310.00	1037	21.40	11,608,180.00	11,630,833.51	2.78
Interest Bearing Accounts	6,019,527.82	0.009	6,019,527.82	1	11.20	6,019,527.82	6,019,527.82	0.00
Local Agency Investment Fund	3,802,834.07	0.400	3,802,834.07	1	7.07	3,802,834.07	3,802,834.07	0.00
Medium Term Notes	9,805,000.00	1.778	9,898,091.52	370	18.33	9,851,977.75	9,832,739.82	0.42
Negotiable Certificate of Deposit Securities	14,845,000.00	1.358	14,845,000.00	821	27.18	14,809,282.73	14,845,000.00	2.19
Obligation of the US Government	6,000,000.00	1.032	5,940,046.87	608	11.11	5,973,710.00	5,972,176.96	1.86
Total / Average	63,767,161.89	1.214	64,159,810.28	603	100	63,758,282.37	63,797,811.97	1.51


Asset Class	Face Amount/Shares	YTM @ Cost	Cost Value	Days To Maturity	% of Portfolio	Market Value	Book Value	Duration To Maturity
FDIC Insured Certificate of Deposit	2,243,000.00	1.654	2,243,000.00	612	3.89	2,243,000.00	2,243,000.00	1.83
Federal Agency Securities	11,000,000.00	1.788	11,301,310.00	1024	19.16	11,038,615.00	11,012,486.71	2.72
Interest Bearing Accounts	9,677,634.58	0.040	9,677,634.58	1	16.80	9,677,634.58	9,677,634.58	0.00
Local Agency Investment Fund	3,808,153.49	0.606	3,808,153.49	1	6.61	3,808,153.49	3,808,153.49	0.00
Medium Term Notes	9,805,000.00	1.778	9,972,701.52	573	17.15	9,880,797.25	9,841,002.91	1.02
Negotiable Certificate of Deposit Securities	14,845,000.00	1.360	14,845,000.00	738	25.95	14,949,026.99	14,845,000.00	1.97
Obligation of the US Government	6,000,000.00	1.032	5,940,046.87	517	10.43	6,007,420.00	5,975,355.58	1.41
Total / Average	57,376,788.07	1.209	57,785,846.46	564	100	57,600,647.31	57,400,613.27	1.42

Investment Policy Compliance	Max	Maturity	
		Max	Compliance
FDIC Insured Certificate of Deposit	30%	5 Years	Yes
Federal Agency Securities	90%	5 Years	Yes
Interest Bearing Accounts	20%	n/a	Yes
Local Agency Investment Fund	50M	n/a	Yes
Medium Term Notes	30%	5 Years	Yes
Negotiable Certificate of Deposit Securities	30%	5 Years	Yes
Obligation of the US Government	90%	5 Years	Yes
State Municipal Bonds	20%	5 Years	Yes


CITY OF MADERA
Council Investment Report
 March 31, 2016



Portfolio Holdings Distribution by Maturity Range



Maturity Range	Face Amount/Shares	YTM @ Cost	Cost Value	Days To Maturity	% of Portfolio	Market Value	Book Value	Duration To Maturity
0-1 Month	13,590,788.07	0.177	13,598,653.64	1	23.59	13,590,844.78	13,590,871.04	0.00
1-3 Months	2,249,000.00	1.696	2,652,810.00	74	3.94	2,269,770.45	2,263,792.93	0.20
3-6 Months	997,000.00	0.799	997,000.00	132	1.73	997,375.99	997,000.00	0.36
6-9 Months	5,742,000.00	1.050	5,832,498.92	223	10.01	5,766,641.10	5,753,672.47	0.60
9-12 Months	6,323,000.00	1.652	6,385,278.70	326	11.02	6,349,075.61	6,333,554.41	0.30
1-2 Years	9,087,000.00	1.256	9,019,297.20	552	15.82	9,110,270.83	9,061,088.55	1.35
2-3 Years	9,425,000.00	1.394	9,430,510.00	911	16.45	9,476,617.27	9,430,997.16	2.45
3-4 Years	3,980,000.00	1.761	3,980,000.00	1284	6.96	4,008,020.15	3,980,000.00	3.41
4-5 Years	5,983,000.00	2.374	5,989,800.00	1659	10.47	6,032,031.13	5,989,638.71	4.35
Total / Average	57,376,788.07	1.209	57,785,846.46	564	100	57,600,647.31	57,400,613.27	1.42



REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

Approved By:

Department Director

City Administrator

Council Meeting of June 1, 2016

Agenda Item Number C-1

SUBJECT: SECOND READING AND CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SUBSECTION B OF SECTION 3-5.08 OF CHAPTER 5 OF TITLE III OF THE MADERA MUNICIPAL CODE IN COMPLIANCE WITH CONDUCTING SPEED SURVEYS ON CITY STREETS

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council: adopt the ordinance amending Subsection B of Section 3-5.08 of Chapter 5 of Title III of the Madera Municipal Code in compliance with conducting speed surveys on City Streets.

SUMMARY:

The requirement to perform Engineering and Traffic Surveys (E & TS) for speed limits is based on the California Vehicle Code. The vehicle code indicates that local governments should conduct engineering studies once every five (5) years in compliance with Section 40802a of the California Vehicle Code. This is to determine whether any of the roadways have undergone significant changes since the last review. The current Engineering and Traffic Survey was done at each of the street locations listed in the new ordinance. The new survey also determined whether speed limits previously set should be modified. At the May 18, 2016 Council meeting, a public hearing was held and the proposed ordinance was introduced.

BACKGROUND:

According to the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (California MUTCD 2014 Edition) a safe and reasonable speed limit is set at or below the speed at which 85% of the normal traffic is traveling. The speed limit shall be set at or increased to the nearest 5 mph increment of the 85th percentile as determined for each street. However, the speed limit may be reduced by a 5 mph increment of 85th percentile speed, if the engineering study indicates the need for a reduction in speed is necessary to match existing conditions. If the 5 mph reduction is applied, the E & TS shall document in writing the conditions and justification for the reduced speed limit and be approved by a registered Civil Engineer.

Engineering

205 W. Fourth Street • Madera, CA 93637 • TEL (559) 661-5418 • FAX (559) 675-6605

www.madera-ca.gov

DISCUSSION:

The City has completed traffic surveys for fifty-two (52) street segments including minor collector and arterial streets. The speed limit is required to be set at the nearest five mph increment of the 85th percentile speed.

In order to use radar for enforcement, the engineering traffic survey must justify the posted speed. Below are the actual results for the fifty-two (52) streets surveyed:

Item No.	LOCATION	Current Speed	85th %ile	Change in Speed	Length of Segment in Miles
1	Adell Street from Country Club Drive to "D" Street	40	41	0	0.60
2	Almond Avenue from Pine Street to Granada Drive	45	44	0	1.00
3	Almond Avenue from Golden Ste Hwy to Madera Avenue	40	43	0	0.61
4	Almond Avenue from Madera Avenue to Stadium Road	40	42	0	0.50
5	Cleveland Avenue from Gateway Drive to Tulare Street	40	41	0	1.30
6	Cleveland Avenue from Granada Drive to Schnoor Avenue	40	40	0	0.42
7	Cleveland Avenue from Granada Drive to West City Limits	45	46	0	0.60
8	Cleveland Avenue from Schnoor Avenue to Freeway 99	40	41	0	0.50
9	Cleveland Avenue from Tulare Street to Tozer Road	40	41	0	0.50
10	Clinton Avenue from Lilly Street to Tozer Road	35	36	0	0.58
11	Country Club Drive from Cleveland Avenue to Clark Street	40	42	0	0.33
12	D Street from Central Avenue to Yosemite Avenue	35	36	0	0.42
13	D Street from Cleveland Avenue to Adell Street	40	42	0	0.57
14	D Street from Ninth Street to Olive Avenue	35	38	0	0.52
15	Ellis Street from Lake Street to Chapin Avenue	40	42	0	0.81
16	Fourth Street from I Street to Pine Street	35	36	0	0.62
17	Fourth Street from D Street to Lake Street	35	35	0	0.31
18	Gateway Drive from Cleveland Avenue to Avenue 16	50	51	0	0.60
19	Gateway Drive from Fresno River to Cleveland Avenue	40	42	0	0.53
20	Gateway Drive from Ninth Avenue to Olive Avenue	35	37	0	0.37
21	Granada Drive from Cleveland Avenue to Fresno River	45	47	0	0.39
22	Granada Drive from Howard Road to Sunset Avenue	40	37	0	0.51
23	Granada Drive from Howard Road to South City Limits	50	48	0	1.00
24	Granada Drive from Sunset Avenue to Riverview Drive	35	36	0	0.51
25	Howard Road from Autumn Road to Pine Street	40	42	0	1.23
26	I Street from 4th Street to Olive Avenue	35	37	0	0.72
27	I Street from 4th Street to Central Avenue	35	36	0	0.53
28	Industrial Avenue from Granada Drive to Schnoor Avenue	40	41	0	0.5
29	Kennedy Street from Lake Street to Tulare Avenue	35	38	0	0.26
30	Kennedy Street from Tulare Street to City Limits	40	42	0	0.55
31	Lake Street from Cleveland Avenue to Ellis Street	40	38	0	0.85
32	Lake Street from Clinton Ave. to Sunrise Avenue	35	35	0	0.25
33	Pecan Avenue from Raymond-Thomas Road to Golden State Blvd.	40	41	0	0.55

Item No.	LOCATION	Current Speed	85th %ile	Change in Speed	Length of Segment in Miles
34	Pecan Avenue from Madera Avenue to Pine Street	45	44	0	1
35	Pecan Avenue from Schnoor Avenue to Pine Street	45	45	0	0.5
36	Pine Street from Howard Road to Pecan Street	45	44	0	1
37	Pine Street from Howard Road to Sunset Avenue	30	32	0	0.33
38	Schnoor Avenue from Dutra Way to Cleveland Avenue	35	34	0	0.31
39	Schnoor Avenue from Kennedy Street to Cleveland Avenue	40	38	0	0.55
40	Sherwood Way from Country Club Drive to Sonora Street	40	38	0	0.42
41	Sherwood Way from Sonora Street to Lake Street	35	35	0	0.58
42	Storey Road from Yosemite Avenue to City Limits	50	47	0	0.45
43	Sunrise Avenue from B Street to Lilly Street	40	38	0	0.65
44	Sunset Avenue from Fourth Street to Granada Drive	40	39	0	1.43
45	Sunset Avenue from Granada Drive to City Limits	45	46	0	0.97
46	Tozer Road from Avenue 15 to A Street	50	50	0	0.75
47	Tozer Road from Yosemite Avenue to Avenue 15	45	46	0	0.54
48	Tozer Road from Olive Avenue to Knox Street	45	46	0	0.79
49	Vineyard Avenue from Clinton Avenue to Yosemite Avenue	35	33	0	0.26
50	Westberry Blvd. from Howard Road to Sunset Avenue	45	43	0	0.86
51	Westberry Blvd. from Sunset Avenue to Riverview Drive	40	42	-5	0.50
52	Yosemite Avenue from Gateway Drive to Olive Avenue	40	40	0	0.83

FISCAL IMPACT:

There is no direct impact to the City.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN:

The speed limits are integral in creating a good traffic flow which minimizes traffic accidents. Safe, clean and attractive streets accommodate traffic, providing easy access to all parts of the City.

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SUBSECTION B OF SECTION 3-5.08 OF CHAPTER 5 OF TITLE III OF THE MADERA MUNICIPAL CODE IN COMPLIANCE WITH CONDUCTING SPEED SURVEYS ON CITY STREETS

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MADERA AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Subsection (B) of Section 3-5.08 of Chapter 5 of Title III of the Madera Municipal Code is hereby deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows:

(B) Section 16. It is determined upon the basis of an engineering and traffic investigation that the speed permitted by state law upon the following streets is less than is necessary for the safe operation of vehicles thereon by reason of the designation and sign-posting of such streets as through highways and/or by reason of widely-spaced intersections, and it is declared that the prima facie limit shall be as set forth in this section on those streets, or parts of streets, designated in this section when signs are erected giving notice thereof:

Item No.	LOCATION	Declared Prima Facie Speed Limit (MPH)
1	Adell Street from Country Club to "D" Street	40
2	Almond Avenue from Pine Street to Granada Drive	45
3	Almond Avenue from Golden State Hwy to Madera Avenue	40
4	Almond Avenue from Madera Avenue to Stadium Road	40
5	Cleveland Avenue from Gateway Drive to Tulare Street	40
6	Cleveland Avenue from Granada Drive to Schnoor Avenue	40
7	Cleveland Avenue from Granada Drive to W. City Limits	45
8	Cleveland Avenue from Schnoor Avenue to Freeway 99	40
9	Cleveland Avenue from Tulare Street to Tozer Road	40
10	Clinton Avenue from Lilly Street to Tozer Road	35
11	Country Club Drive from Cleveland Avenue to Clark Street	40
12	D Street from Central Avenue to Yosemite Avenue	35
13	D Street from Cleveland Avenue to Adell Street	40
14	D Street from Ninth Street to Olive Avenue	35
15	Ellis Street from Lake Street to Chapin Avenue	40
16	Fourth Street from I Street to Pine Street	35
17	Fourth Street from D Street to Lake Street	35
18	Gateway Drive from Cleveland Avenue to Avenue 16	50
19	Gateway Drive from Fresno River to Cleveland Avenue	40
20	Gateway Drive from Ninth Avenue to Olive Avenue	35
21	Granada Drive from Cleveland Avenue to Fresno River	45
22	Granada Drive from Howard Road to Sunset Avenue	40
23	Granada Drive from Howard Road to South City Limits	50
24	Granada Drive from Sunset Avenue to Riverview Drive	35
25	Howard Road from Autumn Road to Pine Street	40
26	I Street from 4th Street to Olive Avenue	35
27	I Street from 4th Street to Central Avenue	35
28	Industrial Avenue from Granada Drive to Schnoor Avenue	40
29	Kennedy Street from Lake Street to Tulare Avenue	35
30	Kennedy Street from Tulare Street to City Limits	40

Item No.	LOCATION	Declared Prima Facie Speed Limit (MPH)
31	Lake Street from Cleveland Ave. to Ellis Street	40
32	Lake Street from Clinton Avenue to Sunrise Avenue	35
33	Pecan Avenue from Raymond-Thomas Road to Golden State Blvd.	40
34	Pecan Avenue from Madera Avenue to Pine Street	45
35	Pecan Avenue from Schnoor Avenue to Pine Street	45
36	Pine Street from Howard Road to Pecan Street	45
37	Pine Street from Howard Road to Sunset Avenue	30
38	Schnoor Avenue from Dutra Way to Cleveland Avenue	35
39	Schnoor Avenue from Kennedy Street to Cleveland Avenue	40
40	Sherwood Way from Country Club Drive to Sonora Street	40
41	Sherwood Way from Sonora Street to Lake Street	35
42	Storey Road from Yosemite Avenue to City Limits	50
43	Sunrise Avenue from B Street to Lilly Street	40
44	Sunset Avenue from Fourth Street to Granada Drive	40
45	Sunset Avenue from Granada Drive City Limits	45
46	Tozer Road from Avenue 15 to A Street	50
47	Tozer Road from Yosemite Avenue to Avenue 15	45
48	Tozer Road from Olive Avenue to Knox Street	45
49	Vineyard Avenue from Clinton Avenue to Yosemite Avenue	35
50	Westberry Blvd. from Howard Road to Sunset Avenue	45
51	Westberry Blvd. from Sunset Avenue to Riverview Drive	40
52	Yosemite Avenue from Gateway Drive to Olive Avenue	40

Section 2. If any section, subsection, clause or phase of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance. The City Council hereby declares that it would have passed this Ordinance and any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase be declared unconstitutional or otherwise invalid.

Section 3. This Ordinance shall be effective and of full force and effect at 12:01 a.m. on the thirty-first day after its passage or when appropriate signs giving notice thereof are erected upon street and shall not thereafter be revised except upon the basis of an engineering and traffic survey, whichever occurs later.

.....

Sonia Alvarez

From: Andrea Garcia <andreag@maderaccj.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 19, 2016 10:41 AM
To: Sonia Alvarez
Subject: Madera City Council Agenda 6/1/2016

Hello Sonia Alvarez,

My name is Andrea Garcia from Madera Coalition for Community Justice. I wanted to reach out to you in regards to be put on the June 1 Agenda. I coordinate a youth group who have been working really hard on a Teen Pregnancy Prevention Project and would like to present to the City Council on what information, training's, and data we have been researching in Madera County pregnancy and STD rates.

Thank you,
Andrea Garcia
Youth Coordinator
Madera Coalition for Community Justice
(559) 661-1879
maderaccj.org

RECEIVED


City of Madera City Clerk

By: *J Alvarez*

Date: *4/19/16*

*c: City Administrator
City Attorney
Comm. Dev. Dir.*





REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

Approved by:



Department Director



City Administrator

Council Meeting of: June 1, 2016

Agenda Number: E-1

SUBJECT: Presentation of the Preliminary City of Madera General Fund for Fiscal Year 2016/2017 and Selection of a Date for the 2016/2017 Budget Workshop

RECOMMENDATION: Council to select a date and time to hold the 2016/2017 Budget Workshop from those suggested by staff.

SUMMARY: This is the third of four preliminary budget presentations to the City Council pertaining to the City of Madera Fiscal Year 2016/2017 Budgets. The Capital Projects and the Enterprise Fund preliminary budgets have already been presented to Council. This preliminary budget presentation includes the General Fund. The Internal Service Funds and Special Revenue Funds preliminary budgets will be presented separately, this evening. The final budget presentation will be presented to Council for consideration of approval at the first meeting in July.

The General Fund Operational Budgets are primarily funded by taxes and fees for services. They are designed to be funded from current year operational revenues. Reserves and one-time sources of funds should only be used on an exception basis. The majority of operational expenses relate to staffing or personnel costs, and this budget proposal includes an increase of 6.7% to personnel expenses, net of the exclusion of just over \$1 million related to Finance Utility Billing budget. The 2016/2017 Budget moves the Utility Billing budgets directly into the Enterprise Funds (Water, Sewer and Solid Waste), which are served by Utility Billing, rather than running it through the General Fund and covering the expenditures by transfers in from the Enterprise Funds to the General Fund. If the personnel costs were still included in the General Fund, there would be a projected increase of 11.7%. The increase to personnel costs is caused primarily by the MOU compensation changes, minimum wages laws and new positions.

The proposed Maintenance and Operations (M&O) budgets, overall, have decreased by approximately 10% from the 15/16 Budget. The proposed Capital Outlay budgets have decreased from about \$104,000 in Fund 10200 and \$1.51 million in the General Fund overall to \$0 in Fund 10200 and \$556,000 in the General Fund overall. The overall decrease to Capital Outlay from the 2015/2016 Budget to the projected 2016/2017 Budget is 63%, and all of the Capital Outlay for 2016/2017 is included in the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) budget. Staff

cut roughly \$130,000 of Capital Outlay requests from the budget, along with all of our other cost-cutting measures, in an attempt to balance the budget in 2016/2017.

Unlike the Enterprise Funds with their respective user fees, the General Fund depends primarily on taxes for revenues, which fluctuate with the economy and the housing market. Balancing budgets that provide essential services to the City can be tricky when there are large shifts in Sales Tax and Property Tax or when the State discovers new ways to take money away from us. Some of the factors that have made this year's budget preparations difficult were: current year compensation increases, a 3% cost of living increase for the upcoming fiscal year, increases to healthcare costs, increased CalPERS costs and restrictions on the use of funds from CDBG grants for General Fund expenditures. For the upcoming fiscal year, the overall revenue picture has improved, slightly. However, CalPERS costs continue to increase, salaries and other benefits have increased, some new positions are being added to the budget and there are some indications of a slowing economy.

This Preliminary General Fund Budget presentation includes approximately \$33 million of proposed expenditures and about \$32 million of projected revenues. Summaries of revenues and expenses are also attached to this report as Exhibit A. Revenue detail is attached as Exhibit B. The line-item budget pages are included with this presentation as Exhibit C, which contains the various operational line items as well as the departmental revenue projections for those budgets.

DISCUSSION: After inclusion of negotiated compensation increases the City's adopted 2015-16 General Fund budget contained a budgeted operating loss of in excess of \$1 million. Based on historical spending patterns there was a reasonable expectation that we would end the year without a true operating loss. During the year unexpected expenses in excess of \$500,000 were added to the General Fund budget. In spite of this the City's Finance Department projects ending the year with \$350,000 to \$500,000 of revenue in excess of expenditures. This is a product of one time revenue windfalls in addition to the City's historical pattern of spending less than 100% of its operating budgets.

The City has enjoyed another successful financial year. As we enjoy our success, however, we need to avoid any false sense of security. The budgets being adopted by many units of local government in California, Madera included, to one degree or another fall short of what an older generation of public finance professional would characterize as conservative or prudent. In successive budgets Madera has successfully assumed additional levels of risk in order to maintain or improve its service levels to the Community. Therein we find the ongoing challenge – balancing between prudent financial management and providing an effective service delivery plan. The remainder of the General Fund discussion will explore risks and opportunities that Council should consider in the adoption of a budget.

The General Fund budget presented for Council consideration includes a \$1,359,000 operating loss. Based on historical spending patterns we would anticipate an actual loss of about \$350,000. With a current General Fund Balance of approximately \$11 million and the addition of \$350,000 to \$500,000 to the fund balance from 2015-16 we would expect to end the 2016-17 fiscal cycle with again, about an \$11 million fund balance position. There are important changes and a number of moving parts that could impact the outcome. Briefly, these include:

- ✦ We have included a loss of sales tax revenue to the County based on the current discussions taking place between the City and County on a tax sharing agreement. Those discussions also include some limited provision of city service to a county area. No allocation of funds has been included for that purpose inasmuch as negotiations are ongoing and defining the potential cost is somewhat speculative. An order of magnitude of \$200,000 in annual expense is within the realm of possibility.
- ✦ The budget includes roughly \$700,000 of new expense related to PERS retirement and employee health care costs.
- ✦ There are a limited number of new positions we'll need to discuss. Much needed additional positions were eliminated from consideration due to our limited funding ability.
- ✦ Capital expenses were largely eliminated from the proposed budget. Deferred reinvestment in our capital assets is an ongoing issue.
- ✦ Sales tax revenues are projected to be down approximately \$400,000 compared to 2015-16. This is a function of a one-time windfall in 2015-16 and our consultant's belief the economic recovery is going to cool off or take a pause in 2016-17. We can clearly track a loss of sales tax revenue due to the decrease in fuel prices.
- ✦ The City continues to compete for grants to improve service. We are currently competing for a grant for public safety that would add as much as \$150,000 annually to our service delivery plan.
- ✦ Estimating proceeds from property tax is less than precise. Property tax increment formally received by the Redevelopment Agency should increase as RDA activity winds down. The amount received by the City has actually fluctuated. The fluctuations from one year to the next are a result of the State Department of Finance's approval or disallowance of expenditure of items to be funded by the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF). In 2012-13 the distribution to the City (rounded) was \$98,000; in 2013-14 it was \$466,600; in 2014-15 it was \$253,800; and in 2015-16 it is expected to be \$436,200. A substantial increase is projected in 2016-17 but staff is assuming only an overall increase of 3.5% until we receive additional information.
- ✦ The County is currently appealing Vehicle License Fee calculations by the State. If successful the City could receive an additional \$366,000 in one-time revenue. The appeal process has been characterized by the County as long and problematic. It is impossible to predict when or if the appeal might be completed.
- ✦ Once we reach a detailed review of the General Fund budget Council will note we have included some one-time kind of adjustments. Community Facility District funds have accumulated a balance. We have included \$800,000 from this funding source in the 2016-17 Budget. A sustainable level of support is closer to \$400,000 annually.
- ✦ We are pushing back our General Fund contribution to fleet replacement by one cycle. This avoids a cost of approximately \$240,000. Our General Fund fleet is in excellent

shape. Pushing the replace schedule back one cycle is not an issue but should we do so in successive years we would be creating a deferred maintenance problem.

- ✚ The City has in the past been able to use as much as \$700,000 of Block Grant Funds to provide personnel driven services to Madera residents. Notwithstanding the fact that the enabling legislation allows us to do so, notwithstanding that our programs, particularly code enforcement have been recognized by HUD as models of excellence, it is clear that the administrators of CDBG want to limit the use of funds to capital projects or expenditures. We should anticipate that use of Block Grant funds for direct service delivery has been effectively lost.
- ✚ Finally, as we incrementally reduce and tighten our budget our ability to achieve a 3% under-run on expenses becomes progressively more difficult.

Prudent financial managers (we're trying) do not view a budget as one year in isolation. The following issues are relevant:

- ✚ Retirement and health care costs are projected to go up \$700,000 in 2016-17. What happens in succeeding years is speculative but staff does not see anything that suggests future increases will not be in play.
- ✚ Our existing agreements with bargaining units include a 3% cost of living adjustment in 2017-18.
- ✚ Large retrospective adjustments are anticipated with our Risk Management Authority in 2017-18 and 2018-19. The City participates in a self-insured risk pool through the Central San Joaquin Valley Risk Management Authority for many of its insurance needs, including workers' compensation, liability and property. Monies are put on deposit each year based on prior claim experience, entity size, funding confidence level and the estimated discount rate. Each year, the Authority actuarially adjusts prior program years based on actual losses and claim development. If the City's monies on deposit exceed the estimated adjusted losses, the City receives a refund of contributions. If the City's monies on deposit fall short of estimated losses, the City is required to deposit additional monies. Monies owed can be attributed to higher than normal claims, a year in which funding was calculated at a lower confidence level, and/or a discount rate that was too high. The Authority Board has taken action to fund at higher confidence levels and lower discount rates to hopefully prevent such large retrospective contributions in the future. The table below demonstrates estimated future retrospective adjustments that the City will need to plan for.

FY	Workers' Comp	Liability
16-17	(83,500)	59,000
17-18	(683,500)	(25,500)
18-19	(729,500)	78,500
19-20	(215,500)	(39,000)

Costs are allocated among the various funds of the City; as a benchmark we might assume an 80% impact on the General Fund. Inasmuch as the retrospective adjustments are charges against a prior period, absent a windfall of funds we would charge these expenses against our fund balance position. Over two years (17-18, 18-19) we might reduce our General Fund's unappropriated fund balance position by plus or minus \$1 million.

- ✦ Speculation remains whether the casino project and an unrelated travel center will begin construction in advance of the 2017-18 fiscal cycle. If so one or both would generate a significant amount of income for the City. Both projects will be challenged by traffic mitigation requirements at Avenue 17 and Highway 99.
- ✦ Impacts from the State of California good and bad always remain an unknown. One day the Legislature is finding new ways to take resources from local government and on another day there is a discussion about extending sales tax to professional services. Your crystal ball is as good as ours.

Staff anticipates having a draft budget, all funds, prepared and delivered to Council by June 17th. Council may choose to schedule a workshop any time after that date. Staff would like to propose that Council select from one of these suggested dates and times or select another date that works better for Council, within the same approximate timeframe:

- Tuesday, June 21st from 6:00pm to 9:00pm
- Wednesday, June 22nd from 6:00pm to 9:00pm
- Saturday, June 25th from 10:00am to 1:00pm

FINANCIAL IMPACT: There is no financial impact of this agenda item.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN: The presentation of this item is consistent with Strategy 115 of the Vision Plan - Economic Resource Provision: Ensure sufficient economic resources to provide adequate City services and prepare for future growth. It is also in line with funding core services as articulated by the Vision Madera 2025 Plan.

Exhibit A

Summary of General Fund Expenditures

Description	Salaries and Benefits		Salaries and Benefits		B to B Chng	Maintenance and Operations		B to B Chng	Total Operations		B to B Chng	
	15/16 Budget	15/16 Est.	16/17 Budget	16/17 Est.		15/16 Budget	15/16 Est.		16/17 Budget	15/16 Est.		16/17 Budget
FUND: 10200												
City Council	101,790	96,052	146,194	44%	25,254	17,984	26,554	5%	127,044	114,037	172,749	36%
City Adm	218,562	249,311	351,987	61%	28,843	24,306	27,086	-6%	247,405	273,616	379,073	53%
City Clerk	218,057	255,778	283,200	30%	32,214	45,689	123,079	282%	250,271	301,467	406,279	62%
Finance	493,797	468,976	542,853	10%	416,600	409,466	360,177	-14%	910,397	878,442	903,029	-1%
Attorney	346,585	404,101	432,821	25%	33,135	33,135	34,928	5%	379,720	437,236	467,749	23%
HR	405,588	452,857	481,577	19%	50,887	53,508	55,508	9%	456,475	506,365	537,085	18%
Central Adm	0	0	0		2,100,607	1,934,359	1,535,701	-27%	2,100,607	1,934,359	1,535,701	-27%
Finance UB	1,014,759	961,429	0	-100%	321,034	325,768	0	-100%	1,335,794	1,287,197	0	
Purchasing	169,726	162,698	188,777	11%	21,081	21,081	23,039	9%	190,807	183,779	211,815	11%
Police Svs-AB109		58,516	60,312		30,000	30,000	30,000	0%	30,000	88,516	90,312	
Police Svs-CCP	126,385	127,572	121,346	-4%	0	0	0	0	126,385	127,572	121,346	-4%
School Policing	270,229	262,603	240,669	-11%	0	0	0	0	270,229	262,603	240,669	-11%
Police Svs-Cal Grip Grant	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Hsg Auth Policing	0	0	124,884		0	0	0	0	0	0	124,884	
Police Adm	8,939,928	9,153,845	9,683,054	8%	1,398,905	1,419,149	1,407,720	1%	10,338,833	10,572,993	11,090,775	7%
Police Cops Program	237,235	233,220	225,363	-5%	0	0	0	0	237,235	233,220	225,363	-5%
Fire	0	0	0		3,424,564	3,214,927	3,563,618	4%	3,424,564	3,214,927	3,563,618	4%
PW Streets	873,794	813,208	1,107,777	27%	1,612,418	1,486,471	1,010,652	-37%	2,486,212	2,299,678	2,118,429	-15%
Street Cleaning	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Comm Promotion	0	0	0		204,000	308,721	204,000	0%	204,000	308,721	204,000	0%
Nuisance Abatement	123,355	116,703	140,442	14%	194,946	168,864	193,385	-1%	318,302	285,568	333,827	5%
Planning	393,122	388,448	493,871	26%	54,822	167,861	62,400	14%	447,944	556,309	556,271	24%
Building	431,457	470,583	587,802	36%	273,820	273,257	279,767	2%	705,277	743,840	867,568	23%
Engineering	1,331,442	1,309,746	1,473,724	11%	108,936	105,936	129,582	19%	1,440,379	1,415,682	1,603,305	11%
Graffiti Abatement	186,973	147,643	212,576	14%	102,514	82,778	84,747	-17%	289,486	230,421	297,323	3%
Parks & Rec - LMD's	251,701	212,730	256,793	2%	0	0	0	0	251,701	212,730	256,793	2%
Parks & Rec	964,125	885,492	1,034,170	7%	609,476	586,863	584,560	-4%	1,573,601	1,472,355	1,618,730	3%
P&R Recreation	477,086	429,820	562,614	18%	97,442	90,342	93,287	-4%	574,528	520,162	655,901	14%
P&R Adm	438,532	482,622	498,383	14%	134,038	134,922	165,233	23%	572,569	617,544	663,615	16%
P&R Leisure Programs	92,742	92,742	125,442	35%	44,300	43,600	39,000	-12%	137,042	136,342	164,442	20%
P&R Sports Program	130,207	130,207	142,242	9%	21,150	19,950	23,100	9%	151,357	150,157	165,342	9%
P&R Swimming pool	99,782	99,782	108,109	8%	63,529	64,029	67,279	6%	163,311	163,811	175,388	7%
P&R Centers	81,655	89,512	94,077	15%	212,540	206,840	223,464	5%	294,195	296,352	317,541	8%
P&R Median Landscape	0	0	0		102,990	102,990	109,500	6%	102,990	109,500	109,500	6%
P&R Youth Center	68,457	67,804	79,518	16%	142,926	145,926	143,626	0%	211,382	213,730	223,144	6%
Grants	355,412	248,552	438,692	23%	17,794	17,794	19,468	9%	373,207	266,347	458,161	23%
TOTAL FUND 10200	18,842,484	18,872,551	20,239,268	10%	11,880,766	11,536,517	10,620,460	-11%	30,723,249	30,409,068	30,859,728	0%
FUND: 10221												
CDBG-Public Impr	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CDBG-Public Services	0	0	0		134,633	134,633	134,633	0%	134,633	134,633	134,633	0%
CDBG-Adm Costs	0	0	0		179,511	163,698	166,970	-7%	179,511	163,698	166,970	-7%
TOTAL FUND 10221	0	0	0		314,144	298,331	301,603	-4%	314,144	298,331	301,603	-4%
FUND: 10800												
Code Enforcement	1,063,399	963,114	1,006,045	-5%	370,349	191,558	290,819	-21%	1,433,748	1,154,672	1,296,864	-10%
LEA Tire Grant	11,363	11,363	11,799	4%	7,161	7,161	7,161	0%	18,524	18,524	18,960	2%
Tire Clean-up	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tire Amnesty Grant	9,470	9,470	9,834	4%	30,528	30,528	30,528	0%	39,998	39,998	40,362	1%
TOTAL FUND 10800	1,084,232	983,947	1,027,677	-5%	408,038	229,247	328,508	-19%	1,492,270	1,213,194	1,356,186	-9%
FUND: 10900												
Insurance Reserve	0	0	0		173,000	173,000	205,000	-52%	173,000	173,000	205,000	18%
TOTAL FUND 10900	0	0	0		173,000	173,000	205,000	18%	173,000	173,000	205,000	18%
TOTAL OTHER FUNDS	1,084,232	983,947	1,027,677	-5%	895,182	700,578	835,111	-7%	1,979,414	1,684,525	1,862,789	-6%
GRAND TOTAL	19,926,715	19,856,499	21,266,946	7%	12,775,948	12,237,095	11,455,571	-10%	32,702,663	32,093,593	32,722,517	0%

Exhibit A (continued)

Summary of General Fund Expenditures

Description	FY 2016 Budget Revenue	FY 2016 Estimate Revenue	FY 2017 Proposed Revenue	B to B Chng	Capital Outlay 15/16 Budget	Capital Outlay 15/16 Est.	Capital Outlay 16/17 Budget	Total 15/16 Budget	Total 15/16 Est.	Total 16/17 Budget	B to B Chng
FUND: 10200											
City Council					0	0	0	127,044	114,037	172,749	36%
City Adm					0	0	0	247,405	273,616	379,073	53%
City Clerk					0	0	0	250,271	301,467	406,279	62%
Finance					0	0	0	910,397	878,442	903,029	-1%
Attorney					0	0	0	379,720	437,236	467,749	23%
HR					0	0	0	456,475	506,365	537,085	18%
Central Adm					0	0	0	2,100,607	1,934,359	1,535,701	-27%
Finance UB					5,000	5,000	0	1,340,794	1,292,197	0	-100%
Purchasing					0	0	0	190,807	183,779	211,815	11%
Police Svs-AB109					0	0	0	186,557	88,516	90,312	-52%
Police Svs-CCP					0	0	0	126,385	127,572	121,346	-4%
School Policing					0	0	0	270,229	262,603	240,669	-11%
Police Svs-Cal Grip Grant					0	0	0	0	0	0	
Hsg Auth Policing					0	0	0	0	0	124,884	
Police Adm					0	0	0	10,338,833	10,572,993	11,090,775	7%
Police Cops Program					0	0	0	237,235	233,220	225,363	-5%
Fire					0	0	0	3,424,564	3,214,927	3,563,618	4%
PW Streets					0	0	0	2,486,212	2,299,678	2,118,429	-15%
Street Cleaning					0	0	0	0	0	0	
Comm Promotion					0	0	0	204,000	308,721	204,000	0%
Nuisance Abatement					0	0	0	318,302	285,568	333,827	5%
Planning					0	0	0	447,944	556,309	556,271	24%
Building					0	0	0	705,277	743,840	867,568	23%
Engineering					0	0	0	1,440,379	1,415,682	1,603,305	11%
Graffiti Abatement					0	0	0	289,486	230,421	297,323	3%
Parks & Rec - LMD's					0	0	0	264,071	212,730	256,793	-3%
Parks & Rec					18,000	18,000	0	1,591,601	1,490,355	1,618,730	2%
P&R Recreation					0	0	0	574,528	520,162	655,901	14%
P&R Adm					0	0	0	572,569	617,544	663,615	16%
P&R Leisure Programs					0	0	0	137,042	136,342	164,442	20%
P&R Sports Program					0	0	0	151,357	150,157	165,342	9%
P&R Swimming pool					0	0	0	163,311	163,811	175,388	7%
P&R Centers					30,000	30,000	0	324,195	326,352	317,541	-2%
P&R Median Landscape					0	0	0	102,990	102,990	109,500	6%
P&R Youth Center					50,900	50,900	0	262,282	264,630	223,144	-15%
Grants					0	0	0	373,207	266,347	458,161	23%
TOTAL FUND 10200	(29,745,927)	(30,980,079)	(29,562,442)	8%	103,900	103,900	0	30,996,076	30,512,968	30,859,728	0%
								(Surplus)/Deficit \$ 1,250,149		\$ (467,111) \$ 1,297,286	
											4%
FUND: 10221											
CDBG-Public Impr	(628,808)	(65,238)	(555,656)		1,396,058	700,000	555,656	1,396,058	700,000	555,656	-60%
CDBG-Public Services	(134,633)	(134,633)	(134,633)		0	0	0	134,633	134,633	134,633	0%
CDBG-Adm Costs	(179,511)	(163,698)	(166,970)		0	0	0	179,511	163,698	166,970	-7%
TOTAL FUND 10221	(942,952)	(363,569)	(857,259)	-7%	1,396,058	700,000	555,656	1,710,202	998,331	857,259	-50%
								(Surplus)/Deficit		634,762 0	
FUND: 10800											
Code Enforcement	(1,027,800)	(1,162,402)	(1,286,195)		7,730	7,730	0	1,441,478	1,162,402	1,296,864	-10%
LEA Tire Grant	(18,338)	(18,338)	(18,338)		0	0	0	18,524	18,524	18,960	2%
Tire Clean-up	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tire Amnesty Grant	(39,649)	(39,649)	(39,649)		0	0	0	39,998	39,998	40,362	1%
TOTAL FUND 10800	(1,085,787)	(1,220,389)	(1,344,182)	32%	7,730	7,730	0	1,500,000	1,220,924	1,356,186	-10%
								(Surplus)/Deficit		535 12,004	
FUND: 10900											
Insurance Reserve	(1,525,000)	(1,525,000)	(173,000)		0	0	0	173,000	173,000	205,000	18%
TOTAL FUND 10900	(1,525,000)	(1,525,000)	(173,000)	-37%	0	0	0	173,000	173,000	205,000	18%
								(Surplus)/Deficit		(1,352,000) 32,000	
TOTAL OTHER FUNDS	(3,553,739)	(3,108,958)	(2,374,441)	7%	1,403,788	707,730	555,656	3,383,202	2,392,255	2,418,445	-29%
								(Surplus)/Deficit		(716,703) 44,004	
GRAND TOTAL	(33,299,666)	(34,089,037)	(31,936,883)	7%	1,507,688	811,630	555,656	34,379,278	32,905,223	33,278,173	-3%
								(Surplus)/Deficit \$ 1,079,611		\$ (1,183,814) \$ 1,341,289	
											24%

Exhibit B

City of Madera - Statement of General Fund Revenues

Account	Description	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Actual	FY 2016 Budget	FY 2016 Estimate	FY 2017 Proposed
General Fund 10200 - General								
Taxes and General Revenue Sources								
1060-8000	CURRENT SECURED PROPERTY TAX	2,199,598	2,349,649	2,753,769	2,867,000	2,981,680	3,242,000	3,355,470
1060-8001	CURRENT SUPPLEMENTAL	17,524	118,250	18,344	20,000	20,000	30,000	20,000
1060-8002	CURRENT UNSECURED PROPERTY TAX	53,961	903	18,101	20,000	45,000	86,458	45,000
1060-8003	HOMEOWNER'S PROPERTY TAX RELIEF	5,923	47,191	45,870	47,000	47,000	47,000	47,000
1060-8005	PRIOR YEAR PROPERTY TAX	47,121	58,322	32,438	10,000	40,000	434,282	40,000
1060-8007	SECURED SUPPLEMENTAL - PRIOR	10,387	7,250	1,077	5,000	10,000	-	10,000
1060-8070	BUSINESS LICENSE TAX	363,181	401,462	392,946	420,000	420,000	425,000	420,000
1060-8071	CABLE UTILITY FRANCHISE TAX	293,710	309,805	302,727	302,000	302,000	360,000	350,000
1060-8072	ELECTRIC UTILITY FRANCHISE TAX	265,069	274,355	288,389	290,000	290,000	325,903	335,000
1060-8074	DOCUMENTARY STAMP TAX	61,918	60,671	65,668	65,000	65,000	70,000	65,000
1060-8077	SALES AND USE TAXES	4,169,470	4,505,707	5,539,848	5,819,000	6,555,021	6,705,021	7,750,000
1060-8078	TRANSIENT ROOM OCCUPANCY TAX	522,494	576,572	646,608	640,000	645,000	750,000	645,000
1060-8082	PROPERTY TAX IN-LIEU - SALES TAX	1,215,357	1,770,998	1,610,055	1,897,000	1,556,638	1,706,638	-
1060-8084	PROPERTY TAX IN-LIEU - VEH LIC FEES	4,344,809	4,350,543	4,438,671	4,440,000	4,450,000	5,000,000	5,100,000
1060-8090	PUBLIC SAFETY TAXES - PROP. 172	111,659	122,101	-	-	-	-	-
1060-8092	PROPERTY TAXES CFD 2013-1	-	-	-	10,247	10,247	10,247	10,247
1060-8163	INTEREST INCOME	792,585	853,382	602,840	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000
1060-8167	RENTS AND LEASES	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
1060-8204	BUSINESS LICENSE APPLICATION FEES	17,175	19,915	-	-	-	-	-
1060-8227	RENTAL BUSINESS LICENSE APP. FEES	4,250	1,500	-	-	-	-	-
1060-8345	INTERFUND CHARGES - RTE & ROLL-OFF FEES	604,804	604,804	75,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	105,000
1060-8367	TRANSFER IN FUND 42000 Local Trans	-	-	125,000	-	-	-	-
1060-8437	MANDATED COST REIMBURSEMENTS	2,265	-	11,649	22,711	11,000	43,387	11,000
1060-8438	MOTOR VEHICLE IN-LIEU TAXES	62,659	-	26,745	-	-	-	-
1060-8657	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE - UNALLOCATED	38,834	4,915	20,624	5,000	10,000	47,000	10,000
1060-8659	REFUNDS AND REIMBURSEMENTS	121	12,282	7,692	5,000	5,000	21,000	5,000
1060-8671	SALE OF REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY	-	14	87,633	12,000	5,000	8,900	5,000
1060-8751	REALIZED GAINS/LOSSES INVESTMENTS	(17,948)	21,398	109,654	-	5,000	(100,000)	5,000
1060-8752	UNREALIZED GAINS/LOSSES INVESTMENTS	(390,977)	268,153	(18,210)	-	-	-	-
1060-8355	TRANSFER IN FUND 48000 CFD 2005-1	83,110	83,110	-	-	500,000	100,000	800,000
1061-8163	INTEREST INCOME - PROPERTY TAX	4,584	477	(72)	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Taxes and General Revenue Sources		14,884,843	16,824,929	17,204,266	17,398,158	18,474,786	19,814,036	19,534,917

Exhibit B (continued)

City of Madera - Statement of General Fund Revenues

Account	Description	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Actual	FY 2016 Budget	FY 2016 Estimate	FY 2017 Proposed
City Council								
1570-8348	INTERFUND CHARGES - ADMIN. OVERHEAD	35,869	46,909	47,430	61,890	14,439	14,439	27,275
1570-8662	REIMBURSEMENT-RDA TO CITY	299	299	-	210	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 101: City Council		36,168	47,208	47,430	62,100	14,439	14,439	27,275
City Administrator's Office								
1585-8348	INTERFUND CHARGES - ADMIN. OVERHEAD	214,990	206,904	208,827	236,212	148,909	148,909	221,611
1585-8659	REFUNDS AND REIMBURSEMENTS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 102: City Administrator's Office		214,990	206,904	208,827	236,212	148,909	148,909	221,611
City Administrator - Purchasing								
1590-8348	INTERFUND CHARGES - ADMIN. OVERHEAD	72,380	75,090	75,665	118,599	116,103	116,103	132,426
TOTAL Dept 120: City Administrator - Purchasing		72,380	75,090	75,665	118,599	116,103	116,103	132,426
City Clerk's Office								
1600-8348	INTERFUND CHARGES - ADMIN. OVERHEAD	146,866	134,713	135,899	83,200	23,493	23,493	68,281
1600-8659	REFUNDS AND REIMBURSEMENTS	658	300	241	343	-	1,800	-
1600-8662	REIMBURSEMENT-RDA TO CITY	1,226	1,226	-	861	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 103: City Clerk's Office		148,750	136,239	136,140	84,404	23,493	25,293	68,281
Finance								
1060-8204	Business License Application Fees	17,175	19,915	21,301	21,191	21,000	19,886	21,000
1060-8205	State SB-1186 Fees -(Business License)	-	5	98	102	-	150	-
1060-8227	Rental Business License App. Fees	3,900	1,500	500	425	500	900	800
1060-8231	SALES OF MAPS/PUBLICATIONS	249	-	-	-	-	-	-
1615-8208	LATE PAYMENT-OTHER PENALTY	2,293	5,278	3,466	80	3,000	2,600	1,000
1615-8346	INTERFUND CHARGES - COST DISTRIBUTION	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
1615-8348	INTERFUND CHARGES - ADMIN. OVERHEAD	404,520	335,408	341,951	233,321	533,699	533,699	566,651
1615-8355	TRANSFER-IN	1,600	1,600	-	-	-	-	-
1615-8418	Transfer-In Fund 42000 Local Transportation	7,500	7,500	7,500	-	-	-	-
1615-8657	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	2,324	2,710	3,493	1,803	2,500	500	2,500
1615-8662	REIMBURSEMENT-RDA TO CITY	36,026	-	-	25,288	-	-	-
1615-8682	COLLECTION RECOVERY-BUSINESS LICENSE	3,736	7,707	7,627	12,596	7,000	3,000	7,000
TOTAL Dept 104: Finance		529,323	381,623	385,936	294,805	567,699	560,735	598,951
Finance Utility Billing								
1620-8371	TRANSFER-IN FUND 20300 WATER	546,974	598,081	466,023	594,385	670,397	670,397	-
1620-8376	TRANSFER-IN FUND 20400 SEWER	546,974	598,081	616,023	297,193	335,198	335,198	-
1620-8346	TRANSFER-IN FUND 47600 SOLID WASTE	-	-	150,000	297,193	335,198	335,198	-
1620-8682	COLLECTION RECOVERY	3,373	-	7,449	2,197	3,000	2,700	-
TOTAL Dept 114: Finance Utility Billing		1,097,321	1,196,162	1,239,495	1,190,969	1,343,794	1,343,494	-
City Attorney's Office								
1645-8343	INTERFUND CHARGES - LAD LEGAL FEES	-	-	23,157	3,378	3,378	3,378	3,378
1645-8348	INTERFUND CHARGES - ADMIN. OVERHEAD	121,230	96,259	97,140	59,533	22,323	22,323	27,375
1645-8659	REFUNDS AND REIMBURSEMENTS	23,092	5,000	3,614	3,110	1,000	3,950	1,000
1645-8661	REIMBURSEMENT - HOUSING AUTH TO CITY	-	-	-	-	5,000	5,000	5,000
1645-8662	REIMBURSEMENT-RDA TO CITY	136,217	84,456	-	95,165	-	-	-
TOTAL City Attorney's Office		280,539	185,715	123,911	161,186	31,701	34,651	36,753

Exhibit B (continued)

City of Madera - Statement of General Fund Revenues

Account	Description	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Actual	FY 2016 Budget	FY 2016 Estimate	FY 2017 Proposed
Human Resources / Risk Management								
1660-8348	INTERFUND CHARGES - ADMIN. OVERHEAD	232,611	240,583	242,668	276,656	297,435	297,435	355,359
1660-8410 T	Transfer-In Fund 10900 Insurance Reserve	183,682	118,228	1,834	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 107: Human Resources / Risk Management		416,293	358,811	244,502	276,656	297,435	297,435	355,359
Central Administration								
1675-8346	INTERFUND CHARGES - REIMBURSEMENT	-	-	-	64,083	64,083	64,083	-
1675-8348	INTERFUND CHARGES - ADMIN. OVERHEAD	425,143	237,738	239,985	303,034	338,203	338,203	320,759
1675-8662	REIMBURSEMENT-RDA TO CITY	12,993	12,993	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 108: Central Administration		438,136	250,731	239,985	367,117	402,286	402,286	320,759
Police Grants/Reimbursements								
1709-8434	AB109 GRANT	-	-	-	-	184,000	92,000	92,000
1710-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements - CCP	-	-	-	124,394	127,717	128,541	127,717
1720-8219	Madera Unified Police Contract Charges	112,551	89,765	150,243	249,332	257,647	270,229	252,564
1735-8492	COPS Federal CalGRIP Grant	273,556	-	123,723	88,352	-	-	-
1740-8661	Housing Authority Reimbursement	87,236	80,209	82,000	-	-	-	126,414
1751-8355	Transfers-In COPS Grant	23,408	-	-	-	-	-	-
1750-8434	JAG GRANT	8,997	31,000	10,054	18,866	11,000	11,000	11,000
1751-8456	COPS Hiring Program Grant	357,171	350,000	337,636	20,833	235,000	235,000	235,000
TOTAL Police Grants & Reimbursements		862,919	550,974	703,656	501,777	815,364	736,770	844,695
Police Services - Administration								
1750-8075	Public Safety Taxes - Prop. 172	111,660	-	150,639	130,607	130,000	120,000	120,000
1750-8203	POLICE BACKGROUND CHECK FEES	845	1,500	980	1,390	1,500	1,500	1,500
1750-8207	POLICE COST RECOVERY DUI	3,692	2,000	23,289	9,157	26,000	9,000	10,000
1750-8211	FALSE ALARM RESPONSE FEES	8,150	8,000	13,335	6,325	10,000	5,000	10,000
1750-8217	COUNTY JAIL BOOKING FEES	4,755	5,000	8,164	10,627	6,000	6,000	6,000
1750-8235	POLICE CITE SIGN OFF VEHICLE RELEASE	35,090	41,559	24,601	20,014	20,000	13,000	15,000
1750-8255	POLICE EMERGENCY RESPONSE FEES	671	1,238	250	-	500	500	500
1750-8263	ALARM PERMIT FEES	24,044	18,000	40,460	28,600	30,000	25,000	20,000
1750-8389	Transfer-In from Fund 45217	-	-	-	130,497	130,497	130,497	130,497
1750-8440	P.O.S.T. Training Reimbursement	22,486	14,359	19,208	11,420	10,000	3,000	5,000
1750-8550	COURT FINES / FORFEITURES	394,554	301,029	267,558	314,699	250,000	186,000	200,000
1750-8552	PARKING TICKET PENALTIES	47,409	50,000	77,162	64,196	47,000	35,000	35,000
1750-8553	VEHICLE CODE FINE REVENUE	30,835	9,414	3,665	-	5,000	5,000	5,000
1750-8657	AB109 Realignment Funds	-	-	153,298	33,080	45,000	26,000	26,000
1750-8658	Towing Fees	14,449	15,285	-	7,780	55,000	55,000	55,000
1750-8504	Police CCP Funding	-	180,000	113,462	124,393	-	-	-
1750-8659	REFUNDS AND REIMBURSEMENTS	92,383	35,000	140,141	25,734	50,000	95,000	95,000
TOTAL Dept 221: Police Services - Administration		791,023	682,384	1,036,212	918,519	816,497	715,497	734,497
Fire Services - Administration								
1795-8247	FIRE DEPARTMENT WEED ABATEMENT FEE	17,257	10,000	4,395	2,307	-	-	-
1795-8346	INTERFUND CHARGES - REIMBURSEMENTS	49,000	49,000	49,000	49,000	49,000	49,000	57,820
1795-8659	REFUNDS AND REIMBURSEMENTS	-	-	19,586	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 225: Fire Services - Administration		66,257	59,000	72,981	51,307	49,000	49,000	57,820

Exhibit B (continued)

City of Madera - Statement of General Fund Revenues

Account	Description	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Actual	FY 2016 Budget	FY 2016 Estimate	FY 2017 Proposed
Public Works - Streets								
1825-8346	INTERFUND CHARGES - REIMBURSEMENTS	174,000	170,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000
1825-8355	Transfer-In Fund 47600 Solid Waste	186,743	250,000	197,239	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000
1825-8360	Transfer-in Fund 41300 Gas Tax	1,354,525	1,180,003	730,736	895,000	1,322,000	1,322,000	961,368
1825-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	27,980	-	17,719	8,460	5,000	1,838	-
1825-8367	Transfer-In Fund 41500 Measure "T"	-	563,000	717,000	446,733	788,044	788,044	768,672
TOTAL Dept 328: Public Works - Streets		1,743,248	2,163,003	1,782,694	1,720,193	2,485,044	2,481,882	2,100,040
Dept 406: Police Services - Animal Control								
1960-8101	ANIMAL LICENSE REVENUE	20,872	15,000	17,515	7,742	20,000	10,000	15,000
1960-8551	FINES AND PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS	10,845	8,000	9,116	2,148	9,000	1,800	1,500
1960-8657	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	821	500	517	350	500	800	800
1960-8659	REFUNDS AND REIMBURSEMENTS	544	500	512	14	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 406: Police Services - Animal Control		33,082	24,000	27,660	10,254	29,500	12,600	17,300
Dept 410: Community Development - Planning								
1060-8231	Sale of Maps and Publications	-	-	1,189	460	1,000	500	500
1990-8249	ZONING / LAND USE / ANNEXATION FEES	99,562	80,000	117,415	163,442	156,000	150,000	162,500
1990-8344	INTERFUND CHARGES - PROJECT MGT.	16,757	15,000	3,899	2,649	10,000	4,000	19,500
1990-8346	INTERFUND CHARGES REIMBURSEMENTS	5,407	-	-	-	-	-	-
1990-8389	Transfer in from Fund 452	-	-	-	25,000	-	-	(15,000)
1990-8434	GRANT	200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
1990-8657	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 410: Community Development - Planning		321,726	95,000	122,503	191,551	167,000	154,500	167,500
Dept 411: Community Development - Bldg. Inspection								
2005-8103	ENERGY REGULATION FEES	12,875	10,000	17,425	15,325	13,500	13,500	13,600
	PERMITS - FIRE	-	-	-	18,860	14,000	33,000	35,000
2005-8105	PERMITS - BUILDING	232,900	160,000	299,488	397,920	412,500	380,000	430,000
2005-8106	PERMITS - ELECTRICAL	34,586	22,000	24,151	83,479	65,000	130,000	132,500
2005-8107	PERMITS - FIREWORKS STANDS	2,500	2,500	3,100	2,800	2,500	2,500	2,700
2005-8108	PERMITS - MECHANICAL	10,927	10,000	8,949	13,829	10,500	16,000	10,000
2005-8109	PERMITS - PLUMBING	21,570	16,000	15,330	9,474	16,000	6,000	6,250
2005-8119	S.M.I.P. - CITY SHARE	391	200	264	293	200	300	300
2005-8120	SB-1473- CITY SHARE	221	200	185	212	200	220	220
2005-8121	SB-1186 City Share - Bldg	-	-	1,609	-	-	-	-
2005-8208	LATE PAYMENT /OTHER PENALTY	1,884	1,000	6,141	1,672	1,600	2,000	2,000
2005-8222	OVERTIME FEES	43,571	8,000	83,179	67,961	20,000	33,100	25,000
2005-8225	BUILDING DEPT. PLAN ARCHIVAL FEES	10,779	5,000	19,652	120,728	110,000	100,000	95,000
2005-8226	PLAN CHECK FEES	79,882	50,000	85,394	6,000	7,500	6,000	5,450
2005-8257	BLDG. DIV. PERMIT PREP. FEE	49,321	35,000	62,275	74,043	56,000	150,000	110,000
2005-8335	Interfund Charge - 43600 NSP	-	-	-	-	5,000	5,000	1,000
2005-8355	Transfer-In Fund 43600 NSP3	-	37,500	15,000	53,660	57,000	50,000	55,000
2005-8657	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE - BUILDING	511	500	1,525	17,959	-	-	-
2005-8659	REFUND AND REIMBURSEMENTS	1,789	25,000	454	1,069	1,500	500	1,000
TOTAL Community Development - Bldg. Inspection		503,707	382,900	644,121	885,283	793,000	928,120	925,020

Exhibit B (continued)

City of Madera - Statement of General Fund Revenues

Account	Description	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Actual	FY 2016 Budget	FY 2016 Estimate	FY 2017 Proposed
Dept 412: Community Development - Engineering								
2020-8104	PERMITS - ENCROACHMENT	20,762	16,000	25,180	58,975	60,000	23,000	50,000
2020-8216	INSPECTION / PLAN CHECK FEES 0	48,658	9,000	2,084	19,945	23,000	23,000	30,000
2020-8257	ENGR. PERMIT PREPARATION FEE	8,810	6,000	13,780	17,544	14,000	18,500	20,000
2020-8344	INTERFUND CHARGES - PROJECT MGT.	871,458	693,244	618,237	721,285	702,000	770,000	762,000
2020-8355	Transfer-In Home Arbort Point Grant	-	23,578	-	-	-	-	-
2020-8360	Transfer-In Fund 41300 Gas Tax	-	-	38,000	39,140	41,000	41,000	41,000
2020-8367	Transfer-in Fund 42000 Local Transportation	400,000	400,000	400,000	412,000	412,000	412,000	465,000
2020-8389	Transfer in from Fund 45261	-	-	-	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
2020-8657	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE - ENGINEERING	14,203	13,000	7,085	34,263	35,000	11,000	12,000
2020-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	-	-	-	297	-	-	-
2021-8347	INTERFUND CHARGES - LA ZONE FEES	71,498	94,909	94,947	41,777	41,777	41,777	41,777
2021-8355	Transfer-In Fund 45000 Storm Drain Fees	40,687	40,687	13,436	45,000	45,000	45,000	45,000
TOTAL Dept 412: Community Development - Engineering		1,476,076	1,296,418	1,212,749	1,415,225	1,398,777	1,410,277	1,491,777
Dept 531: Public Works Graffiti Abatement								
1850-8334	Interfund Charges - CDBG	-	-	186,004	155,133	163,570	163,570	163,570
1850-8346	Interfund charges - Cost Distribution	-	-	-	20,000	50,000	50,000	50,000
1850-8363	Transfer In - CDBG	-	-	-	-	-	-	28,356
1850-8355	Transfer In - Solid Waste Dept 507	-	-	6,541	10,000	35,000	35,000	35,000
1850-8367	Transfer In - Fund 41300 Gas Tax	-	-	9,985	35,000	40,000	40,000	40,000
1850-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 531: Public Works Graffiti Abatement		-	-	202,530	220,133	288,570	288,570	316,926
Dept 661: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - LMD's								
2049-8301	Donations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2049-8347	Interfund Charges - LA Zone Fees	-	-	-	-	258,921	258,921	258,921
2049-8607	Assessments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 660: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - LMD's		-	-	-	-	258,921	258,921	258,921
Dept 661: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Parks								
2050-8170	RENTS AND LEASES - PRKS SPECIAL	900	500	1,078	623	600	300	500
2050-8171	RENTS AND LEASES - ATHLETIC FIELD	4,171	3,100	7,242	9,546	11,000	10,000	10,000
2050-8172	RENTS AND LEASES - ATH. FIELD UTILITIES	5,929	13,500	13,679	4,378	10,000	11,000	11,500
2050-8173	RENTS AND LEASES - LIONS PAVILION	7,849	9,200	6,622	7,596	9,000	7,500	8,000
2050-8174	RENTS AND LEASES - ROTARY PAVILION	12,983	14,000	12,081	8,523	12,000	11,000	12,000
2050-8180	RENTS AND LEASES - MILLVIEW PAVILION	3,102	3,500	2,835	3,388	3,500	3,000	3,500
2050-8216	INSPECTION / PLAN CHECK FEES	-	15,000	-	-	-	-	-
2050-8301	PROCESSING FEE	10,395	-	(12)	-	-	-	-
2050-8301	DONATIONS	1,444	11,341	345	-	100	100	100
2050-8344	INTERFUND CHARGES - PROJECT MGT.	88,991	14,000	-	-	20,000	20,000	20,000
2050-8346	INTERFUND CHARGES COST DISTRIBUTION	94,800	94,800	94,800	94,800	94,800	94,800	72,137
2050-8347	INTERFUND CHARGES LA ZONE FEES	43,052	110,113	110,346	50,090	50,089	50,089	50,089
2050-8355	Transfer-In from Solid Waste Fund 47600	-	-	120,000	120,000	120,000	120,000	180,000
2050-8385	Transfer in from Fund 45000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2050-8600	ASSESSMENTS - SUNSET PARK STRIP	1,321	1,379	1,479	1,633	1,379	1,500	1,500
2050-8657	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE - PARKS	7,195	62,133	5,187	9,991	12,000	200	5,000
2050-8659	REFUND AND REIMBURSEMENTS	1,228	1,000	38,082	3,187	2,000	2,000	1,000
TOTAL Dept 661: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Parks		283,360	353,566	413,764	313,755	346,468	331,489	375,326
Dept 662: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Recreation								
2065-8186	Leisure/Enrichment Fees	-	-	-	-	3,000	3,000	3,000
2065-8185	Kids Camp Program Fees	-	-	-	14,614	19,000	15,000	16,000
2065-8301	DONATIONS	30,923	30,000	44,662	17,328	-	-	-
2065-8434	GRANT	52,410	51,738	77,846	25,678	-	-	-
2065-8659	Refund and Reimbursements	-	-	150	-	-	-	-
2065-8683	After School Revenue	-	-	-	168,244	221,500	215,000	198,000
TOTAL Dept 662: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Recreation		83,333	81,738	122,658	225,864	243,500	233,000	217,000

Exhibit B (continued)

City of Madera - Statement of General Fund Revenues

Account	Description	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Actual	FY 2016 Budget	FY 2016 Estimate	FY 2017 Proposed
Dept 670: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Swimming Pool								
2070-8238	PUBLIC SWIM	10,681	13,000	11,408	12,000	12,000	11,500	11,500
2070-8258	POOL CONCESSION	4,356	6,000	8,186	8,000	8,000	8,500	8,000
2070-8259	SWIM LESSONS	14,887	9,500	16,127	13,000	13,000	16,000	15,000
2070-8260	POOL RENTALS	6,644	5,500	7,960	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
2070-8355	Transfer in CDBG Grant	-	3,100	3,100	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 670: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Swimming Pool		36,568	37,100	46,781	41,000	41,000	44,000	42,500
Dept 688: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - CDBG Program								
2075-8261	PROGRAMS FEES	18,896	21,000	19,620	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 688: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - CDBG Program		18,896	21,000	19,620	-	-	-	-
Dept 664: Parks & Comm Svcs. Admin								
2095-8344	INTERFUND CHARGES - PROJECT MGT.	-	10,000	-	-	1,500	1,500	1,500
2095-8649	ADMIN FEES	-	-	14,127	17,003	16,000	18,000	17,500
TOTAL Dept 664: Parks & Comm Svcs Admin		-	10,000	14,127	17,003	17,500	19,500	19,000
Dept 671: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Pan Am Center								
2126-8140	Skate Park Rentals	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2126-8154	Mex-Am Center Rents	1,600	1,200	-	1,920	1,920	1,920	1,920
2126-8155	Burgon Center Rents	13,427	12,500	-	6,680	6,500	8,275	7,500
2126-8166	Millview Community Centers Rents	31,693	23,000	-	18,441	28,000	28,000	28,000
2126-8168	PAN AM COMMUNITY CENTER RENTS	19,129	22,000	24,654	17,645	18,000	23,000	21,000
2126-8183	Youth Huts Rents	2,190	2,000	-	2,590	2,600	3,000	2,800
2126-8355	Transfer-In	-	-	17,647	-	-	-	-
2126-8434	GRANT	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
TOTAL Dept 671: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Pan Am Center		76,039	68,700	50,301	55,276	65,020	72,195	69,220
Dept 667: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Leisure Program								
2155-8218	LEISURE / ENRICHMENT FEES	2,341	2,170	6,549	275	-	-	-
2155-8301	DONATIONS	1,708	500	1,332	10,659	8,000	10,524	8,000
2155-8316	Sponsorship	-	-	-	10,000	20,000	5,000	15,000
2155-8318	Fundraising	-	-	-	11,348	12,000	5,000	8,000
TOTAL Dept 667: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Leisure Program		4,049	2,670	7,881	32,282	40,000	20,524	31,000
Dept 668: Parks & Comm. Svcs. -Skate Park								
2170-8258	SKATE CONCESSION	81	-	-	-	-	-	-
2170-8260	SKATE PARK RENTALS	-	2,500	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 668: Parks & Comm. Svcs. -Skate Park		81	2,500	-	-	-	-	-
Dept 669: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Sports Programs								
2192-8355	MEDIAN LANDSCAPING	-	-	-	-	-	-	109,500
TOTAL Dept 691: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Median Landscaping		-	-	-	-	-	-	109,500
Dept 691: Parks & Comm. Svcs. -Median Landscaping								
2185-8200	ADULT SPORT FEES	26,207	25,700	32,291	23,211	35,000	25,000	35,000
2185-8248	YOUTH SPORTS FEES	25,876	27,500	31,944	37,501	35,000	35,000	35,000
TOTAL Dept 669: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Sports Programs		52,083	53,200	64,235	60,712	70,000	60,000	70,000
Dept 692: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Youth Center								
2193-8175	COLOCATOR LEASE	8,196	7,000	12,364	13,188	11,100	11,500	8,800
2193-8181	FACILITY RENTALS	2,935	3,500	20	400	500	713	500
2193-8259	CONCESSIONS	8,403	9,000	6,087	4,245	5,000	7,000	6,000
2193-8261	PROGRAMS FEES	3,276	6,000	2,464	655	-	-	-
2193-8301	DONATIONS	100	-	300	338	500	3,004	500
2193-8315	FUND RAISING	258	2,000	210	647	500	1,115	500
2193-8659	REFUNDS AND REIMBURSEMENTS	-	-	5,842	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 692: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Youth Center		23,168	27,500	27,287	19,473	17,600	23,332	16,300

Exhibit B (continued)

City of Madera - Statement of General Fund Revenues

Account	Description	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Actual	FY 2016 Budget	FY 2016 Estimate	FY 2017 Proposed
Public Works - Street Cleaning								
8840-8355	Transfer-In Fund 47600 Solid Waste	213,486	236,188	380,680	-	-	-	-
8840-8360	Transfer In Fund 41300 Gas Tax	80,000	138,613	-	-	-	-	-
8840-8441	Reimbursement Street Sweeping Fees	38,182	41,518	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 338: Public Works - Street Cleaning		331,668	416,319	380,680	-	-	-	-
Dept 812: Grant/Entitlement Oversight								
8900-8334	Interfund Charges - CDBG	-	-	-	140,515	143,060	143,060	130,519
8900-8335	Interfund Charges - 43600 NSP	-	25,000	5,541	29,979	10,000	10,000	10,000
8900-8336	Interfund Charges - DAR	-	-	37,150	92,314	83,938	83,938	92,332
8900-8337	Interfund Charges - MAX	-	-	37,150	92,314	83,938	83,938	92,332
8900-8338	Interfund Charges - Cal Home	-	-	912	-	35,918	35,918	53,877
8900-8339	Interfund Charges - HOME	-	-	2,853	2,951	17,959	17,959	15,000
8900-8344	INTERFUND CHARGES - PROJECT MGT.	269,181	-	-	-	-	-	-
8900-8346	INTERFUND CHARGES - REIMBURSEMENTS	-	128,419	203,730	-	-	-	-
8900-8357	Transfer-In Fund 21228 Dial A Ride Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8900-8358	Transfer-In Fund 21229 Fixed Route Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8900-8363	Transfer-In Fund 10221 CDBG Grant	-	135,943	-	-	-	-	-
8900-8368	Trans in from Fund 41400 Pkg Dist	-	-	13,877	-	7,709	7,709	7,709
8900-8386	Transfer-In Fund 44000 CAL HOME Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8900-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue -	-	-	50,000	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 812: Grant/Entitlement Oversight		269,181	289,362	351,213	358,073	382,522	382,522	401,769
TOTAL REVENUE - FUND 10200		25,095,207	26,280,746	27,209,810	27,227,886	29,745,927	30,980,079	29,562,442

Exhibit B (continued)

City of Madera - Statement of General Fund Revenues

Account	Description	FY 2012 Actual	FY 2013 Actual	FY 2014 Actual	FY 2015 Actual	FY 2016 Budget	FY 2016 Estimate	FY 2017 Proposed
General Fund 10221 - CDBG								
Dept 443: CDBG - Public Improvements								
2217-8355	Transfer-In	-	-	700,000	-	-	-	-
2217-8454	C.D.B.G. Carryover Entitlement	-	-	632,977	514,287	-	-	-
2217-8455	C.D.B.G. Current Yr. Entitlement	808,964	632,977	162,439	557,303	628,808	65,238	555,656
TOTAL Dept 443: CDBG - Public Improvements		808,964	632,977	1,495,416	1,071,590	628,808	65,238	555,656
Dept 803: CDBG - Public Services								
2216-8454	C.D.B.G. Carryover Entitlement	-	-	128,870	-	-	-	-
2216-8455	C.D.B.G. Current Yr. Entitlement	151,513	128,871	123,882	120,142	134,633	134,633	134,633
TOTAL Dept 803: CDBG - Public Services		151,513	128,871	252,752	120,142	134,633	134,633	134,633
Dept 805: CDBG - Administrative Costs								
2365-8454	C.D.B.G. Carryover Entitlement	-	-	148,202	-	-	-	-
2365-8455	C.D.B.G. Current Yr. Entitlement	127,067	148,202	101,023	178,858	179,511	163,698	166,970
TOTAL Dept 805: CDBG - Administrative Costs		127,067	148,202	249,225	178,858	179,511	163,698	166,970
TOTAL REVENUE - FUND 10221		1,087,544	910,050	1,997,393	1,370,590	942,952	363,569	857,259
General Fund 10800 - Code Enforcement								
Dept 414: Code Enforcement								
2380-8076	Abandoned Property Registration fees	21,745	11,605	6,050	7,040	10,000	4,750	10,000
2380-8203	Background Check Service Fee	425	325	575	475	300	1,000	300
2380-8227	Vacant Building Ordinance	5,005	6,410	2,305	6,245	4,500	2,500	4,500
2380-8228	Graffiti Ordinance	1,061	415	1,179	964	-	511	-
2380-8355	Transfer-In	259,070	154,681	150,000	-	-	45,318	480,395
2380-8551	Fines and Penalties for Violations	918,878	618,755	478,932	511,630	425,000	600,000	680,000
2380-8554	Vehicle Abatement Fee	47,377	51,273	48,640	50,389	48,000	55,000	48,000
2380-8556	Foreclosure Revenues	45,649	43,144	45,892	60,481	45,000	46,000	45,000
2380-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue	-	2,781	-	-	-	672	-
2380-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	16,759	6,150	947	1,295	-	5,345	-
2380-8662	Reimbursement - RDA to City	259,070	-	-	-	-	-	-
2380-8682	Collection Recovery-Code Enf.	28,325	41,221	35,670	114,482	30,000	-	-
2380-8684	Cost Recovery for Weed Abatement	-	-	-	-	65,000	1,306	18,000
2381-8334	Interfund Charge - CDBG	-	-	-	-	400,000	400,000	-
2381-8355	Transfer-In	-	-	9,162	-	-	-	-
2381-8363	Transfer In from 10221	590,617	605,368	467,850	400,000	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 414: Code Enforcement		2,193,982	1,542,128	1,247,202	1,153,001	1,027,800	1,162,402	1,286,195
Dept 435: Tire Clean-Up								
2429-8455	Waste Tire Clean-up Grant	57,938	78,540	69,468	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 435: Tire Clean-Up		57,938	78,540	69,468	-	-	-	-
TOTAL REVENUE - FUND 10800		2,251,920	1,620,668	1,316,670	1,153,001	1,027,800	1,162,402	1,286,195
General Fund 10865 - Code Enf. Tire Grants								
Dept 432: LEA Tire Grant								
2427-8428	Current Year Allocation-LEA Grant	13,443	21,160	18,139	9,196	18,338	18,338	18,338
TOTAL Dept 432: LEA Tire Grant		13,443	21,160	18,139	9,196	18,338	18,338	18,338
Dept 436: Tire Amnesty Grant								
2428-8455	Tire Amnesty Grant	50,709	-	-	-	39,649	39,649	39,649
TOTAL Dept 436: Tire Amnesty Grant		50,709	-	-	-	39,649	39,649	39,649
TOTAL REVENUE - FUND 10865		64,152	21,160	18,139	9,196	57,987	57,987	57,987
General Fund 10900 - Insurance Reserve								
Dept 133: Insurance Reserve								
2440-8163	Interest Income	2,850	760	405	6,619	-	-	-
2440-8355	Transfers In	-	-	8,602	865,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	128,000
2440-8656	Liability Insurance Refunds	86,320	-	193,440	105,875	25,000	25,000	45,000
2440-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue	183,710	-	39,820	-	-	-	-
2440-8675	Worker's Compensation Premium Refunds	8,543	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL Dept 133: Insurance Reserve		281,422	760	242,267	977,494	1,525,000	1,525,000	173,000
TOTAL REVENUE - FUND 10900		281,422	760	242,267	977,494	1,525,000	1,525,000	173,000
GRAND TOTAL - GENERAL FUND REVENUE		28,780,244	28,833,384	30,784,279	30,738,168	33,299,666	34,089,037	31,936,883

Exhibit C

CITY ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE
10200.102

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1585-8348	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	(208,827)	(236,212)	(148,909)	(148,909)	(221,611)
	TOTAL REVENUE	(208,827)	(236,212)	(148,909)	(148,909)	(221,611)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1585-1010	Salaries / Full-time	138,597	142,303	143,183	172,687	225,919
1585-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	12,270	12,638	12,541	12,541	15,523
1585-1060	Salaries - Auto & Expense Allowance	5,040	5,220	5,040	5,040	0
1585-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	22,618	23,001	28,594	30,555	48,807
1585-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	272	247	272	272	452
1585-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	117	76	84	84	135
1585-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	9,795	10,114	12,509	13,162	18,952
1585-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	2,368	2,035	2,331	2,759	3,531
1585-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	0	0	0	0	2,102
1585-2009	Unemployment Insurance	225	157	191	191	405
1585-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	14,553	15,420	13,817	12,019	36,161
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	205,855	211,212	218,562	249,311	351,987
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1585-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	1,115	1,301	2,150	1,200	1,200
1585-3011	Advertising, Bids and Legal Notices	0	0	2,500	1,000	1,000
1585-3014	Professional Dues	1,400	1,600	1,000	1,400	1,400
1585-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	1,273	213	1,500	10	100
1585-3040	Contracted Services	352	417	500	503	503
1585-3264	Fidelity Bond Premium	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500
1585-3300	Conference, Training, Education	3,590	1,666	4,500	3,500	3,500
1585-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	0	6,338	2,924	2,924	2,924
1585-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	76	0	250	250	250
1585-4018	Interfund Charges - Computer Maint.	3,585	7,614	8,913	8,913	11,603
1585-4020	Interfund Charges-Computer Replacement	0	0	1,106	1,106	1,106
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	14,891	22,648	28,843	24,306	27,086
CAPITAL OUTLAY						
1585-5000	Office Furnitures	0	0	5,000	0	2,500
1585-5000	Computer Equipment and Peripheral	0	0	18,500	0	9,000
	TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY	0	0	23,500	0	11,500
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	220,746	233,860	270,905	273,616	390,573

CENTRAL ADMINISTRATION

10200.108

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1675-8346	Interfund Charges - Reimbursement	0	(64,083)	(64,083)	(64,083)	0
1675-8348	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	(239,985)	(303,034)	(338,203)	(338,203)	(320,759)
1675-8662	Reimbursement-RDA to City	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(239,985)	(367,117)	(402,286)	(402,286)	(320,759)
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1675-3001	Gas and Electric Utilities	56,783	55,392	60,000	60,000	60,000
1675-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	183	205	250	250	250
1675-3014	Professional Dues	16,447	17,711	18,000	18,000	18,000
1675-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	1,240	1,843	2,000	2,000	2,000
1675-3040	Contracted Services	16,163	21,228	80,000	80,000	80,000
1675-3042	Audit Fees	0	43,072	43,400	48,055	43,400
1675-3130	Building Supplies, Keys, Repairs	1,336	1,791	2,000	2,000	2,000
1675-3260	Liability / Property Insurance	152,173	166,570	211,668	211,668	254,952
1675-3264	Fidelity Bond Premium	0	0	5,000	5,000	5,000
1675-3280	OPEB Obligation Expense	0	0	94,040	0	0
1675-3300	Conference, Training, Education	2,211	1,582	2,000	2,000	2,000
1675-3702	Intergovernmental Charge/Prop. Tax Admin	6,802	126,961	79,845	71,416	79,845
1675-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	193,000	0	0	0	0
1675-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	298	127	250	250	250
1675-7000	Operating Transfer to Other Funds	610,788	369,003	330,883	262,449	888,055
1675-7020	Transfers Out - Insurance Reserve	0	675,433	1,171,271	1,171,271	99,948
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		1,057,424	1,480,918	2,100,607	1,934,359	1,535,701
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,057,424	1,480,918	2,100,607	1,934,359	1,535,701

COMMUNITY PROMOTION
10200.405

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1885-3145	Community / Employee Recognition Items	10,469	9,337	8,500	9,101	8,500
1885-3400	Miscellaneous	0	0	7,500	7,500	7,500
1900-3070	Funding to Outside Agencies - E.D.C.	153,433	153,210	155,000	159,120	155,000
1901-3070	Funding to Outside Agencies - LAFCO	37,419	28,989	0	0	0
1902-3070	Funding to Outside Agencies - Other	7,414	7,893	28,000	28,000	28,000
1915-3070	Funding to Outside Agencies - Art Council	5,000	5,000	5,000	105,000	5,000
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		213,735	204,429	204,000	308,721	204,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		213,735	204,429	204,000	308,721	204,000

**CITY COUNCIL
10200.101**

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1570-8348	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	(47,430)	(61,890)	(14,439)	(14,439)	(27,275)
1570-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	0	0	0	0	0
1570-8662	Reimbursement-RDA to City	0	(210)	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(47,430)	(62,100)	(14,439)	(14,439)	(27,275)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1570-1010	Salaries / Full-time	0	0	0	0	0
1570-1020	Salaries / Part-time	27,548	37,143	42,000	42,000	42,000
1570-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	0	0	0	0	0
1570-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	337	300	355	355	355
1570-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	2,112	2,946	3,669	3,669	3,523
1570-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	600	776	945	945	815
1570-2007	Deferred Compensation /Part-time	1,026	1,393	1,575	1,575	1,575
1570-2009	Unemployment Insurance	698	1,077	1,638	1,638	1,428
1570-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	49,820	57,320	51,607	45,870	96,498
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		82,141	100,956	101,790	96,052	146,194
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1570-3002	Telephone & Fax Charges	3,290	3,346	3,840	3,650	3,840
1570-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	375	1,400	1,200	700	2,500
1570-3018	Postage - Other Mailing Costs	0	0	100	0	100
1570-3145	Community/Emp Recognition Items	502	1,227	2,700	1,500	2,700
1570-3300	Conference Costs - Medellin	0	0	0	0	0
1570-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	0	7,108	3,279	3,279	3,279
1570-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	227	227	535	535	535
1570-4018	Interfund Charges-Computer Maint.	4,481	7,110	0	0	0
1571-3300	Conference Costs - Medellin	75	110	1,700	800	1,700
1573-3300	Conference Costs - Poythress	1,134	1,551	1,700	1,500	1,700
1575-3300	Conference Costs - Bomprezzi	51	71	1,700	0	1,700
1576-3300	Conference Costs - Svanda	81	5	0	0	0
1577-3300	Conference Costs Frazier	0	0	0	0	0
1578-3300	Conference Costs - Holley	172	1,093	1,700	200	1,700
1579-3300	Conference Costs - Robinson	1,751	2,866	3,400	3,600	3,400
1580-3300	Conference Costs - Rigby	0	75	1,700	220	1,700
1581-3300	Conference Costs - Oliver	0	1,466	1,700	2,000	1,700
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		12,139	27,655	25,254	17,984	26,554
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		94,280	128,611	127,044	114,037	172,749

**CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
10200.103**

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
FUND: 10200						
Dept 103: City Clerk's Office						
REVENUE						
1600-8348	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	(135,899)	(83,200)	(23,493)	(23,493)	(68,281)
1600-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	(241)	(343)	0	(1,800)	0
1600-8662	Reimbursement-RDA to City	0	(861)	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(136,140)	(84,404)	(23,493)	(25,293)	(68,281)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1600-1010	Salaries / Full-time	125,447	128,581	128,780	159,474	174,759
1600-1030	Salaries/Overtime	13	14	500	500	500
1600-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	9,195	9,454	9,404	9,404	13,069
1600-1060	Salaries - Auto & Expense Allowance	6,450	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900
1600-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	22,589	25,605	27,929	34,148	40,675
1600-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	407	432	464	464	576
1600-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	172	171	171	171	171
1600-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	9,072	10,199	11,294	14,028	14,702
1600-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	2,211	2,159	2,135	2,556	2,862
1600-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	1,540	1,593	1,651	1,653	2,180
1600-2009	Unemployment Insurance	644	546	546	546	476
1600-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	11,748	17,614	28,284	25,935	26,330
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		189,488	203,269	218,057	255,778	283,200
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1600-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	635	641	735	625	735
1600-3011	Advertising - Bids and Legal Notices	707	2,975	4,500	3,500	4,500
1600-3014	Professional Dues	532	569	590	590	590
1600-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	1,794	1,866	1,800	1,800	1,800
1600-3018	Postage - Other Mailing Costs	153	202	310	450	450
1600-3040	Contracted Services	2,407	820	5,200	5,200	6,500
1600-3262	Retiree Insurance Premiums	5,721	4,401	5,580	5,580	5,580
1600-3263	Public Employee Bonding Premium	270	255	270	100	370
1600-3300	Conference, Training, Education	235	1,409	1,735	150	3,300
1600-3703	Intergovernmental Charge - Election Cost	0	893	0	16,200	85,000
1600-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	0	2,873	1,325	1,325	1,325
1600-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	78	49	150	150	220
1600-4018	Interfund Charges-Computer Maint.	3,585	8,047	8,913	8,913	11,603
1600-4020	Interfund Charges - Computer Replacement	0	0	1,106	1,106	1,106
1600-5001	Computer Equipment and Peripherals	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		16,117	25,000	32,214	45,689	123,079
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		205,605	228,269	250,271	301,467	406,279

CITY ATTORNEY
10200.106

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
FUND: 10200						
Dept 106: City Attorney's Office						
REVENUE						
1645-8343	Interfund Charges - Legal fees	(23,157)	(3,378)	(3,378)	(3,378)	(3,378)
1645-8348	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	(97,140)	(59,533)	(22,323)	(22,323)	(27,375)
1645-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	(3,614)	(3,110)	(1,000)	(3,950)	(1,000)
1645-8661	Reimbursement-Housing Auth to City	0	0	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)
1645-8662	Reimbursement-RDA to City	0	(95,165)	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(123,911)	(161,186)	(31,701)	(34,651)	(36,753)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1645-1010	Salaries / Full-time	208,947	222,567	226,611	275,112	285,379
1645-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	5,834	4,907	9,203	9,203	20,460
1645-1060	Salaries - Auto & Expense Allowance	450	900	900	900	900
1645-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	37,439	44,032	48,890	58,766	65,569
1645-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	569	614	621	621	639
1645-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	172	171	171	171	171
1645-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	15,432	17,650	19,798	23,102	23,940
1645-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	3,240	3,298	3,524	4,136	4,542
1645-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	2,503	2,683	2,714	2,714	2,924
1645-2009	Unemployment Insurance	644	546	546	546	476
1645-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	24,390	33,651	33,607	28,830	27,819
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		299,620	331,020	346,585	404,101	432,821
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1645-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	849	879	1,100	1,100	1,100
1645-3014	Professional Dues	495	380	500	500	500
1645-3015	Publications and Subscriptions	4,309	5,464	5,200	5,200	5,200
1645-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	684	834	1,000	1,000	1,000
1645-3017	Software Costs	0	0	0	0	0
1645-3040	Contracted Services	974	1,387	1,400	1,400	1,400
1645-3044	Contract Legal Services	286	152	3,400	3,400	3,400
1645-3262	Retiree Insurance Premiums	10,409	8,080	8,952	8,952	8,952
1645-3300	Conference, Training, Education	1,619	1,334	3,000	3,000	3,000
1645-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	0	3,910	1,804	1,804	1,804
1645-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	75	0	100	100	100
1645-4018	Interfund Charges - Computer Maint.	5,377	15,157	5,942	5,942	7,735
1645-4020	Interfund Charges-Computer Replacement	0	0	737	737	737
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		25,077	37,577	33,135	33,135	34,928
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		324,697	368,597	379,720	437,236	467,749

FINANCE
10200.104

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1060-8204	Business License Application Fees	(21,301)	(21,191)	(21,000)	(19,886)	(21,000)
1060-8205	State SB-1186 Fees -(Business License)	(98)	(102)	0	(150)	0
1060-8227	Rental Business License App. Fees	(500)	(425)	(500)	(900)	(800)
1615-8208	Late Payment-Other Penalty	(3,466)	(80)	(3,000)	(2,600)	(1,000)
1615-8348	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	(341,951)	(233,321)	(533,699)	(533,699)	(566,651)
1615-8418	Transfer-In From Fund 42000 LTF	(7,500)	0	0	0	0
1615-8551	Fines & Violations	0	(75)	0	(1,995)	(2,000)
1615-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue	(2,830)	(1,803)	(2,500)	(500)	(2,500)
1615-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	(663)	83	0	0	0
1615-8662	Reimbursement-RDA to City	0	(25,288)	0	0	0
1615-8682	Collection Recovery-Business License	(7,627)	(12,596)	(7,000)	(3,000)	(7,000)
TOTAL REVENUE		(385,936)	(294,797)	(567,699)	(562,730)	(600,951)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1615-1010	Salaries / Full-time	264,531	285,397	288,296	301,316	327,892
1615-1020	Salaries / Part-time	0	587	0	0	0
1615-1030	Salaries / Overtime	98	103	0	300	0
1615-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	3,794	6,562	6,730	8,500	7,966
1615-1060	Salaries - Auto and Expense Allowance	700	1,087	1,050	1,050	1,050
1615-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	42,588	39,789	62,501	40,584	76,892
1615-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	947	995	993	993	1,076
1615-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	287	285	287	287	287
1615-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	20,057	22,762	25,187	25,169	27,507
1615-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	4,181	4,378	4,467	4,502	5,073
1615-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	0	0	0	0	0
1615-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	9,180	8,541	8,412	8,412	9,373
1615-2009	Unemployment Insurance	1,652	1,524	1,283	1,283	1,119
1615-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	77,992	94,686	94,591	76,581	84,620
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		426,007	466,698	493,797	468,976	542,853
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1615-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	3,623	3,695	3,900	3,900	3,900
1615-3010	Recuriting Expenses	1,253	0	1,500	500	1,500
1615-3014	Professional Dues	980	970	1,500	977	1,500
1615-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	8,543	6,724	8,500	7,000	7,500
1615-3017	Software Costs	0	0	198,207	198,207	130,817
1615-3018	Postage - Other Mailing Costs	6,042	3,913	8,000	10,500	11,000
1615-3040	Contracted Services	260,615	164,244	132,500	132,000	132,500
1615-3041	Contracted Services - Parking Citations	2,615	2,103	3,400	2,400	3,400
1615-3050	Bad Debt Expense	0	0	1,000	0	1,000
1615-3263	Public Employee Bonding Premium	4,477	4,477	4,500	4,477	4,500
1615-3264	Fidelity Bond Premium	0	0	5,000	5,000	5,000
1615-3300	Conference, Training, Education	8,010	6,948	8,500	4,000	8,500
1615-3401	Other Expenses	4,114	0	0	412	0
1615-4000	Interfund Charge Fac. Maint.	0	14,519	6,699	6,699	6,699
1615-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	227	3	0	0	0
1615-4018	Interfund Charges-Computer Maint.	12,905	35,000	29,709	29,709	38,676
1615-4020	Interfund Charges- Computer Rplcmt	56,689	0	3,685	3,685	3,685
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		370,093	242,597	416,600	409,466	360,177
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY						
1615-5000	Office Furniture	0	512	0	0	0
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY		0	512	0	0	0
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		796,100	709,807	910,397	878,442	903,029

PURCHASING
10200.120

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1590-8348	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	(75,665)	(118,599)	(116,103)	(116,103)	(132,426)
	TOTAL REVENUE	(75,665)	(118,599)	(116,103)	(116,103)	(132,426)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1590-1010	Salaries / Full-Time	88,621	91,622	91,571	85,497	107,854
1590-1030	Salaries / Overtime	0	0	0	60	0
1590-1040	Salaries/Leave Payout	0	0	1,020	1,020	1,244
1590-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	19,447	21,855	23,438	26,405	29,368
1590-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	301	322	330	330	388
1590-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	103	102	102	102	102
1590-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	6,794	7,265	8,000	8,679	9,048
1590-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	1,296	1,288	1,398	1,398	1,648
1590-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-Time	3,709	3,853	3,846	4,319	4,530
1590-2009	Unemployment Insurance	644	546	546	546	476
1590-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allowance	27,871	39,564	39,476	34,342	34,120
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	148,786	166,417	169,726	162,698	188,777
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1590-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	1,186	1,220	1,300	1,300	1,300
1590-3011	Advertising - Bids and Legal Notices	453	323	500	500	500
1590-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	994	847	900	900	900
1590-3018	Postage - Other Mailing Costs	36	57	100	100	100
1590-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	159	45	250	250	250
1590-3040	Contracted Services	2,767	2,588	3,680	3,680	3,680
1590-3120	Other Supplies	91	41	100	100	100
1590-3130	Building Supplies, Keys, Repairs	67	240	300	300	300
1590-3300	Conference, Training, Education	557	566	500	500	500
1590-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	0	9,424	3,819	3,819	3,819
1590-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	391	370	850	850	850
1590-4005	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	1,981	1,952	1,971	1,971	2,268
1590-4007	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Replacement	0	133	133	133	0
1590-4018	Interfund Charges - Computer Maint.	3,585	5,385	5,941	5,941	7,735
1590-4020	Interfund Charges-Computer Replacement	0	0	737	737	737
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	12,267	23,191	21,081	21,081	23,039
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	161,053	189,608	190,807	183,779	211,815

HUMAN RESOURCES/RISK MANAGEMENT
10200.107

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1660-8348	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	(242,669)	(276,656)	(297,435)	(297,435)	(355,359)
1660-8410	Transfer-in From Fund 10900/Risk Mgmt	0	0	0	0	0
1660-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	(1,834)	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(244,503)	(276,656)	(297,435)	(297,435)	(355,359)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1660-1010	Salaries / Full-time	236,430	220,102	241,507	284,033	297,537
1660-1020	Salaries - Part-time	0	0	0	0	0
1660-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	8,377	6,037	8,265	8,265	16,046
1660-1060	Salaries - Auto and Expense Allowance	0	0	0	0	900
1660-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	43,461	46,907	51,011	62,737	67,352
1660-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	749	779	865	865	954
1660-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	253	226	272	272	272
1660-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	17,756	17,676	21,099	23,870	24,960
1660-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	3,810	3,411	3,755	4,238	4,708
1660-2007	Deferred Compensation - Part-time	0	0	0	0	0
1660-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	5,433	4,718	5,561	5,985	6,589
1660-2009	Unemployment Insurance	1,288	859	1,092	1,092	952
1660-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	41,110	46,515	72,160	61,498	61,308
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		358,667	347,227	405,588	452,857	481,577
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1660-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	1,257	1,382	1,500	1,500	1,600
1660-3010	Advertising - Job Announcements	1,211	2,425	1,500	1,500	4,000
1660-3014	Professional Dues	50	475	475	475	1,500
1660-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	2,876	2,816	3,000	3,000	5,000
1660-3017	Software Costs	440	1,030	440	440	0
1660-3040	Contracted Services	2,764	42,077	17,500	20,121	11,000
1660-3060	Pre-Employment Health Screening	3,707	3,973	4,500	4,500	4,500
1660-3061	Pre-employment Background Checks	832	847	900	900	900
1660-3062	Employment Recruitment Costs	250	147	250	250	600
1660-3300	Conference, Training, Education	1,810	5,880	6,000	6,000	8,000
1660-4000	Interfund Charge Fac. Maint.	0	2,632	1,214	1,214	1,214
1660-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	90	166	250	250	250
1660-4018	Interfund Charges - Computer Maint.	7,169	11,389	11,884	11,884	15,470
1660-4020	Interfund Charges-Computer Replacement	0	0	1,474	1,474	1,474
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		22,456	75,237	50,887	53,508	55,508
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		381,123	422,465	456,475	506,365	537,085

INSURANCE/RISK MANAGEMENT
10900.133

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2440-8163	Interest Income	(405)	(6,619)	0	0	0
2440-8355	Transfers In	(8,602)	(865,000)	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)	(128,000)
2440-8656	Liability Insurance Refunds	(193,440)	(105,875)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(45,000)
2440-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue	(39,820)	0	0	0	0
2440-8675	Worker's Compensation Premium Refunds	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(242,267)	(977,494)	(1,525,000)	(1,525,000)	(173,000)
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2440-2001	Health Insurance Benefits	12,424	(22,488)	0	0	0
2530-3044	Contract Legal Services	32,567	17,088	100,000	100,000	100,000
2530-3267	Retrospective Adjustment	322,824	899,520	73,000	73,000	100,000
2530-3300	Conference, Training, Education	0	0	0	0	5,000
2530-7000	Transfers Out - Other	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		367,815	894,121	173,000	173,000	205,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		367,815	894,121	173,000	173,000	205,000

POLICE SERVICES - AB109
10200.216 *

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1709-8434	AB109 Grant	0	0	(184,000)	(92,000)	(92,000)
	TOTAL REVENUE	0	0	(184,000)	(92,000)	(92,000)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1709-1010	Salaries / Full-time	0	0	65,060	32,545	35,113
1709-1030	Salaries / Overtime	0	0	0	300	0
1709-1040	Salaries / Leave Payout	0	0	0	2,000	0
1709-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	0	0	1,017	479	498
1709-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	0	0	31,282	8,400	9,094
1709-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	0	0	234	113	126
1709-2003	Life Insurance Benefits	0	0	71	34	35
1709-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	0	0	5,684	2,938	2,946
1709-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	0	0	958	512	516
1709-2009	Unemployment Insurance	0	0	273	92	117
1709-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	0	0	21,978	11,103	11,867
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	0	0	126,557	58,516	60,312
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1709-3072	Chowchilla P.D. share of A.B.109 funds			60,000	30,000	30,000
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	0	0	60,000	30,000	30,000
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0	0	186,557	88,516	90,312

* In previous fiscal years AB109 revenues and expenses were included in the budget for department 221. This department was created the last two fiscal years to facilitate tracking of grant funding.

POLICE SERVICES - COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP
10200.217

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1710-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	0	(124,394)	(127,717)	(128,541)	(127,717)
	TOTAL REVENUE	0	(124,394)	(127,717)	(128,541)	(127,717)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1710-1010	Salaries / Full-time	0	63,977	64,952	68,540	69,973
1710-1030	Salaries / Overtime	0	4,287	0	3,400	0
1710-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	0	3,033	0	3,100	0
1710-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	0	1,025	1,017	2,028	1,517
1710-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	0	28,777	31,230	17,530	18,128
1710-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	0	213	234	234	252
1710-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	0	68	71	71	71
1710-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	0	5,594	5,674	6,295	5,912
1710-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	0	1,056	957	1,117	1,037
1710-2009	Unemployment Insurance	0	273	273	238	238
1710-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	0	21,106	21,978	25,020	24,219
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	0	129,409	126,385	127,572	121,346
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1710-3016	Office Supplies	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0	129,409	126,385	127,572	121,346

**POLICE SERVICES - SCHOOLS POLICING
10200.218**

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1720-8219	M.U.S.D. Police Contract Charges	(150,243)	(249,332)	(257,647)	(270,229)	(252,564)
	TOTAL REVENUE	(150,243)	(249,332)	(257,647)	(270,229)	(252,564)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1720-1010	Salaries / Full-time	64,271	124,525	141,293	148,491	145,238
1720-1030	Salaries / Overtime	17,295	12,208	10,000	13,000	10,000
1720-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	1,573	4,655	0	9,238	0
1720-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	1,016	1,811	2,034	2,048	2,034
1720-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	27,287	55,722	67,893	37,800	37,607
1720-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	220	377	509	509	523
1720-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	71	107	141	141	141
1720-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	6,134	10,924	12,344	13,870	14,281
1720-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	1,232	2,043	2,078	2,505	2,550
1720-2009	Unemployment Insurance	309	546	546	546	476
1720-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	21,116	27,915	33,392	34,455	27,819
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	140,524	240,834	270,229	262,603	240,669
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	140,524	240,834	270,229	262,603	240,669

POLICE SERVICES - HOUSING AUTHORITY
10200.220

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1740-8661	Reimbursement - Housing Auth. to City	(82,000)	0	0	0	(126,414)
	TOTAL REVENUE	(82,000)	0	0	0	(126,414)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1740-1010	Salaries / Full-time	42,014	0	0	0	72,984
1740-1030	Salaries / Overtime	5,460	0	0	0	0
1740-1040	Salaries / Leave Payout	2,141	0	0	0	0
1740-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	682	0	0	0	1,017
1740-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	18,137	0	0	0	18,897
1740-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	138	0	0	0	263
1740-2003	Life Insurance Benefits	45	0	0	0	71
1740-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	3,860	0	0	0	6,123
1740-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	774	0	0	0	1,073
1740-2009	Unemployment Insurance	306	0	0	0	238
1740-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	8,442	0	0	0	24,219
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	81,999	0	0	0	124,884
1740-3120	Other Supplies			0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	81,999	0	0	0	124,884

POLICE SERVICES - ADMINISTRATION
10200.221

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1750-8075	Public Safety Taxes - Prop. 172	(150,639)	(130,607)	(130,000)	(120,000)	(120,000)
1750-8203	Police Background /Report request	(980)	(1,390)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)
1750-8207	Police Cost Recov. Fees - DUI Cases	(23,289)	(9,157)	(26,000)	(9,000)	(10,000)
1750-8211	False Alarm Response Fees	(13,335)	(6,325)	(10,000)	(5,000)	(10,000)
1750-8217	County Jail Booking Fees	(8,164)	(10,627)	(6,000)	(6,000)	(6,000)
1750-8235	Police Cite sign off/ Vehicle release	(24,601)	(20,014)	(20,000)	(13,000)	(15,000)
1750-8255	Police Emergency Response Fees	(250)	0	(500)	(500)	(500)
1750-8263	Alarm Permit Fees	(40,460)	(28,600)	(30,000)	(25,000)	(20,000)
1750-8355	Transfer-In	(100,000)	0	0	0	0
1750-8389	Transfer-In from Fund 45217 - DIF	0	(130,497)	(130,497)	(130,497)	(130,497)
1750-8434	Grant - Cal Grip	(10,054)	(18,866)	(11,000)	(11,000)	(11,000)
1750-8440	P.O.S.T. Reimbursement	(19,208)	(11,420)	(10,000)	(3,000)	(5,000)
1750-8504	Police CCP Funding	(113,462)	(124,393)	0	0	0
1750-8550	Court Fines / Forfeitures	(256,558)	(314,699)	(250,000)	(186,000)	(200,000)
1750-8552	Parking Ticket Penalties	(77,162)	(64,196)	(47,000)	(35,000)	(35,000)
1750-8553	Vehicle Code Fine Revenue	(3,665)	0	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)
1750-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue	(153,298)	(33,080)	(45,000)	(26,000)	(26,000)
1750-8658	Towing Fees	0	(7,780)	(55,000)	(55,000)	(55,000)
1750-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	(140,141)	(25,734)	(50,000)	(95,000)	(95,000)
1751-8355	Transfer-In	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(1,135,266)	(937,385)	(827,497)	(726,497)	(745,497)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1750-1010	Salaries / Full-time	4,419,700	4,290,846	4,436,657	4,664,353	4,934,525
1750-1020	Salaries / Part-time	0	0	0	0	0
1750-1030	Salaries / Overtime	225,919	278,362	263,000	313,000	270,000
1750-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	329,638	345,708	334,972	334,972	374,379
1750-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	72,144	62,727	65,447	67,113	67,741
1750-1060	Salaries - Auto and Expense Allowance	600	900	900	900	900
1750-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	1,679,138	1,680,945	1,877,074	1,938,174	2,153,149
1750-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	13,822	10,754	15,778	15,778	17,442
1750-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	4,577	5,686	4,927	4,927	5,086
1750-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	377,473	390,759	410,580	450,318	467,080
1750-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	78,253	72,444	74,977	78,015	82,982
1750-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	0	0	0	0	0
1750-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	31,534	32,875	33,861	40,186	35,766
1750-2009	Unemployment Insurance	22,931	19,648	19,152	19,152	17,055
1750-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	1,045,332	1,305,401	1,402,604	1,226,957	1,256,950
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		8,301,061	8,497,056	8,939,928	9,153,845	9,683,054

POLICE SERVICES - ADMINISTRATION

10200.221

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1750-3001	Gas and Electric Utilities	45,300	76,719	51,325	56,000	56,000
1750-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	62,966	64,251	55,000	63,300	63,300
1750-3014	Professional Dues	950	1,326	2,000	2,000	3,500
1750-3015	Publications and Subscriptions	2,341	1,192	3,000	3,269	3,000
1750-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	16,687	15,162	15,000	15,000	15,000
1750-3018	Postage - Other Mailing Costs	9,672	9,088	10,000	10,000	10,000
1750-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	167,559	138,873	159,760	159,760	139,760
1750-3040	Contracted Services	160,684	188,817	186,554	186,554	203,409
1750-3061	Pre-employment Background Checks	300	0	0	0	0
1750-3062	Employment Recruitment Costs	1,500	9,926	16,300	16,300	20,000
1750-3120	Other Supplies	14,429	18,636	17,645	17,645	17,645
1750-3130	Building Supplies, Keys, Repairs	0	0	0	0	0
1750-3138	Tool Replacement Costs	16	15	0	0	0
1750-3260	Liability / Property Insurance	28,201	31,002	39,396	39,396	47,452
1750-3262	Retiree Insurance Premiums	4,937	3,993	4,500	4,500	4,500
1750-3300	Conference, Training, Education	53,493	64,118	53,500	60,500	70,000
1750-3351	Investigative Expenses	19,880	25,274	20,000	20,000	20,000
1750-3701	Intergovernmental Charge - Booking Fee	0	0	15,000	15,000	15,000
1750-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	0	76,827	31,132	31,132	31,132
1750-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	1,244	747	1,500	1,500	1,500
1750-4005	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	133,545	142,754	154,677	154,677	171,480
1750-4007	Interfund Charges - Replace Vehicles	91,861	107,733	110,067	110,067	0
1750-4018	Interfund Charges-Computer Maint.	143,389	190,585	187,167	187,167	243,660
1750-4020	Interfund Charges - Computer Replacement	0	0	66,886	66,886	72,886
1750-5001	Computer Equip. & peripherals	30,608	2,596	40,000	40,000	40,000
1750-5003	Replacement of Equipment	127,710	27,085	28,000	28,000	28,000
1750-6002	Lease Payment	130,497	130,497	130,497	130,497	130,497
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		1,247,769	1,327,218	1,398,905	1,419,149	1,407,720
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		9,548,830	9,824,274	10,338,833	10,572,993	11,090,775

**POLICE COPS HIRING PROGRAM
10200.222**

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1751-8456	C.O.P.S.Hiring Program Grant	(337,636)	(20,833)	(235,000)	(235,000)	(235,000)
	TOTAL REVENUE	(337,636)	(20,833)	(235,000)	(235,000)	(235,000)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1751-1010	Salaries - Full-time	145,274	79,399	122,283	122,283	136,252
1751-1030	Salaries / Overtime	14,085	6,630	0	6,300	0
1751-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	22,034	7,390	0	13,800	0
1751-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	0	1,421	2,132	2,634	2,136
1751-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	85,768	35,755	58,787	34,625	35,274
1751-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	691	267	440	440	491
1751-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	220	86	130	130	131
1751-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	18,230	7,160	10,683	13,300	11,452
1751-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	3,538	1,344	1,804	2,103	2,007
1751-2009	Unemployment Insurance	996	349	504	504	440
1751-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	46,468	26,890	40,470	37,100	37,181
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	337,304	166,691	237,235	233,220	225,363
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	337,304	166,691	237,235	233,220	225,363

POLICE SERVICES - ANIMAL CONTROL
10200.406

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1060-8551	Fines and Penalties for Violations	(40)	(100)	0	0	0
1960-8101	Animal License Revenue	(17,475)	(7,742)	(20,000)	(10,000)	(15,000)
1960-8551	Fines and Penalties for Violations	(9,116)	(2,148)	(9,000)	(1,800)	(1,500)
1960-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue	(517)	(350)	(500)	(800)	(800)
1960-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	(512)	(14)	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(27,660)	(10,354)	(29,500)	(12,600)	(17,300)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1960-1010	Salaries / Full-time	65,803	35,508	64,538	64,538	74,800
1960-1020	Salaries / Part-time	0	0	0	0	0
1960-1030	Salaries / Overtime	164	279	3,000	3,000	3,000
1960-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	635	0	0	0	0
1960-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	1,040	681	1,060	1,060	1,060
1960-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	14,649	6,561	13,100	13,100	16,389
1960-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	236	166	232	232	269
1960-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	103	68	102	102	102
1960-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	5,106	2,878	5,900	5,900	6,527
1960-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	1,042	545	1,014	1,014	1,189
1960-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	2,743	1,447	2,711	2,711	3,142
1960-2009	Unemployment Insurance	644	341	546	546	476
1960-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	35,051	21,521	31,152	24,500	33,489
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		127,216	69,995	123,355	116,703	140,442
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1960-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	192	0	0	0	0
1960-3018	Postage / Other Mailing Charges	3,094	902	3,000	1,000	3,000
1960-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	1,108	1,687	1,200	2,118	1,200
1960-3040	Contracted Services	125,867	150,107	175,000	150,000	175,000
1960-3045	Veterinary Costs	1,796	2,497	5,000	5,000	5,000
1960-3300	Conference, Training, Education	0	0	2,500	2,500	2,500
1960-4005	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	4,626	5,065	5,113	5,113	6,685
1960-4007	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Replacement	0	2,667	3,133	3,133	0
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		136,683	162,924	194,946	168,864	193,385
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		263,899	232,919	318,302	285,568	333,827

FIRE SERVICES - ADMINISTRATION
10200.225

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1795-8212	Fire Special Services Fee	(30)	(25)	0	0	0
1795-8247	Fire Department Weed Abatement Fee	(4,365)	(2,307)	0	0	0
1795-8346	Interfund Charges - Reimbursements	(49,000)	(49,000)	(49,000)	(49,000)	(57,820)
1795-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	(19,586)	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(72,981)	(51,332)	(49,000)	(49,000)	(57,820)
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1795-3001	Gas and Electric Utilities	19,400	21,875	17,500	17,500	17,500
1795-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	4,470	4,188	12,000	11,000	11,000
1795-3011	Advertising - Bids and Legal Notices	0	0	500	500	500
1795-3014	Professional Dues	0	0	150	150	150
1795-3015	Publications and Subscriptions	508	697	1,500	1,500	1,500
1795-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	2,986	604	3,000	2,500	2,500
1795-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	58,209	83,329	80,000	80,000	80,000
1795-3040	Contracted Services	9,125	7,091	10,000	10,000	10,000
1795-3046	Cal Fire Annual Contract	2,593,407	2,826,896	3,067,886	2,907,308	3,246,987
1795-3130	Building Supplies, Keys, Repairs	10,690	10,000	10,000	10,000	90,000
1795-3138	Tool Replacement Costs	21,155	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
1795-3260	Liability / Property Insurance	10,483	11,035	14,022	14,022	16,890
1795-3262	Retiree Insurance Premiums	5,721	4,401	5,580	5,580	5,580
1795-3300	Conference, Training, Education	1,155	2,454	5,000	5,000	5,000
1795-4000	Interfund Charge Fac. Maint.	0	61,016	24,725	24,725	24,725
1795-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	2,298	2,499	2,400	2,400	2,400
1795-4018	Interfund Charges-Computer Maint.	538	538	11,884	11,884	15,470
1795-4020	Interfund Charges - Computer Replacement	0	0	3,417	3,417	3,417
1795-6002	Lease Payment	0	0	125,000	77,441	0
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		2,740,145	3,066,623	3,424,564	3,214,927	3,563,618
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		2,740,145	3,066,623	3,424,564	3,214,927	3,563,618

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT- PLANNING
10200.410

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1060-8231	Sale of Maps and Publications	(1,189)	(460)	(1,000)	(500)	(500)
1990-8249	Zoning / Land Use / Annexation Fees	(117,415)	(163,442)	(156,000)	(150,000)	(162,500)
1990-8344	Interfund Charges - Project Mgt.	(3,899)	(2,649)	(10,000)	(4,000)	(19,500)
1990-8389	Transfer in from Fund 452	0	(25,000)	0	0	15,000
TOTAL REVENUE		(122,503)	(191,551)	(167,000)	(154,500)	(167,500)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1990-1010	Salaries / Full-time	182,932	185,984	210,611	215,192	286,105
1990-1020	Salaries/Part-time	0	0	22,354	15,435	0
1990-1030	Salaries / Overtime	1,418	1,143	1,500	2,232	1,500
1990-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	14,446	7,660	9,304	9,304	13,421
1990-1060	Salaries - Auto & Expense Allowance	4,085	5,828	5,829	5,829	5,829
1990-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	33,683	36,197	44,577	45,034	64,465
1990-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	583	714	747	747	978
1990-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	178	238	239	239	289
1990-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	14,613	15,001	20,484	19,957	24,127
1990-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	3,218	3,075	3,687	3,596	4,540
1990-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	0	0	838	838	0
1990-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	4,110	2,724	3,823	3,333	6,227
1990-2009	Unemployment Insurance	1,326	855	1,150	1,150	1,003
1990-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	46,264	65,956	67,981	65,562	85,387
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		306,856	325,374	393,122	388,448	493,871
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1990-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	1,551	1,601	1,700	1,400	1,500
1990-3005	Copier lease & paper charges	0	2,405	3,500	3,000	3,500
1990-3011	Advertising - Bids and Legal Notices	2,206	1,318	2,000	3,000	3,000
1990-3015	Publications and Subscriptions	174	592	500	500	500
1990-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	4,957	4,500	3,000	3,500	4,500
1990-3018	Postage / Other Mailing Charges	1,486	1,610	2,000	3,000	3,000
1990-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	46	68	100	100	100
1990-3040	Contracted Services	21,394	58,581	0	21,585	0
1990-3047	Capital/Master/Other Plan Updates	4,298	45,439	0	94,754	0
1990-3300	Conference, Training, Education	1,357	3,498	15,000	10,000	15,000
1990-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	0	7,687	3,115	3,115	3,115
1990-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	338	75	500	500	500
1990-4005	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	1,981	1,952	1,971	1,971	2,268
1990-4007	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Replacement	0	1,400	1,400	1,400	0
1990-4018	Interfund Charges - Computer Maint.	6,191	18,499	17,825	17,825	23,206
1990-4020	Interfund Charges-Computer Replacement	0	0	2,211	2,211	2,211
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		45,979	149,225	54,822	167,861	62,400
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		352,835	474,600	447,944	556,309	556,271

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - BUILDING INSPECTION

10200.411

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2005-8103	Energy Regulation Fees	(17,425)	(15,325)	(13,500)	(13,500)	(13,600)
2005-8104	Permits - Fire	0	(18,860)	(14,000)	(33,000)	(35,000)
2005-8105	Permits - Building	(299,488)	(397,920)	(412,500)	(380,000)	(430,000)
2005-8106	Permits - Electrical	(24,151)	(83,479)	(65,000)	(130,000)	(132,500)
2005-8107	Permits - Firework Stands	(3,100)	(2,800)	(2,500)	(2,500)	(2,700)
2005-8108	Permits - Mechanical	(8,949)	(13,829)	(10,500)	(16,000)	(10,000)
2005-8109	Permits - Plumbing	(15,330)	(9,474)	(16,000)	(6,000)	(6,250)
2005-8119	S.M.I.P. - City Share	(264)	(293)	(200)	(300)	(300)
2005-8120	SB-1473- City Share	(185)	(212)	(200)	(220)	(220)
2005-8121	SB-1186 - City Share	(1,609)	(1,672)	(1,600)	(2,000)	(2,000)
2005-8208	Late Payment /Other Penalty	(6,141)	(67,961)	(20,000)	(33,100)	(25,000)
2005-8222	Overtime Fees	(83,179)	(120,728)	(110,000)	(100,000)	(95,000)
2005-8225	Building Dept. Plan Archival Fees	(19,652)	(4,220)	(7,500)	(6,000)	(5,450)
2005-8226	Plan Check Fees	(85,394)	(74,043)	(56,000)	(150,000)	(110,000)
2005-8257	Bldg. Div. Permit Prep. Fee	(62,275)	(53,660)	(57,000)	(50,000)	(55,000)
2005-8335	Interfund Charge - 43600 NSP	0	0	(5,000)	(5,000)	(1,000)
2005-8355	Transfer-In from Fund 43600 NSP3 Grant	(15,000)	(17,959)	0	0	0
2005-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue - Building	(1,525)	(1,069)	(1,500)	(500)	(1,000)
2005-8659	Refund and Reimbursements	(454)	(860)	0	(223)	0
2005-8671	Sale of Property	0	0	0	(2,075)	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(644,121)	(884,363)	(793,000)	(930,418)	(925,020)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2005-1010	Salaries / Full-time	256,611	262,001	265,236	290,877	356,151
2005-1020	Salaries / Part-time	0	0	0	1,578	4,677
2005-1030	Salaries / Overtime	72	632	2,500	2,500	2,500
2005-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	7,605	8,805	10,527	10,527	13,086
2005-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	300	500	500	500	500
2005-1060	Salaries - Auto & Expense Allowance	686	729	729	729	729
2005-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	48,727	56,703	59,468	69,723	85,715
2005-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	910	935	943	943	1,242
2005-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	292	290	289	289	340
2005-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	19,273	20,985	23,390	25,682	30,522
2005-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	4,119	4,104	4,251	4,447	5,714
2005-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	6,265	6,494	6,487	8,275	9,220
2005-2009	Unemployment Insurance	1,330	1,128	1,131	1,131	1,248
2005-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	45,157	56,101	56,005	53,381	76,158
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		391,347	419,406	431,457	470,583	587,802
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2005-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	3,321	3,344	4,000	4,000	4,000
2005-3014	Professional Dues	155	340	500	500	500
2005-3015	Publications and Subscriptions	3,456	1,160	2,000	2,000	2,000
2005-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	3,875	3,061	4,500	3,000	4,500
2005-3018	Postage / Other Mailing Costs	243	187	500	500	500
2005-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	3,138	3,147	4,000	3,300	4,000
2005-3040	Contracted Services	164,400	177,911	200,000	200,000	200,000
2005-3051	Bank Service Charges	9,130	8,330	8,500	10,007	8,500
2005-3262	Retiree Insurance Premiums	10,409	8,080	10,000	10,000	10,000
2005-3300	Conference, Training, Education	3,535	3,824	4,000	4,130	6,000
2005-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	0	6,921	2,805	2,805	2,805
2005-4002	Intefund Charges - Central Supply	251	341	450	450	450
2005-4005	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	6,194	7,597	7,669	7,669	11,095
2005-4007	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Replacements	0	4,160	4,860	4,860	0
2005-4018	Interfund Cjcharges - Computer Maint.	7,420	16,837	17,825	17,825	23,206
2005-4020	Interfund Charges-Computer Replacement	0	0	2,211	2,211	2,211
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		215,527	245,238	273,820	273,257	279,767
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		606,874	664,644	705,277	743,840	867,568

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT- ENGINEERING
10200.412

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2020-8104	Permits - Encroachment	(25,180)	(58,975)	(60,000)	(23,000)	(50,000)
2020-8216	Inspection / Plan Check Fees	(2,084)	(19,945)	(23,000)	(23,000)	(30,000)
2020-8257	Engr. Permit Preparation Fee	(13,780)	(17,544)	(14,000)	(18,500)	(20,000)
2020-8344	Interfund Charges - Project Mgt.	(618,237)	(721,285)	(702,000)	(770,000)	(762,000)
2020-8360	Transfer in from Fund 41300 Gas Tax	(38,000)	(39,140)	(41,000)	(41,000)	(41,000)
2020-8367	Transfer in from Fund 42000 LTF	(400,000)	(412,000)	(412,000)	(412,000)	(465,000)
2020-8389	Transfer in from Fund 45261	0	(25,000)	(25,000)	(25,000)	(25,000)
2020-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue - Engineering	(7,085)	(34,263)	(35,000)	(11,000)	(12,000)
2020-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	0	(297)	0	0	0
2021-8347	Interfund Charges - L A Zone Fees	(94,947)	(41,777)	(41,777)	(41,777)	(41,777)
2021-8355	Transfer-in Drainage Sys Op Dept 308	(13,436)	(45,000)	(45,000)	(45,000)	(45,000)
TOTAL REVENUE		(1,212,749)	(1,415,225)	(1,398,777)	(1,410,277)	(1,491,777)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2020-1010	Salaries / Full-time	609,060	638,915	641,822	704,487	753,240
2020-1020	Salaries / Part-time	128,656	125,386	180,590	113,516	165,656
2020-1030	Salaries / Overtime	1,960	1,284	1,000	3,481	1,000
2020-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	12,547	13,038	34,797	34,797	18,535
2020-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	450	750	750	750	750
2020-1060	Salaries - Auto & Expense Allowance	5,486	5,829	5,829	5,829	5,829
2020-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	150,879	170,281	184,142	190,593	222,398
2020-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	2,085	2,212	2,262	2,262	2,600
2020-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	505	502	552	552	594
2020-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	55,715	60,725	71,936	70,428	77,233
2020-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	11,533	11,572	12,933	12,511	14,145
2020-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	1,008	1,005	2,347	2,347	1,787
2020-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	19,932	21,028	21,205	21,205	25,147
2020-2009	Unemployment Insurance	3,906	3,312	3,861	3,861	3,366
2020-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	125,632	156,785	167,417	143,128	181,446
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		1,129,354	1,212,624	1,331,442	1,309,746	1,473,724
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2020-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	4,745	4,878	5,000	4,100	5,000
2020-3011	Advertising - Bids and Legal Notices	77	0	200	300	300
2020-3015	Publications and Subscriptions	535	685	1,300	1,000	1,300
2020-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	6,075	6,571	4,200	4,700	5,000
2020-3017	Software Costs	1,013	1,402	1,900	1,900	2,000
2020-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	2,292	2,186	2,900	2,200	2,900
2020-3040	Contracted Services	15,093	13,843	20,300	21,000	28,227
2020-3300	Conference, Training, Education	670	801	3,400	1,000	3,500
2020-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	0	12,827	5,918	5,918	5,918
2020-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	1,371	606	800	800	800
2020-4005	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	8,920	9,549	9,640	9,640	11,095
2020-4007	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Replacements	0	3,053	3,287	3,287	0
2020-4018	Interfund Charges - Computer Maint.	24,305	59,212	44,564	44,564	58,014
2020-4020	Interfund Charges-Computer Replacement	0	0	5,528	5,528	5,528
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		65,096	115,613	108,936	105,936	129,582
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,194,450	1,328,237	1,440,379	1,415,682	1,603,305

**PUBLIC WORKS - STREETS
10200.328**

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1825-8346	Interfund Charges - Reimbursements	(120,000)	(120,000)	(120,000)	(120,000)	(120,000)
1825-8348	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	0	0	0	0	0
1825-8355	Transfer-In - SW	(197,239)	(250,000)	(250,000)	(250,000)	(250,000)
1825-8360	Transfer-in From Fund 41300 Gas Tax	(730,736)	(895,000)	(1,322,000)	(1,322,000)	(961,368)
1825-8363	Transfer In from 10221			0	0	0
1825-8367	Transfer-in From Fund 41500 Meas T	(717,000)	(446,733)	(788,044)	(788,044)	(768,672)
1825-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	(17,719)	0	0	(10,721)	(5,000)
1825-8671	Sale of Real and Personal Property	(17,719)	(8,460)	(5,000)	(1,838)	0
	TOTAL REVENUE	(1,800,413)	(1,720,193)	(2,485,044)	(2,492,603)	(2,105,040)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1825-1010	Salaries / Full-time	437,277	452,687	460,907	455,161	608,049
1825-1020	Salaries / Part-time	536	1,346	1,700	3,704	2,339
1825-1030	Salaries / Overtime	6,330	14,301	16,000	16,557	16,000
1825-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	25,523	1,045	2,764	2,764	3,291
1825-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	2,672	2,485	2,848	3,513	3,210
1825-1060	Salaries - Auto and Expense Allowance	445	510	510	510	510
1825-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	88,223	97,058	112,921	96,360	155,969
1825-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	1,482	1,629	1,659	1,659	2,181
1825-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	598	617	629	629	707
1825-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	35,890	37,259	41,813	40,086	52,817
1825-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	6,763	7,248	7,438	6,992	9,711
1825-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	0	51	0	0	0
1825-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	17,728	18,383	18,902	18,902	24,989
1825-2009	Unemployment Insurance	4,785	3,381	3,366	3,366	3,296
1825-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	153,701	189,222	202,338	163,005	224,708
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	781,953	827,222	873,794	813,208	1,107,777
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1825-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	2,224	2,493	2,500	2,094	2,500
1825-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	1,818	2,315	2,000	542	2,000
1825-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	18,037	27,702	35,000	16,309	35,000
1825-3040	Contracted Services	289,672	298,671	363,450	313,918	363,450
1825-3132	Other Maintenance Supplies	44,778	41,854	50,000	31,500	50,000
1825-3133	Paint Supplies	59,227	57,168	70,000	46,480	65,000
1825-3135	Street Light Repairs/Parts	0	86	0	0	0
1825-3139	Road Oil Patch Material	3,650	6,005	15,000	13,896	15,000
1825-3140	Surface Seal Treatment	258,724	0	600,000	600,000	0
1825-3141	Asphalt/Concrete	194,272	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000
1825-3142	Street Signs	8,577	11,723	25,000	9,639	20,000
1825-3143	Sidewalk repair Cost Sahring Pro (LTF funding)	1,000	0	0	2,340	0
1825-3260	Liability / Property Insurance	3,093	3,153	4,006	4,014	4,826
1825-3300	Conference, Training, Education	6,886	6,051	7,000	4,319	7,000
1825-3704	Intergovernmental Charge-Property Taxes	60	0	60	0	60
1825-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	3,468	9,210	3,732	3,359	3,732
1825-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	6,102	8,270	8,000	9,893	8,000
1825-4005	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	86,655	99,726	104,760	104,760	120,565
1825-4007	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Replacement	0	94,597	96,963	96,963	82,296
1825-4018	Interfund Charges - Computer Maint.	12,500	43,353	20,796	22,294	27,073
1825-4020	Interfund Charges-Computer Replacement	0	0	4,150	4,150	4,150
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	1,000,743	912,377	1,612,418	1,486,471	1,010,652
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,782,696	1,739,599	2,486,212	2,299,678	2,118,429

GRAFFITI ABATEMENT
10200.531

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
1850-8334	Interfund Charges - CDBG	0	(155,133)	(163,570)	(163,570)	(163,570)
1850-8346	Interfund charges - Cost Distribution	0	(20,000)	(50,000)	(50,000)	(50,000)
1850-8363	Transfer-in From Fund 10200	(186,004)	0	0	0	(28,356)
1850-8355	Transfer-In Solid Waste Dept 507	(6,541)	(10,000)	(35,000)	(35,000)	(35,000)
1850-8367	Transfer-in From Fund 41300 Gas Tax	(9,985)	(35,000)	(40,000)	(40,000)	(40,000)
1850-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(202,530)	(220,133)	(288,570)	(288,570)	(316,926)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
1850-1010	Salaries / Full-time	54,432	45,844	59,097	62,737	96,288
1850-1020	Salaries / Part-time	24,247	33,908	48,892	13,436	14,094
1850-1030	Salaries / Overtime	359	9	0	2,762	0
1850-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	0	153	0	0	0
1850-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	201	500	450	591	638
1850-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	8,223	10,408	13,672	14,399	22,915
1850-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	187	184	213	219	347
1850-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	96	79	91	90	129
1850-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	6,059	6,375	9,434	6,811	9,313
1850-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	1,263	1,235	1,635	1,153	1,676
1850-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	909	1,272	1,833	511	529
1850-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	2,266	1,863	2,482	2,600	4,044
1850-2009	Unemployment Insurance	2,072	1,352	1,583	1,238	845
1850-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	38,664	33,734	47,589	41,095	61,758
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		138,978	136,916	186,973	147,643	212,576
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
1850-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	17,007	11,176	18,000	9,644	15,000
1850-3040	Contracted Services	1,901	1,556	2,000	640	2,000
1850-3132	Other Maintenance Supplies	1,748	6,517	10,000	9,500	10,000
1850-3133	Paint Supplies	12,071	7,643	15,000	5,480	12,000
1850-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	2,923	1,083	1,500	1,500	1,500
1850-4005	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	27,903	35,133	38,447	38,447	44,247
1850-4007	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Replacement	0	18,500	17,567	17,567	0
1850-4018	Interfund Charges-Computer Maint.	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		63,553	81,608	102,514	82,778	84,747
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		202,531	218,524	289,486	230,421	297,323

PARKS & COMMUNITY SERVICES - LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICTS
10200.660

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2049-8301	Donations	0	0	0	0	0
2049-8347	Interfund Charges - LA Zone Feed	0	0	(258,921)	(258,921)	(258,921)
2049-8607	Assessments	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUE	0	0	(258,921)	(258,921)	(258,921)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2049-1010	Salaries / Full-time	0	0	59,442	55,556	76,524
2049-1020	Salaries / Part-time	0	0	91,176	91,776	95,352
2049-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	0	0	500	500	500
2049-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	0	0	14,341	12,000	16,532
2049-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	0	0	259	259	275
2049-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	0	0	102	102	102
2049-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	0	0	14,239	11,383	14,461
2049-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	0	0	2,464	2,144	2,598
2049-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	0	0	3,419	3,419	3,576
2049-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	0	0	3,016	3,016	3,214
2049-2009	Unemployment Insurance	0	0	2,730	3,674	2,380
2049-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	0	0	60,014	28,902	41,279
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	0	0	251,701	212,730	256,793
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0	0	251,701	212,730	256,793

PARKS & COMMUNITY SERVICES - PARKS

10200.661

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14	FY14/15	FY15/16	FY15/16	FY16/17
		ACTUALS	ACTUALS	ADOPTED	ESTIMATE	PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2050-8170	Rents and Leases - Parks Special	(1,079)	(623)	(600)	(300)	(500)
2050-8171	Rents and Leases - Athletic Field	(7,242)	(9,546)	(11,000)	(10,000)	(10,000)
2050-8172	Rents and Leases - Ath. Field Utilities	(13,679)	(4,378)	(10,000)	(11,000)	(11,500)
2050-8173	Rents and Leases - Lions Pavilion	(6,622)	(7,596)	(9,000)	(7,500)	(8,000)
2050-8174	Rents and Leases - Rotary Pavilion	(12,081)	(8,523)	(12,000)	(11,000)	(12,000)
2050-8180	Rents and Leases - Millview Pavilion	(2,835)	(3,388)	(3,500)	(3,000)	(3,500)
2050-8261	Processing Fee	12	0	0	0	0
2050-8301	Donations	(345)	0	(100)	(100)	(100)
2050-8344	Interfund Charges - Project Mgt.	0	0	(20,000)	(20,000)	(20,000)
2050-8346	Interfund Charges - Reimbursements	(94,800)	(94,800)	(94,800)	(94,800)	(72,137)
2050-8347	Interfund Charges - L A Zone Fees	(110,346)	(50,090)	(50,089)	(50,089)	(50,089)
2050-8355	Transfer-In from Solid Waste Fund 47600	(120,000)	(120,000)	(120,000)	(120,000)	(180,000)
2050-8600	Assessments - Sunset Park Strip	(1,479)	(1,633)	(1,379)	(1,500)	(1,500)
2050-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue - Parks	(5,187)	(9,991)	(12,000)	(200)	(5,000)
2050-8671	Sale of Real Property				(4,578)	0
2050-8659	Refund and Reimbursements	(38,082)	(3,187)	(2,000)	(2,000)	(1,000)
	TOTAL REVENUE	(413,765)	(313,755)	(346,468)	(336,067)	(375,326)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2050-1010	Salaries / Full-time	415,252	369,159	427,342	418,924	485,289
2050-1020	Salaries / Part-time	80,545	84,259	91,615	85,000	101,261
2050-1030	Salaries / Overtime	20,731	19,617	17,500	15,000	17,500
2050-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	648	4,341	2,385	2,385	2,685
2050-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	1,500	2,150	2,400	2,650	2,400
2050-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	96,005	93,883	110,080	109,117	134,145
2050-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	1,390	1,299	1,538	1,507	1,747
2050-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	533	449	558	501	558
2050-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	39,696	37,970	46,867	44,002	50,875
2050-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	8,063	7,192	8,149	6,792	9,172
2050-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	2,072	2,232	2,802	2,998	3,066
2050-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	17,363	15,229	17,948	16,276	20,382
2050-2009	Unemployment Insurance	6,501	4,876	6,190	6,604	5,635
2050-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	170,139	173,365	228,750	173,736	199,454
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	860,438	816,021	964,125	885,492	1,034,170
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2050-3001	Gas and Electric Utilities	53,683	43,080	49,000	43,000	45,000
2050-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	5,512	6,055	6,500	6,000	6,500
2050-3012	Advertising - Other	110	60	100	348	400
2050-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	983	543	1,500	1,000	1,000
2050-3017	Software Cost	0	400	500	500	500
2050-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	35,281	32,971	38,000	38,000	38,000
2050-3040	Contracted Services	217,238	209,799	236,000	215,000	225,000
2050-3061	Safety Inspections/Repairs	731	929	750	300	500
2050-3101	Parks On-line Registration	0	6,226	3,800	10,000	10,000
2050-3131	Irrigation Supplies	17,895	22,865	20,000	20,000	20,000
2050-3132	Other Maintenance Supplies	23,571	25,779	24,600	24,600	26,000
2050-3133	Turf Supplies/Lawn/ Median Materials	60,755	29,017	26,200	26,200	30,000
2050-3138	Tool Replacement Costs	4,802	4,908	5,000	5,000	5,000
2050-3260	Liability / Property Insurance	250	525	668	557	804
2050-3300	Conference, Training, Education	180	96	2,000	1,500	1,500
2050-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint.	0	13,807	5,595	5,595	5,595
2050-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	21,488	21,812	22,000	22,000	22,000
2050-4005	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	86,185	89,421	95,287	95,287	107,932
2050-4007	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Replacement	30,548	43,807	45,640	45,640	0
2050-4018	Interfund Charges-Computer Maint.	0	14,244	5,942	5,942	7,735
2050-4020	Interfund Charges - Computer Replacement	0	0	1,094	1,094	1,094
2050-5002	Other New Equipment	0	39,855	19,300	19,300	30,000
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	559,212	606,199	609,476	586,863	584,560

PARKS & COMMUNITY SERVICES - PARKS (continued)
10200.661

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY						
2050-5040	Improvement to Land/Facilities	0	0	18,000	18,000	0
	TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY	0	0	18,000	18,000	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,419,650	1,422,220	1,591,601	1,490,355	1,618,730

PARKS & COMMUNITY SERVICES - RECREATION

10200.662

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2065-8186	Leisure/Enrichment Fees	0	0	(3,000)	(3,000)	(3,000)
2065-8185	Kids Camp Program Fees	0	(14,614)	(19,000)	(15,000)	(16,000)
2065-8301	Donations	(44,662)	(17,328)	0	0	0
2065-8434	Grant	(77,846)	(25,678)	0	0	0
2065-8659	Refund and Reimbursements	150	0	0	0	0
2065-8683	After School Revenue	0	(168,244)	(221,500)	(215,000)	(198,000)
TOTAL REVENUE		(122,358)	(225,864)	(243,500)	(233,000)	(217,000)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2065-1010	Salaries / Full-time	34,166	80,097	106,379	123,353	135,042
2065-1020	Salaries / Part-time	72,058	176,078	246,960	208,000	283,318
2065-1030	Salaries / Overtime	268	1,299	0	3,295	0
2065-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	0	0	0	0	0
2065-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	5,581	19,554	23,724	20,498	32,662
2065-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	95	283	383	359	486
2065-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	45	73	124	91	137
2065-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	8,069	19,960	30,869	25,518	35,096
2065-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	1,660	3,767	5,336	4,557	6,316
2065-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	1,673	5,055	9,261	5,572	10,624
2065-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	1,347	3,329	4,468	3,895	5,672
2065-2009	Unemployment Insurance	2,770	7,022	8,977	6,597	8,689
2065-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	8,117	26,051	40,605	28,085	44,572
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		135,849	342,568	477,086	429,820	562,614
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2065-3001	Gas and Electric Utilities	24,962	29,191	25,000	23,000	25,000
2065-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	768	779	950	750	800
2065-3012	Advertising - Other	982	1,108	2,500	2,500	2,500
2065-3016	Office Supplies- Expendable	991	1,075	1,000	1,000	1,000
2065-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	7,479	2,046	5,000	2,000	2,500
2065-3040	Contracted Services	43,898	50,276	17,000	17,000	17,000
2065-3045	Field Trips	577	2,929	3,000	2,500	3,800
2065-3120	Other Supplies	6,455	14,601	13,500	13,500	13,500
2065-3130	Building Supplies, Keys, Repairs	57	0	100	100	100
2065-3132	Other Maintenance Supplies	0	0	0	0	3,000
2065-3300	Conference, Training, Education	1,998	418	2,000	600	2,500
2065-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	322	635	600	600	600
2065-4005	Intefund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	11,257	14,459	14,599	14,599	15,987
2065-4007	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Replacement	0	8,760	8,193	8,193	0
2065-5002	Other New Equipment	0	0	4,000	4,000	5,000
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		99,746	126,277	97,442	90,342	93,287
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		235,595	468,845	574,528	520,162	655,901

PARKS & COMMUNITY SERVICES - ADMINISTRATION
10200.664

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2095-8344	Interfund Charges - Project Mgt.	0	0	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)
2095-8649	Admin Fees	(14,127)	(17,003)	(16,000)	(18,000)	(17,500)
TOTAL REVENUE		(14,127)	(17,003)	(17,500)	(19,500)	(19,000)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2095-1010	Salaries / Full-time	231,483	271,781	270,614	308,307	313,304
2095-1020	Salaries / Part-time	11,302	8,994	9,236	8,500	10,914
2095-1030	Salaries / Overtime	552	1,342	1,600	1,812	1,600
2095-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	279	1,583	3,704	3,704	4,455
2095-1060	Salaries - Auto and Expense Allowance	4,800	5,100	5,100	943	900
2095-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	46,269	59,228	63,114	71,268	77,539
2095-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	788	926	969	1,013	1,019
2095-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	202	308	272	330	272
2095-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	18,545	22,494	24,589	26,465	27,333
2095-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	3,867	4,324	4,364	4,765	4,967
2095-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	19	18	346	0	409
2095-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	5,177	6,666	6,774	6,907	7,357
2095-2009	Unemployment Insurance	1,360	1,332	1,452	1,498	1,323
2095-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	25,803	45,675	46,396	47,110	46,990
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		350,446	429,771	438,532	482,622	498,383
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2095-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	2,817	2,928	2,600	3,000	3,000
2095-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	1,367	915	850	850	850
2095-3017	Software Costs	562	995	1,000	1,000	1,200
2095-3020	Mileage Reimbursements	0	0	100	100	100
2095-3040	Contracted Services	21,874	10,890	12,000	12,200	12,500
2095-3120	Other Supplies	1,009	1,574	1,000	1,200	1,500
2095-3300	Conference, Training, Education	614	656	600	684	1,500
2095-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	152	76	100	100	100
2095-4018	Interfund Charges - Computer Maint.	70,411	85,195	95,069	95,069	123,764
2095-4020	Interfund Charges-Computer Replacement	0	0	20,719	20,719	20,719
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		98,806	103,229	134,038	134,922	165,233
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		449,252	533,000	572,569	617,544	663,615

PARKS & COMMUNITY SERVICES - SPECIAL EVENTS

10200.667

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2155-8218	Leisure / Enrichment Fees	(6,549)	(275)	0	0	0
2155-8301	Donations	(1,332)	(10,659)	(8,000)	(10,524)	(8,000)
2155-8316	Sponsorship	0	(10,000)	(20,000)	(5,000)	(15,000)
2155-8318	Fundraising	0	(11,348)	(12,000)	(5,000)	(8,000)
TOTAL REVENUE		(7,881)	(32,282)	(40,000)	(20,524)	(31,000)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2155-1010	Salaries / Full-time	17,147	39,332	39,163	39,163	58,207
2155-1020	Salaries / Part-time	17,090	8,769	23,331	23,331	26,200
2155-1030	Salaries / Overtime	6	341	0	0	0
2155-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	4,608	11,100	10,024	10,024	15,454
2155-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	60	135	141	141	210
2155-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	21	43	43	43	58
2155-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	2,624	3,820	5,460	5,460	7,081
2155-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	539	738	969	969	1,300
2155-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	96	185	875	875	982
2155-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	677	1,635	1,645	1,645	2,445
2155-2009	Unemployment Insurance	424	509	1,142	1,142	1,164
2155-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	6,205	10,120	9,950	9,950	12,342
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		49,497	76,727	92,742	92,742	125,442
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2155-3012	Advertising - Other	265	500	1,000	0	500
2155-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	535	94	200	0	0
2155-3040	Contracted Services	0	2	33,000	38,000	33,000
2155-3120	Other Supplies	5,343	5,094	10,000	5,500	5,500
2155-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	0	98	100	100	0
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		6,143	5,788	44,300	43,600	39,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		55,640	82,515	137,042	136,342	164,442

PARKS & COMM. SVCS. - SPORTS PROGRAMS
10200.669

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2185-8200	Adult Sport Fees	(32,291)	(23,211)	(35,000)	(25,000)	(35,000)
2185-8248	Youth Sports Fees	(31,896)	(37,501)	(35,000)	(35,000)	(35,000)
	TOTAL REVENUE	(64,187)	(60,712)	(70,000)	(60,000)	(70,000)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2185-1010	Salaries / Full-time	10,586	37,290	39,392	39,392	43,393
2185-1020	Salaries / Part-time	52,021	23,430	54,030	54,030	60,928
2185-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	0	0	0	0	0
2185-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	12,853	9,535	10,082	10,082	10,894
2185-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	34	129	142	142	156
2185-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	8	30	46	46	43
2185-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	4,798	4,904	8,162	8,162	8,752
2185-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	983	935	1,408	1,408	1,572
2185-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	991	866	2,026	2,026	2,285
2185-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	443	1,544	1,654	1,654	1,823
2185-2009	Unemployment Insurance	1,588	1,216	2,353	2,353	2,274
2185-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	1,462	10,972	10,911	10,911	10,122
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	85,767	90,851	130,207	130,207	142,242
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2185-3012	Advertising - Other	1,077	825	1,000	400	1,000
2185-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	95	85	150	50	100
2185-3040	Contracted Services	1,895	4,294	2,000	1,500	2,000
2185-3101	Parks - Online Registration	0	0	0	0	0
2185-3120	Other Supplies	10,541	14,933	15,000	15,000	15,000
2185-5002	Other New Equipment	0	0	3,000	3,000	5,000
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	13,608	20,137	21,150	19,950	23,100
TRANSFERS OUT						
2185-7000	Transfers Out to Other Funds	8,602	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL TRANSFERS OUT	8,602	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	107,977	110,988	151,357	150,157	165,342

PARKS & COMM. SVCS. - SWIMMING POOL
10200.670

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
FUND: 10200						
Dept 670: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Swimming Pool						
REVENUE						
2070-8238	Public Swim	(11,408)	(12,000)	(12,000)	(11,500)	(11,500)
2070-8258	Pool Concession	(8,187)	(8,000)	(8,000)	(8,500)	(8,000)
2070-8259	Swim Lessons	(16,127)	(13,000)	(13,000)	(16,000)	(15,000)
2070-8260	Pool Rentals	(7,960)	(8,000)	(8,000)	(8,000)	(8,000)
2070-8355	Transfer-In CDBG Dept 433	(3,100)	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(46,782)	(41,000)	(41,000)	(44,000)	(42,500)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2070-1010	Salaries / Full-time	5,979	22,718	22,345	22,345	25,864
2070-1020	Salaries / Part-time	44,497	44,159	52,115	52,115	54,979
2070-1040	Salaries / Leave Payout	72	0	0	0	0
2070-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	3,481	8,028	5,719	5,719	7,043
2070-2002	Long-term Disability	20	82	80	80	93
2070-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	6	26	25	25	25
2070-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	3,867	5,512	6,505	6,505	6,782
2070-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	874	1,050	1,135	1,135	1,231
2070-2007	Deferred Compensation/Part-time	1,362	1,342	1,954	1,954	2,062
2070-2008	Deferred Compensation/Full-time	255	1,022	938	938	1,086
2070-2009	Unemployment Insurance	1,922	1,843	2,169	2,169	1,988
2070-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allowance	450	6,609	6,796	6,796	6,955
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		62,785	92,391	99,782	99,782	108,109
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2070-3001	Gas and Electric Utilities	7,125	17,332	16,000	16,000	16,000
2070-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	0	0	0	250	250
2070-3040	Contracted Services	18,409	20,534	19,000	20,000	21,000
2070-3120	Other Supplies	7,426	7,030	6,000	6,000	7,500
2070-3300	Conference, Training, Education	0	0	750	0	0
2070-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	0	6,859	2,779	2,779	2,779
2070-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	0	0	0	0	750
2070-5002	Other New Equipment	0	0	6,000	6,000	6,000
2070-5040	Improvement to Land/Facilities	3,100	0	13,000	13,000	13,000
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		36,060	51,755	63,529	64,029	67,279
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		98,845	144,146	163,311	163,811	175,388

PARKS & COMM. SVCS. - CENTERS

10200.671

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
FUND: 10200						
Dept 671: Parks & Comm. Svcs. - Centers						
REVENUE						
2126-8154	Mex-Am Center Rents	0	(1,920)	(1,920)	(1,920)	(1,920)
2126-8155	Bergon Center Rents	0	(6,680)	(6,500)	(8,275)	(7,500)
2126-8166	Millview Community Centers Rents	0	(18,441)	(28,000)	(28,000)	(28,000)
2126-8168	Pan Am Community Center Rents	(24,647)	(17,645)	(18,000)	(23,000)	(21,000)
2126-8181	Youth Program Leases	(8)	0	0	0	0
2126-8183	Youth Huts Rents	0	(2,590)	(2,600)	(3,000)	(2,800)
2126-8355	Transfer-In	(17,647)	0	0	0	0
2126-8434	Grant	(8,000)	(8,000)	(8,000)	(8,000)	(8,000)
TOTAL REVENUE		(50,302)	(55,276)	(65,020)	(72,195)	(69,220)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2126-1010	Salaries/Full-Time	0	23,465	23,581	28,162	29,005
2126-1020	Salaries / Part-time	17,307	25,366	29,809	26,872	32,724
2126-1030	Salaries/Overtime	0	179	0	127	0
2126-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	1,713	6,968	6,036	11,026	7,898
2126-2002	Long-term Disability	0	78	85	94	104
2126-2003	Life Insurance Benefits	0	29	30	32	30
2126-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	1,327	3,886	4,664	4,611	5,178
2126-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	257	738	805	820	931
2126-2007	Deferred Compensation/Part-time	394	826	1,118	920	1,227
2126-2008	Deferred Compensation/Full-time	0	987	990	1,071	1,218
2126-2009	Unemployment Insurance	638	1,062	1,351	917	1,230
2126-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allowance	0	12,664	13,187	14,860	14,531
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		21,636	76,248	81,655	89,512	94,077
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2126-3001	Gas and Electric Utilities	28,790	74,266	84,500	82,000	84,500
2126-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	57	1,282	1,400	900	1,000
2126-3012	Advertising - Other	50	300	300	300	300
2126-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	216	85	400	200	250
2126-3040	Contracted Services	16,720	25,197	29,000	27,500	30,000
2126-3080	Special Program Expense - PGE	6,103	5,001	4,000	4,000	3,000
2126-3120	Other Maintenance Supplies	191	513	650	650	650
2126-3130	Building Supplies, Keys, Repairs	3,344	10,493	10,000	9,000	10,000
2126-3132	Other Maintenance Supplies	191	577	0	0	0
2126-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac. Maint	0	130,276	41,766	41,766	41,766
2126-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	219	1,657	1,200	1,200	1,200
2126-4018	Interfund Charges-Computer Maint.	0	26,624	23,767	23,767	30,941
2126-4020	Interfund Charges - Computer Replacement	0	0	4,857	4,857	4,857
2126-5002	Other New Equipment	0	0	10,700	10,700	15,000
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		55,881	276,271	212,540	206,840	223,464
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY						
2126-5040	Improvement to Land/Facilities	18,353	(147)	30,000	30,000	0
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY		18,353	(147)	30,000	30,000	0
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		95,870	352,372	324,195	326,352	317,541

PARKS & COMMUNITY SERVICES - MEDIAN LANDSCAPING
10200.691

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2192-8355	Transfer-In Gas Tax	0	0	0	0	109,500
	TOTAL REVENUE	0	0	0	0	109,500
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2192-3040	Contracted Services	94,030	96,084	102,990	102,990	109,500
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	94,030	96,084	102,990	102,990	109,500
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	94,030	96,084	102,990	102,990	109,500

PARKS & COMMUNITY SERVICES - YOUTH CENTER
10200.692

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2193-8175	Colocator Lease	(12,364)	(13,188)	(11,100)	(11,500)	(8,800)
2193-8181	Facility Rentals	(20)	(400)	(500)	(713)	(500)
2193-8259	Concessions	(6,087)	(4,245)	(5,000)	(7,000)	(6,000)
2193-8261	Program Fees	(2,464)	(655)	0	0	0
2193-8301	Donations	(300)	(338)	(500)	(3,004)	(500)
2193-8315	Fund Raising	(211)	(647)	(500)	(1,115)	(500)
	TOTAL REVENUE	(21,446)	(19,473)	(17,600)	(23,332)	(16,300)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2193-1010	Salaries - Full Time	988	0	0	0	0
2193-1020	Salaries - Part-Time	79,593	52,296	58,068	54,837	67,939
2193-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	3,787	3,842	0	2,745	0
2193-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	6,177	4,148	5,073	4,985	5,699
2193-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	1,267	781	874	795	1,022
2193-2007	Deferred Compensation - Part-Time	2,225	1,536	2,178	2,178	2,548
2193-2008	Deferred Compensation - Full Time	0	0	0	0	0
2193-2009	Unemployment Insurance	3,314	2,026	2,265	2,265	2,310
2193-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allowance	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	96,363	64,629	68,457	67,804	79,518
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2193-3001	Gas & Electric Utilities	37,503	41,722	35,000	38,000	38,000
2193-3002	Telephone & Fax Charges	2,900	3,043	3,300	3,300	3,300
2193-3012	Advertising - Other	292	259	300	300	300
2193-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	668	412	700	700	700
2193-3017	Software	1,996	240	2,000	2,000	2,000
2193-3040	Contracted Services	32,758	37,285	33,000	35,000	35,000
2193-3045	Field Trips	0	1,864	3,000	3,000	3,000
2193-3120	Other Supplies	8,289	7,859	7,900	7,900	8,500
2193-3130	Building Supplies, Keys, Repairs	1,313	2,024	5,000	3,000	3,500
2193-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac.Maint.	0	108,894	44,126	44,126	44,126
2193-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	9	230	200	200	200
2193-5001	Computer Equipment and Peripherals	2,089	156	0	0	0
2193-5002	Other New Equipment	2,033	1,834	8,400	8,400	5,000
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	89,850	205,822	142,926	145,926	143,626
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY						
2193-5040	Improvement to Land/Facilities	0	0	50,900	50,900	0
	TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY	0	0	50,900	50,900	0
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	186,213	270,451	262,282	264,630	223,144

SENIOR CITIZEN - COMMUNITY SERVICES OPERATIONS

49100.518

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
7765-	Program Revenues	0	0	0	0	(500)
7765-8303	Donations - C-1	(14,309)	(2,952)	(2,700)	(2,000)	(2,000)
7765-8304	Donations - C-2	(1,466)	(1,891)	(1,000)	(1,000)	(1,000)
7765-8312	Nutrition Program Fundraising	0	0	0	(1,650)	(1,500)
7765-8314	Donations - Transportation	(665)	(515)	(600)	(350)	(350)
7765-8315	Fund Raising Trips	(8,058)	(6,715)	(8,000)	(8,000)	(8,000)
7765-8363	Transfer in from Fund 10221	0	0	0	0	(111,633)
7765-8413	Transfer-in From Fund 10200	(79,625)	(176,360)	(180,067)	(188,248)	(93,500)
7765-8460	F.M.A.A.A. Grant - Transportation	(11,920)	(12,769)	(10,195)	(10,195)	(10,195)
7765-8463	F.M.A.A.A. Site Management	(36,936)	(28,975)	(24,000)	(24,000)	(24,000)
7765-8501	Reimbursements and Refunds - County	(24,773)	0	0	(845)	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(177,752)	(230,177)	(226,562)	(236,288)	(252,178)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
7765-1010	Salaries / Full-time	125,342	73,804	74,706	78,760	82,842
7765-1020	Salaries / Part-time	54,696	35,648	51,767	44,597	55,500
7765-1030	Salaries / Overtime	450	540	0	1,600	0
7765-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	31,623	21,324	19,121	23,191	22,557
7765-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	409	264	269	269	298
7765-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	138	77	91	91	91
7765-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	13,878	8,685	11,049	11,049	11,605
7765-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	2,841	1,617	1,907	1,812	2,087
7765-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	1,076	351	1,941	1,941	2,081
7765-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	5,208	3,068	3,138	3,138	3,479
7765-2009	Unemployment Insurance	2,974	1,447	2,510	2,510	2,315
7765-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	35,965	27,947	27,672	27,516	26,655
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		274,600	174,772	194,172	196,475	209,512
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
7765-3001	Gas and Electric Utilities	6,225	2,475	0	1,200	1,200
7765-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	4,419	3,236	4,650	3,500	3,500
7765-3012	Advertising - Other	0	134	200	200	200
7765-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	767	835	700	700	700
7765-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	101	105	100	100	120
7765-3040	Contracted Services	19,903	12,901	12,500	12,500	13,500
7765-3045	Field Trips	7,383	5,503	7,500	7,500	7,500
7765-3120	Other Supplies	1,245	1,406	1,000	1,000	1,000
7765-3130	Building Supplies, Keys, Repairs	258	184	200	200	200
7765-3143	Site Supplies	1,600	2,193	1,900	1,900	2,000
7765-3144	Food Stuffs	1,717	1,152	1,000	1,000	1,000
7765-3280	OPEB Obligation Expense	0	0	325	325	325
7765-3300	Conference, Training, Education	128	0	1,000	500	1,000
7765-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	227	343	200	200	200
7765-4004	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	0	9,444	7,065	7,065	13,570
7765-4005	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	1,981	1,952	1,971	1,971	2,268
7765-4007	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Replacement	0	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		45,954	43,263	41,711	41,261	49,683
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		320,554	218,035	235,883	237,736	259,195

SENIOR CITIZEN - THERAPEUTIC PROGRAMS
49100.519

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
7780-8301	Donations	(6,139)	(2,920)	(2,700)	(3,300)	(3,000)
7780-8307	Fundraising - Adult Day Care	(3,825)	(2,011)	(2,000)	(3,200)	(3,000)
7780-8310	Oasis ADC RC Grant		0	0	0	0
7780-8311	Donations - Adult Day Care	(22,357)	(10,322)	(10,000)	(12,000)	(11,000)
7780-8365	Transfer-in From Fund 10200 Dept 108	(99,344)	(79,632)	(70,866)	(91,601)	(94,221)
7780-8470	F.M.A.A.A. Grant - Adult Day Care	(33,182)	(38,141)	(33,368)	(33,368)	(33,368)
7780-8502	County Reimbursement - ADC	(845)	(845)	(845)	(845)	(845)
TOTAL REVENUE		(165,692)	(133,871)	(119,779)	(144,314)	(145,434)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
7780-1010	Salaries - Full-time	46,491	20,238	20,770	21,627	23,283
7780-1020	Salaries / Part-time	46,086	41,728	66,912	66,912	64,816
7780-1030	Salaries / Overtime	215	365	0	778	0
7780-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	14,624	8,158	5,316	9,554	6,340
7780-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	159	71	75	75	84
7780-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	40	15	20	20	20
7780-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	7,082	4,923	7,660	7,660	7,391
7780-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	1,418	920	1,320	1,320	1,327
7780-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	1,035	1,088	2,509	2,509	2,431
7780-2008	Deferred Compensation - Full-time	1,844	830	872	872	978
7780-2009	Unemployment Insurance	1,975	1,516	2,719	2,719	2,299
7780-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	14,179	7,461	7,355	7,355	5,950
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		135,148	87,313	115,529	121,402	114,918
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
7780-3001	Gas and Electric Utilities	3,435	3,173	3,600	3,400	3,600
7780-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	611	545	600	700	700
7780-3012	Advertising - Other	0	0	100	100	100
7780-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	259	293	350	350	300
7780-3040	Contracted Services	11,191	9,247	10,000	10,200	10,500
7780-3120	Other Supplies	1,422	1,919	1,600	1,609	1,800
7780-3130	Building Supplies, Keys, Repairs	0	225	300	300	300
7780-3132	Other Maintenance Supplies	39	0	100	121	100
7780-3143	Site Supplies	903	1,178	1,400	1,200	1,400
7780-3300	Conference, Training, Education	0	20	500	200	500
7780-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	223	219	200	200	200
7780-4004	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	12,999	5,183	10,404	10,404	18,611
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		31,082	22,002	29,155	28,785	38,112
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		166,230	109,315	144,684	150,187	153,030

GRANT/ENTITLEMENT OVERSIGHT

10200.812

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
8900-8334	Interfund Charges - CDBG	0	(140,515)	(143,060)	(143,060)	(130,519)
8900-8335	Interfund Charges - 43600 NSP	(5,541)	(29,979)	(10,000)	(10,000)	(10,000)
8900-8336	Interfund Charges - DAR	(37,150)	(92,314)	(83,938)	(83,938)	(92,332)
8900-8337	Interfund Charges - MAX	(37,150)	(92,314)	(83,938)	(83,938)	(92,332)
8900-8338	Interfund Charges - Cal Home	(912)	0	(35,918)	(35,918)	(53,877)
8900-8339	Interfund Charges - HOME	(2,853)	(2,951)	(17,959)	(17,959)	(15,000)
8900-8368	Trans in from Fund 41400 Pkg Dist	0	0	(7,709)	(7,709)	(7,709)
8900-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue -	(50,000)	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(133,606)	(358,073)	(382,522)	(382,522)	(401,769)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
8900-1010	Salaries/Full-time	190,873	210,935	216,526	146,635	265,964
8900-1020	Salaries/Part-time	805	3,015	0	0	0
8900-1030	Salaries/Overtime	611	450	0	500	0
8900-1040	Salaries/Leave Payout	1,100	0	1,874	2,646	8,992
8900-1060	Salaries - Auto and Expense Allowance	0	0	0	0	1,500
8900-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	34,189	54,839	46,663	45,140	61,413
8900-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	643	744	779	779	957
8900-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	202	211	232	232	282
8900-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	14,723	17,193	18,917	11,355	22,312
8900-2005	Medicare Tax -Employer's Share	3,082	3,195	3,238	3,238	4,168
8900-2007	Deferred Compensation/Part-time	30	113	0	0	0
8900-2008	Deferred Compensation/Full-time	3,898	4,818	4,938	4,938	7,385
8900-2009	Unemployment Insurance	1,005	977	874	874	1,000
8900-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	49,663	61,262	61,371	32,215	64,720
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		300,824	357,752	355,412	248,552	438,692
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
8900-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	1,272	1,313	1,500	1,500	1,500
8900-3016	Office Supplies-Expendable	1,266	2,648	1,500	1,500	1,500
8900-3017	Software Costs	0	0	2,988	2,988	1,972
8900-3040	Contracted Services	677	3,233	0	0	0
8900-3300	Conference, Training, Education	199	0	0	0	0
8900-4000	Interfund Charges - Fac.Maint.	0	3,224	1,488	1,488	1,488
8900-4002	Transfers to Other Depts.-Central Supply	0	289	300	300	300
8900-4018	Interfund Charges - Computer Maint.	5,400	8,515	8,913	8,913	11,603
8900-4020	Interfund Charges-Computer Replacement	0	0	1,106	1,106	1,106
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		8,814	19,222	17,794	17,794	19,468
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		309,638	376,974	373,207	266,347	458,161

CDBG - PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT/CAPITAL PROJECTS

10221.433

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2217-8355	Transfer-In	(700,000)	0	0	0	0
0000-8434	Grant	0	0	0	0	(555,656)
2217-8454	C.D.B.G. Carryover Entitlement	(632,977)	(514,287)	0	0	0
2217-8455	C.D.B.G. Current Yr. Entitlement	(162,439)	(557,303)	(628,808)	(65,238)	0
2217-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	0	0	0	0	0
2217-8662	Reimbursement - RDA to City	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		(1,495,416)	(1,071,590)	(628,808)	(65,238)	(555,656)
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY						
2230-4000	Interfund Charges - Other	0	155,133	563,570	0	0
2217-5044	Parks ADA Improvement	0	0	65,238	0	0
2217-5072	Sewer Mains, Package 2 - S-02	0	0	700,000	700,000	0
2217-5076	Sunrise Rotary Sports Cmplx Impmts-PK-13	2,125	2,170	67,250	0	0
2230-7000	Operating Transfer to Other Funds	653,854	400,000	0	0	163,570
2230-7607	Pan Am Comm Center Parking Lot	17,647	0	0	0	0
2231-7601	Millview Sports Complex	2,125	0	0	0	0
2290-7601	Centennial Park Pool Improv.	3,100	0	0	0	0
0000-0000	Fire Engine/Other Public Improvement	0	0	0	0	392,086
2290-3096	Program Support-MAD Co. EDC	0	50,000	0	0	0
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY		678,851	607,303	1,396,058	700,000	555,656
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		678,851	607,303	1,396,058	700,000	555,656

**CDBG - PUBLIC SERVICES
10221.803**

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2216-8455	C.D.B.G. Current Yr. Entitlement	(123,882)	(120,142)	(134,633)	(134,633)	(134,633)
	TOTAL REVENUE	(123,882)	(120,142)	(134,633)	(134,633)	(134,633)
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2230-3070	Program Support Madera Co. Workforce Assistance	14,262	20,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
2230-3071	Program Support MCCJ	5,943	4,999	10,000	10,000	10,000
2231-7000	Transfer to Parks Sr Meals Dept 518	117,745	95,143	111,633	111,633	111,633
2230-3102	Program Support - Food Bank	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	137,950	120,142	134,633	134,633	134,633
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	137,950	120,142	134,633	134,633	134,633

CDBG - ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS

10221.805

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2365-8455	C.D.B.G. Current Yr. Entitlement	(101,023)	(178,858)	(179,511)	(163,698)	(166,970)
	TOTAL REVENUE	(101,023)	(178,858)	(179,511)	(163,698)	(166,970)
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2365-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	0	0	500	500	500
2365-3011	Advertising - Bids and Legal Notices	2,684	338	750	1,750	750
2365-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	1,322	257	1,250	1,214	1,250
2365-3017	Software Costs	1,218	0	2,500	2,500	2,500
2365-3040	Contracted Services	1,159	39,037	14,190	963	14,190
2365-3072	Program Support CAPMC Fresno/Madera	11,885	13,318	13,511	13,511	13,511
2365-3096	Program Support - Mad. ADA Adv Council	1,513	0	0	0	0
2365-3300	Conference, Training, Education	1,360	385	3,750	200	3,750
2365-4003	Interfund Charges - Cost Distribution	125,934	140,515	0	0	0
2365-4022	Interfund Charge - To Dept 812	0	0	143,060	143,060	130,519
2365-7000	Transfer to Grant Oversight - Dept 812	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	147,075	193,850	179,511	163,698	166,970
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	147,075	193,850	179,511	163,698	166,970

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - CODE ENFORCEMENT

10800.414

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2380-8076	Abandoned Property Registration fees	(6,050)	(7,040)	(10,000)	(4,750)	(10,000)
2380-8203	Background Check Service Fee	(575)	(475)	(300)	(1,000)	(300)
2380-8227	Vacant Building Ordinance	(2,305)	(6,245)	(4,500)	(2,500)	(4,500)
2380-8228	Graffiti Ordinance	(1,179)	(964)	0	(511)	0
2380-8355	Transfer-In - Gen. Fund	(150,000)	0	0	(45,318)	(480,395)
2380-8551	Fines and Penalties for Violations	(478,932)	(511,630)	(425,000)	(600,000)	(680,000)
2380-8554	Vehicle Abatement Fee	(48,640)	(50,389)	(48,000)	(55,000)	(48,000)
2380-8556	Foreclosure Revenues	(45,892)	(60,481)	(45,000)	(46,000)	(45,000)
2380-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue	0	0	0	(672)	0
2380-8659	Refunds and Reimbursements	(947)	(1,295)	0	(5,345)	0
2380-8682	Collection Recovery-Code Enf.	(35,670)	(114,482)	(30,000)	0	0
2380-8684	Cost Recovery for Weed Abatement	0	0	(65,000)	(1,306)	(18,000)
2381-8334	Interfund Charge - CDBG	0	0	(400,000)	(400,000)	0
2381-8355	Transfer-In	(9,162)	0	0	0	0
2381-8363	Transfer In from 10221	(467,850)	(400,000)	0	0	0
	TOTAL REVENUE	(1,247,202)	(1,153,001)	(1,027,800)	(1,162,402)	(1,286,195)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2425-1010	Salaries / Full-time	324,717	425,111	528,790	465,400	519,639
2425-1020	Salaries / Part-time	154,080	81,950	119,547	86,000	84,443
2425-1030	Salaries / Overtime	654	513	0	0	0
2425-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	10,414	27,408	9,241	28,000	11,618
2425-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	960	750	1,000	750	1,000
2425-1060	Vehicle Allowance	1,498	0	0	0	0
2425-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	71,820	88,344	123,696	108,868	130,087
2425-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	986	1,399	1,786	1,572	1,713
2425-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	344	475	593	522	542
2425-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	36,158	41,989	56,242	49,500	50,760
2425-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	7,580	8,092	9,959	9,959	9,235
2425-2007	Deferred Compensation / Part-time	2,421	2,549	2,486	2,486	2,505
2425-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	20,461	30,794	33,573	33,573	17,717
2425-2009	Unemployment Insurance	4,417	4,377	4,188	4,188	3,175
2425-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	81,947	110,669	172,297	172,297	173,610
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	718,455	824,420	1,063,399	963,114	1,006,045
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2425-3001	Gas and Electric Utilities	10,250	21,389	11,000	21,000	21,000
2425-3002	Telephone and Fax Charges	5,648	8,763	7,000	9,000	8,000
2425-3011	Advertising - Bids and Legal Notices	910	0	1,000	100	1,000
2425-3014	Professional Dues	75	75	375	0	375
2425-3015	Publications and Subscriptions	239	325	250	875	250
2425-3016	Office Supplies - Expendable	4,732	3,807	8,000	2,000	6,000
2425-3017	Software Costs	0	0	0	0	0
2425-3018	Postage / Other Mailing Charges	10,831	13,876	13,000	13,000	13,000
2425-3020	Mileage Reimbursement	149	49	500	100	500
2425-3025	Vehicle Fuel, Supplies & Maintenance	5,290	7,613	18,000	3,500	10,000
2425-3037	Weed Abatement Expense	0	0	65,000	721	18,000
2425-3040	Contracted Services	64,532	61,507	104,000	30,000	80,000
2425-3050	Bad Debt Expense	73,679	0	3,000	(12)	
2425-3060	Pre-employment Health Screening	339	0	0	175	0
2425-3120	Other Supplies	5,803	1,729	23,500	5,000	13,500
2425-3130	Building Supplies, Keys, Repairs	6,218	451	3,000	275	3,000
2425-3138	Tool Replacement Cost	490	215	1,000	200	1,000
2425-3260	Liability / Property Insurance	84	0	0	0	0
2425-3300	Conference, Training, Education	1,388	4,162	7,000	900	7,000

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - CODE ENFORCEMENT (continued)
10800.414


ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS - continued						
2425-4002	Interfund Charges - Central Supply	765	499	600	600	600
2425-4004	Interfund Charges - Admin. Overhead	123,221	0	0	0	0
2425-4005	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Repairs	19,481	16,777	19,494	19,494	19,493
2425-4006	Interfund Charges - New Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0
2425-4007	Interfund Charges - Vehicle Replacement	0	11,067	13,567	13,567	0
2425-4018	Interfund Charges-Computer Maint.	26,258	26,258	56,447	56,447	73,485
2425-4020	Interfund Charges-Computer Rplcmt	3,312	3,312	14,616	14,616	14,616
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		363,693	181,874	370,349	191,558	290,819
CAPITAL OUTLAY						
2425-5015	Auto and Truck - New	91,279	16,188	0	0	0
2425-6002	Lease Payment	0	0	7,730	7,730	0
TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY		91,279	16,188	7,730	7,730	0
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1,173,427	1,022,482	1,441,478	1,162,402	1,296,864

LEA TIRE GRANT
10865.432

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2427-8428	Current Year Allocation-LEA Grant	(18,139)	(9,196)	(18,338)	(18,338)	(18,338)
	TOTAL REVENUE	(18,139)	(9,196)	(18,338)	(18,338)	(18,338)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2427-1010	Salaries / Full-time	6,088	5,912	6,335	6,335	6,335
2427-1020	Salaries / Part-time	1,263	0	0	0	0
2427-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	40	0	0	0	0
2427-1050	Salaries / Uniform Pay	40	0	0	0	0
2427-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	3,356	1,584	1,621	1,621	1,725
2427-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	24	18	23	23	23
2427-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	7	6	0	0	0
2427-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	576	475	553	553	531
2427-2005	Medicare Tax-Employer's Share	112	92	96	96	96
2427-2008	Deferred Compensation/Full-time	256	250	266	266	266
2427-2009	Unemployment Insurance	25	0	247	247	215
2427-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	1,831	1,329	2,221	2,221	2,607
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	13,620	9,666	11,363	11,363	11,799
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2427-3120	Other Supplies	0	98	205	205	205
2427-3300	Conference, Training, Education	375	0	6,956	6,956	6,956
	TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS	375	98	7,161	7,161	7,161
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	13,995	9,764	18,524	18,524	18,960

TIRE AMNESTY GRANT
10865.436

ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	FY13/14 ACTUALS	FY14/15 ACTUALS	FY15/16 ADOPTED	FY15/16 ESTIMATE	FY16/17 PROPOSED
REVENUE						
2428-8355	Transfer-In	0	0	0	0	0
2428-8455	Tire Amnesty Grant	0	0	(39,649)	(39,649)	(39,649)
2428-8657	Miscellaneous Revenue	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL REVENUE		0	0	(39,649)	(39,649)	(39,649)
SALARIES AND BENEFITS						
2428-1010	Salaries / Full-time	3,177	4,582	5,280	5,280	5,280
2428-1020	Salaries / Part-time	449	240	0	0	0
2428-1030	Salaries / Overtime	154	83	0	0	0
2428-1040	Salaries - Leave Payout	48	362	0	0	0
2428-2000	Public Employees Retirement System	2,331	3,812	1,351	1,351	1,438
2428-2002	Long Term Disability Insurance	10	21	19	19	19
2428-2003	Life Insurance Premiums	3	6	0	0	0
2428-2004	Worker's Compensation Insurance	327	442	461	461	443
2428-2005	Medicare Tax - Employer's Share	69	89	80	80	80
2428-2008	Deferred Compensation / Full-time	152	217	222	222	222
2428-2009	Unemployment Insurance	0	0	206	206	180
2428-2010	Section 125 Benefit Allow.	727	1,368	1,851	1,851	2,173
TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS		7,447	11,222	9,470	9,470	9,834
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS						
2428-3012	Advertising - Other	1,701	1,628	4,318	4,318	4,318
2428-3040	Contracted Services	21,408	7,800	25,545	25,545	25,545
2428-3120	Other Supplies	939	592	665	665	665
TOTAL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS		24,048	10,020	30,528	30,528	30,528
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		31,495	21,242	39,998	39,998	40,362



REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

Approved by:



Department Director



City Administrator

Council Meeting of: June 1, 2016

Agenda Number: E-2

SUBJECT: Presentation of the Preliminary City of Madera Internal Services and Special Revenue Fund Budgets for Fiscal Year 2016/2017

RECOMMENDATION: No action recommended. Informational only.

DISCUSSION: This is the fourth of four preliminary presentations to the City Council pertaining to the City of Madera Fiscal Year 2016/2017 Budgets. A special meeting has been scheduled this evening for a budget workshop and review all proposed City budgets in further detail. The final budget presentation will be given to Council for consideration of approval at the July 6th City Council meeting.

The final Preliminary Budgets being presented to Council this evening are the Internal Services and Special Revenue Budgets. No action is requested of Council on this agenda item, this evening.

SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

Unlike the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds are comprised of restricted funds. Such funds can only be spent for specific purposes as mandated by the funding sources. Included in this group are grants and entitlements. The Special Revenue Funds currently available for the coming fiscal year are as listed in the enclosed Exhibit A. The total available revenue for FY: 2016/2017 is anticipated to be \$13,377,931, and the total expenditures are anticipated to be \$11,711,904. The remaining revenues are expected to be reprogrammed for the future.

Some of the Special Revenue funds such as Measure "T," Gas Tax, and the Local Transportation Fund have a significant impact on the City's operating budget. These funds provide for the maintenance of streets, sidewalks, gutters, and bike lanes within city limits. A portion of the Measure "T" funding also supports transit operations. During FY: 2016/2017, \$961,368 from the Gas Tax and \$768,672 from Measure "T" will be transferred into the Public Works Department for various streets repairs and maintenance as well as for minor streets projects within the city limits.

The City also receives funding from the Department of Housing and Community Development to provide housing assistance for the citizens of the City of Madera provided that they qualify in accordance with income guidelines provided by the HUD/HOME program. The funding

available for FY: 2016/2017 is approximately \$2,680,000. This funding is targeted to provide assistance for four manufactured housing purchases, rehabilitation of 28 owner-occupied residences, and first time home buyer down payment assistance for one individual.

In addition to the programs and projects described herein above, the Special Revenue Funds provide for other non-major programs including Senior Services, Park Development, Supplemental Public Safety, Developer Impact Fees, and Landscape, Lighting and Maintenance District.

INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS

The City of Madera has three (3) Internal Service (IS) Funds. They are the Fleet, Facilities Maintenance and Technology Funds. These Funds provide and charge for services to all City departments that benefit from their services. The IS Funds not only provide maintenance services. They also help the departments to set aside funds for the future replacement of equipment, when it becomes more cost-effective to replace that equipment than to maintain it. Doing so helps to spread the replacement costs evenly over the proper timeframe and ensures that funds are available for the intended purpose when replacement becomes necessary. Staff has developed and refined schedules for adequate maintenance and replacement of equipment in the Fleet and Technology Fund, and those schedules have been used to create the 16/17 budget proposals. A similar methodology should be considered for implementation by the Facilities Maintenance Fund in the future, to ensure that funds are available to replace essential equipment and fixtures within facilities, before their useful lives are over.

Internal Service (IS) Funds are not always expected to balance current year revenues and expenditures, because they set aside funds for equipment replacement or projects each year and in those years that equipment is replaced or projects are completed, the expenditures may be more than current year revenues. In the years when there are less projects or purchases, the revenues will exceed expenditures and help to build up the reserve from which such projects or equipment purchases are funded. A summary of the proposed Internal Service Fund budgets is attached to this report, as Exhibit B. In total, the IS Funds project a \$34,000 deficit in FY 16/17, due entirely to higher expenditures on vehicle replacements in the Fleet Department than is being collected for that purpose in Fiscal Year 2016/2017. In some years, the Fleet Fund will collect more than it expends on vehicle replacements. In other years, it will collect less than it expends. However, Fleet has approximately \$1 million in reserves and will continue to consistently collect sufficient charges from departments to ensure that funding is available to replace their vehicles when needed.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN: The presentation of this item is consistent with Strategy 115 of the Vision Plan - Economic Resource Provision: Ensure sufficient economic resources to provide adequate City services and prepare for future growth. It is also in line with funding core services as articulated by the Vision Madera 2025 Plan

SUMMARY OF SPECIAL REVENUE FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES - EXHIBIT A

	15/16	16/17	S&B	S&B	M&O	M&O	Subtotal	Cap Outlay	Cap Outlay	Total Expense	Total Expense	%
	Budget	Budget	15/16	16/17	15/16	16/17	16/17	15/16	16/17	15/16	16/17	Chng
	Revenue	Revenue	Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget	Operations	Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget	
FUND: 21229 - Transportation Fixed Route												
Proposition 1B PTMISEA	(2,228,473)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,228,473	0	2,228,473	0	
TOTAL FUND 21229	(2,228,473)	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,228,473	0	2,228,473	0	
										(Surplus)/Deficit	0	0
FUNDS: 40800-45300 General Development Impact Fees												
Undesignated	(2,135,096)	(1,976,748)	0	0	574,754	324,754	324,754	4,619,070	765,700	5,193,824	1,090,454	-81%
TOTAL FUNDS 40800-45300	(2,135,096)	(1,976,748)	0	0	574,754	324,754	324,754	4,619,070	765,700	5,193,824	1,090,454	-81%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	3,058,728	(886,294)
FUND: 41300 - Special Gas Tax												
Special Gas Tax - Street Maintenance	(1,334,500)	(1,312,368)	0	0	2,132,000	1,771,368	1,771,368	0	0	2,132,000	1,771,368	-17%
RSTP - Federal Exchange	(648,980)	(680,483)	0	0	0	0	0	942,641	647,000	942,641	647,000	-31%
State Transportation Improv Program	(886,702)	(75,000)	0	0	0	0	0	886,702	75,000	886,702	75,000	-92%
Proposition 1B SLPP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUND 41300	(2,870,182)	(2,067,851)	0	0	2,132,000	1,771,368	1,771,368	1,829,343	722,000	3,961,343	2,493,368	-37%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	1,091,161	425,517
FUND: 41500 - Local Sales Tax												
Measure A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,128,198	0	1,128,198	0	
Measure T	(1,860,972)	(1,896,873)	0	0	0	0	0	2,692,705	1,184,496	2,692,705	1,184,496	-56%
TOTAL FUND 41500	(1,860,972)	(1,896,873)	0	0	0	0	0	3,820,903	1,184,496	3,820,903	1,184,496	-69%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	1,959,931	(712,377)
TOTAL MAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS	(9,094,723)	(5,941,472)	0	0	2,706,754	2,096,122	2,096,122	12,497,789	2,380,196	15,204,543	4,476,318	-71%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	6,109,820	(1,465,154)
FUND: 41000 - Park Development												
Parks Development Activities	(789,419)	(95,275)	0	0	0	0	0	789,419	95,275	789,419	95,275	-88%
TOTAL FUND 41000	(789,419)	(95,275)	0	0	0	0	0	789,419	95,275	789,419	95,275	-88%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	0	0
FUND: 41100 - Intermodal Bldg												
Intermodal Building Activities	(94,922)	(119,961)	8,261	9,136	104,872	105,910	115,047	0	0	113,132	115,047	2%
Proposition 1B PTMISEA-CalOES	(129,609)	0	0	0	0	0	0	129,609	0	129,609	0	
TOTAL FUND 41100	(224,531)	(119,961)	8,261	9,136	104,872	105,910	115,047	129,609	0	242,741	115,047	-53%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	18,210	(4,914)
FUND: 41400 - Parking Dist Op												
Parking District Operations	(43,498)	(46,000)	10,601	11,509	38,421	27,816	39,325	0	0	49,022	39,325	-20%
TOTAL FUND 41400	(43,498)	(46,000)	10,601	11,509	38,421	27,816	39,325	0	0	49,022	39,325	-20%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	5,524	(6,675)
FUND: 41600 - Business Imp Dist												
Madera Downtown BID	(27,240)	(29,292)	0	0	29,280	29,373	29,373	0	0	29,280	29,373	0%
TOTAL FUND 41600	(27,240)	(29,292)	0	0	29,280	29,373	29,373	0	0	29,280	29,373	0%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	2,040	81
FUND: 41700 - Federal Aid Urban												
F.A.U. CNG Projects	(214,748)	0	0	0	0	0	0	214,748	0	214,748	0	
F.A.U. Parks & Pedestrian Projects	(1,059,775)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,059,775	0	1,059,775	0	
F.A.U. Streets Improvement Projects	(1,800,515)	(606,000)	0	0	0	0	0	1,800,515	606,000	1,800,515	606,000	-66%
Bridge Preventive Maint. BPMP	(7,825)	(25,674)	0	0	0	0	0	7,825	25,674	7,825	25,674	228%
TOTAL FUND 41700	(3,082,863)	(631,674)	0	0	0	0	0	3,082,863	631,674	3,082,863	631,674	-80%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	0	0
FUND: 42000 - Local Transportation												
L.T.F. - St. Improvement Projects	(2,774,176)	(1,623,739)	0	0	412,000	424,000	424,000	2,253,128	438,000	2,665,128	862,000	-68%
L.T.F. Parks/Bike Path Projects	(138,762)	(32,475)	0	0	0	0	0	149,169	32,475	149,169	32,475	-78%
TOTAL FUND 42000	(2,912,938)	(1,656,214)	0	0	412,000	424,000	424,000	2,402,297	470,475	2,814,297	894,475	-68%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	(98,641)	(761,739)

SUMMARY OF SPECIAL REVENUE FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES - EXHIBIT A (continued)

	15/16	16/17	S&B	S&B	M&O	M&O	Subtotal	Cap Outlay	Cap Outlay	Total Expense	Total Expense	%
	Budget	Budget	15/16	16/17	15/16	16/17	16/17	15/16	16/17	15/16	16/17	Chng
	Revenue	Revenue	Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget	Operations	Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget	
FUND: 43600 - NSP3 Program												
HOME ARRA-NSP. Activity	(1,000,000)	(371,825)	0	0	548,500	324,500	324,500	451,500	0	1,000,000	324,500	-68%
TOTAL FUND 43600	(1,000,000)	(371,825)	0	0	548,500	324,500	324,500	451,500	0	1,000,000	324,500	-68%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	0	(47,325)
FUND: 44000 - Housing Program												
HOME REHABILITATION PROGRAM	(1,000,000)	(700,000)	0	0	1,000,000	700,000	700,000	0	0	1,000,000	700,000	
HOME Reuse Activity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CALHOME DAP Program	(500,000)	(31,704)	0	0	500,000	50,313	50,313	0	0	500,000	50,313	-90%
CALHOME Rehabilitation Program	(1,000,000)	(1,929,918)	0	0	1,000,000	1,929,918	1,929,918	0	0	1,000,000	1,929,918	93%
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL FUND 44000	(2,500,000)	(2,661,622)	0	0	2,500,000	2,680,231	2,680,231	0	0	2,500,000	2,680,231	7%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	0	18,609
FUND: 47700 - Supp Law Enforce												
Police Activity - SLESF	(100,000)	(100,000)	0	0	70,000	100,000	100,000	25,000	0	95,000	100,000	5%
TOTAL FUND 47700	(100,000)	(100,000)	0	0	70,000	100,000	100,000	25,000	0	95,000	100,000	5%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	(5,000)	0
FUND: 47800 - Local Law Enforce												
Police Activity - JAG	(35,478)	(35,478)	0	0	0	0	0	35,478	35,478	35,478	35,478	0%
TOTAL FUND 47800	(35,478)	(35,478)	0	0	0	0	0	35,478	35,478	35,478	35,478	0%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	0	0
FUND: 47900 - DUI Enforce												
DUI Enforcement & Awareness	(100,000)	0	101,027	0	0	0	0	0	0	101,027	0	
TOTAL FUND 47900	(100,000)	0	101,027	0	0	0	0	0	0	101,027	0	
										(Surplus)/Deficit	1,027	0
FUND: 48000 - Comm Fac Dist												
CFD 2005-1, City-Wide Services	(269,000)	(269,000)	0	0	507,356	507,663	507,663	0	0	507,356	507,663	0%
CFD 2006-1, KB Home	(185,000)	(185,000)	0	0	180,631	183,721	183,721	0	0	180,631	183,721	2%
TOTAL FUND 48000	(454,000)	(454,000)	0	0	687,987	691,384	691,384	0	0	687,987	691,384	0%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	233,987	237,384
												1%
FUND: 48500 - CFD Debt												
CFD Debt Fund - 2006 Bonds	(174,570)	(177,720)	0	0	172,970	176,120	176,120	0	0	172,970	176,120	2%
TOTAL FUND 48500	(174,570)	(177,720)	0	0	172,970	176,120	176,120	0	0	172,970	176,120	2%
FUND: 49100 - Sr Citizen Services												
Community Services Operations	(226,562)	(252,178)	194,172	209,512	41,711	49,683	259,195	0	0	235,883	259,195	10%
Therapeutic Programs	(119,779)	(145,434)	115,529	114,918	29,155	38,112	153,030	0	0	144,684	153,030	6%
TOTAL FUND 49100	(346,341)	(397,612)	309,700	324,430	70,866	87,795	412,225	0	0	380,566	412,225	8%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	34,225	14,613
												-57%
FUND: 80200 - Park Fac Debt Svs												
Park Facilities - Lease Adm	(194,257)	(194,257)	0	0	194,257	194,257	194,257	0	0	194,257	194,257	0%
TOTAL FUND 80200	(194,257)	(194,257)	0	0	194,257	194,257	194,257	0	0	194,257	194,257	0%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	0	0
FUND: 45XXX Landscape Assmt Dists												
Various Departments	(465,529)	(465,529)	0	0	524,222	524,222	524,222	0	0	524,222	524,222	0%
TOTAL FUND 45XXX	(465,529)	(465,529)	0	0	524,222	524,222	524,222	0	0	524,222	524,222	0%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	58,693	58,693
												0%
TOTAL NON-MAJOR SPEC REV FUNDS	(12,450,664)	(7,436,459)	429,588	345,075	5,353,375	5,365,609	5,710,684	6,786,557	1,232,902	12,699,129	6,943,586	-45%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	248,466	(492,873)
TOTAL SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS	(21,545,387)	(13,377,931)	429,588	345,075	8,060,129	7,461,731	7,806,806	19,284,346	3,905,098	27,903,672	11,711,904	-59%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	(1,666,027)	-14.2%

SUMMARY OF INTERNAL SERVICE FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES - EXHIBIT B

	15/16	16/17	S&B	S&B	M&O	M&O	Subtotal	Capital Outl:	Cap. Outlay	Total Exp.	Total Exp.	%
	Budget	Budget	15/16	16/17	15/16	16/17	Operations	15/16	16/17	15/16	16/17	Chng
	Revenue	Revenue	Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget		Budget	Budget	Budget	Budget	
FUND: 30700 - Fleet												
Equipment Maintenance	(937,282)	(1,069,506)	443,888	502,834	573,187	615,921	1,118,755	0	0	1,017,075	1,118,755	10%
Equipment Acquisition	(723,103)	(696,117)	0	0	0	0	0	802,900	937,100	802,900	937,100	17%
TOTAL FUND 30700	(1,660,385)	(1,765,623)	443,888	502,834	573,187	615,921	1,118,755	802,900	937,100	1,819,975	2,055,855	13%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	159,589	290,232
FUND: 40500 - Facilities Mtnc												
Public Works - Fac. Maint	(1,643,516)	(1,934,390)	716,119	891,854	928,422	678,810	1,570,665	0	0	1,644,541	1,570,665	-4%
TOTAL FUND 40500	(1,643,516)	(1,934,390)	716,119	891,854	928,422	678,810	1,570,665	0	0	1,644,541	1,570,665	-4%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	1,024	(363,726)
FUND: 40700 - Technology												
Computer Maint & Replace	(914,397)	(1,145,471)	463,657	530,136	284,536	457,606	987,742	165,000	265,000	913,193	1,252,742	37%
TOTAL FUND 40700	(914,397)	(1,145,471)	463,657	530,136	284,536	457,606	987,742	165,000	265,000	913,193	1,252,742	37%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	(1,204)	107,271
TOTAL INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS	(4,218,299)	(4,845,485)	1,623,664	1,924,824	1,786,144	1,752,337	3,677,162	967,900	1,202,100	4,377,708	4,879,262	11%
										(Surplus)/Deficit	159,409	33,777

REPORT TO CITY COUNCIL

MEETING DATE: June 1, 2016


AGENDA ITEM NUMBER: E-3

Approved By:



PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR

CITY ADMINISTRATOR



SUBJECT: Weekly Water Conservation Report – May 16th – May 22nd


RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends that the Council review the attached weekly report of water conservation activities and progress in reducing residential water consumption.

SUMMARY/ DISCUSSION: As illustrated in the graph below the City’s conservation rate took a slight dip in the previous week but rebounded this week to match our 26% goal.

Below is the most current water conservation data.



Below is the weekly and cumulative water conservation including the latest data.



		Enforcement	
120	Public Contacts	24	1st offenses (\$75)
1	Verbal warning	0	2nd offenses (\$250)
37	Correction Notices	0	3rd or more offense (\$500)

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The expenses for implementing and administering these water conservation activities occur within the Water Fund and do not impact the General Fund.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE VISION MADERA 2025 PLAN:

The proposed action is not specifically addressed as part of the Plan, but is not in conflict with it and is sympathetic of the underlying principles of the 2025 Plan.