

Public Works Department

1030 S. Gateway Drive, Madera, CA 93637 TEL (559) 661-5466

TO: City of Madera Water Customers

RE: CITY OF MADERA 2015 WATER SYSTEM CONSUMER CONFIDENCE REPORT

Este informe contiene información muy importante sobre su agua potable. Traduzcalo, o habla con alguien que lo entiende bien.

The City of Madera's water production <u>has no water quality contamination issues, including lead contamination</u>.

- Because of the recent problems in Flint Michigan, people may ask if Madera's water supply has lead contamination problems.
- It does not, the City's water system is tested every month and special tests for lead contamination from pipes in local homes are done every three years.
- This year older homes were tested that could have a potential of having lead contamination due to lead solder used in a house's plumbing joints and lead leaching from pipes and older brass fitting. There were no high levels found.
- The tests are performed to verify that there are not any substantial risks that we can identify. The previous lead testing on houses five years ago did not find levels that are of concern. (above 15 PPB)

If present, elevated levels of lead can cause serious health problems, especially for pregnant women and young children. Lead in drinking water is primarily from materials and components associated with service lines and home plumbing. The City of Madera is responsible for providing high quality drinking water, but cannot control the variety of materials used in plumbing components in your homes. When your water has been sitting for several hours, you can minimize the potential for lead exposure by flushing your tap for 30 seconds to 2 minutes before using water for drinking or cooking. If you are concerned about lead in your water, you may wish to have your water tested. Information on lead in drinking water, testing methods, and steps you can take to minimize exposure is available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline or at http://www.epa.gov/safewater/lead.

The City of Madera is required by the State Water Resources Control Board to report annually to all customers regarding water quality. The enclosed report summarizes water quality sample results for 2015. You may also view this report on the City of Madera's web site www.cityofmadera.org. All samples were collected from eighteen groundwater wells. Minimum, maximum, and average values are listed for all elements that were detected.

Significant time and expense by the City ensures that consumers are provided with water that meets or exceeds drinking water standards. The City's stringent testing program is in full compliance with State and Federal requirements.

Questions regarding this report should be directed to John Botwright, Water Quality Specialist, of the City Water Quality Division at (559) 661-5465.

David Randall Public Works Director

CITY OF MADERA WATER QUALITY REPORT 2015

		PHG	F	RANGE	OF					
Primary Standards	MCL	(MCLG)	D	ETECTI	ON A	AVERAGE	U.O.M.	TYPICAL	SOURCE OF CONTAMINANT	
Arsenic	10.00	0.004	N/D	TO	6.40	0.98			atural deposits; runoff from orchards; glass and	
			-						production wastes.	
Barium	1000.00	2000.00	N/D	TO	140.00	7.78	ug/L	Discharges of	of oil drilling wastes and from metal refineries;	
				•				erosion of na	atural deposits.	
Nitrate (as NO3)	45.00	45.00	1.40	TO	22.00	6.77	mg/L	Runoff from	fertilizer use; leaching from septic tanks and sewage	
,				•				erosion of na	atural deposits.	
Dibromochloropropane (DBCP)*	0.2	0.0017	N/D	TO	1.40	0.22	ug/L	Runoff/leach	ing from soil fumigant used on soybeans, cotton,	
								pineapples, a	and orchards.	
Chlorine Residual	4.0	4.0	0.1	TO	0.8	0.24	mg/L	Drinking wat	er disinfectant added for prcautionary disinfection	
Total Coliform Bacteria	More than		Am	ount dete	ected					
[Total Coliform Rule] % positive	5% of	0				NI/A	NO	Noturally pro	agent in the environment	
samples	samples are	U		0		N/A	VIOLATIONS	ivaturally pre	esent in the environment	
•	positive									
Secondary Standards					•		•	-		
Aluminum	200		0.00	TO	55.00	3.06	ug/L	Erosion of na	atural deposits; residual from surface water treatment	
Iron	300		0.00	TO	480.00	26.67	ug/L		m natural deposits; industrial wastes	
Chloride	500.00		14.00	TO	41.00	21.39	mg/L		ning from natural deposits; seawater influence.	
Color	15.00		N/D	TO	5.00	1.00	units			
Odor	3.00		N/D	TO	0.00	0.00	units		Naturally-occurring organic materials Naturally occurring organic materials.	
pH (Laboratory)	6.5 - 8.5		6.10	TO	8.10		Std. units	INALUIANIY OCC	curring organic materials.	
Specific Conductance	1600.00		200.00	TO	560.00	272.78	umho/cm	Cubetenes	that form ions when in water; seawater influence.	
Total Filterable Residue (TDS)	1000.00		170.00	TO	380.00				·	
						207.22	mg/L	1	hing from natural deposits.	
Sulfate	500.00		3.40	TO	28.00	7.54	mg/L		ning from natural deposits; industrial wastes.	
Lab Turbity	5.00		N/D	TO	3.10	0.28	NTU	_	a measure of the cloudiness of the water. We monitor it	
									a good indicator of water quality. High turbidity can	
								hinder the ef	fectiveness of disinfectants.	
General Minerals										
Bicarbonate	N/A		77.00	TO	260.00	116.28	mg/L			
Calcium	N/A		13.00	TO	52.00	21.17	mg/L			
Fluoride	20000.00	1000.00	N/D	TO	1.70	0.87	ug/L	Erosion of na	atural deposits; water additive that promotes strong	
	İ							teeth; discha	arge from fertilizer and aluminum factories.	
Magnesium	N/A		3.80	TO	15.00	6.41	mg/L			
Potassium	N/A		N/D	TO	7.50	2.85	mg/L	1		
Sodium	N/A		19.00	TO	44.00	25.67	mg/L			
Total Alkalinity	N/A		63.00	TO	210.00	95.89	mg/L			
Total Hardness (as CaCO3)	N/A		49.00	TO	190.00	79.22	mg/L			
MBAS	0.50		N/D	TO	0.050	0.003	mg/L	Municipal an	d industrial waste discharges	
Organics	0.00		, _		0.000	0.000	9/ =	mamorpar an	a madema made disentingse	
	5.00	0.00	N/D	Τ0	04.00	0.00				
Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	5.00	0.06	N/D	TO	21.00	0.00	ug/L	-	om factories, dry cleaners and auto shops	
Do Baradalo.								(metal degre	easer)	
Radioactivity										
Gross Alpha	15.00		N/D	TO	6.07	0.71	pCi/L		atural and man-made deposits	
Uranium	20.00	0.43	0.00	TO	1.15	0.40	pCi/L	Erosion of na	atural deposits	
Unregulated Organics										
Vanadium	N/A	50.00	11.00	TO	30.00	20.67	ug/L	1		
tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)	N/A	22.20	N/D	TO	0.00	0.00	ug/L	1		
Unregulated Inorganics					. 0.00	0.00	y-			
Hexavalent Chromium VI	0.10		N/D	TO	3.20	1.38	ug/L	N/A	٦	
STAGE 2 DBPR Monitoring	0.10		IV/D	10	3.20	1.00	ug/L	1.4/ 🔼		
	00.00	NI/A	N/D	T0	0.50	0.50	//	0.00.45	Demonstrat of deighing materials	
Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM) (ug/L)	80.00	N/A	N/D	TO	0.50	0.50		8-26-15	Byproduct of drinking water chlorination	
Haloacetic Acids (HAA5) (ug/L)	60.00	N/A	N/D	TO	0.00	0.00	ug/L	8-26-15	Byproduct of drinking water chlorination	
LEAD AND COPPER										
Contaminant	No. of samples				No. of sites		Action level	MCLG	TYPICAL SOURCE OF CONTAMINANT	
	collected		level detected		exceeding action			WICEG	IOAL GOOKGE OF CONTAMINANT	
Lead (ug/L) Sampled 6-2013	3	0	<.0	05	2	!	15	0.2	Internal corrosion of household water plumbing systems;	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										

Lead (ug/L) Sampled 6-2013 30 <.005 2 15 0.2 Internal corrosion of household water plumbing systems discharges from industrial manifacturers, erosion of natural deposits.

Copper (mg/L) Sampled 6-2013 30 0.24 0 1.3 0.3 erosion of natural deposits.

MRDL= Maximum Residual Disinfection Level

MRDG= Maximum Residual Disinfection Goal

The State allows the City to monitor for some contaminants less than once per year because the concentration of these contaminants do not change frequently. Some of the above data, though representative, is more than one year old, the data ranges from 1996 to 2015.

ABBREVIATION KEY

MCL = Maximum Contaminant Level
mg/L = Milligrams per Liter or parts per million
ug/L = Micrograms per Liter or parts per billion
NTU = Nephelometric Turbidity Units
PHG = Public Heath Goal

MCLG= Maximum Contaminant Level Goal

RAL= Regulating Action Level

TT= Treatment Technique

N/A = Not Applicable
pCi/L = Picocuries per Liter
N/D = Non-Detect
U.O.M. = Unit of Measurement
TON = Threshold odor number
umho/cm= Micromhos per Centimeter
PDWS= Primary Drinking Water Standards

REQUIRED PUBLIC NOTICE

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, people with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. These people should seek advice about drinking water from their heath care providers. USEPA/Centers for Disease Control (CDC) guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by Cryptosporidium and other microbial contaminants are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (1-800-426-4791).

Drinking water, including bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the USEPA's Safe Drinking Water Hotline 1(800) 426-4791.

DEFINITIONS

Maximum Contaminant Level or (MCL): The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. <u>Primary MCLs</u> are set as close to the PHGs(or MCLGs) as is economically and technologically feasible. <u>Secondary MCLs</u> are set to protect the odor, taste, and appearance of drinking water.

Primary Drinking Water Standard or PDWS: MCLs for contaminants that affect health along with their monitoring and reporting requirements, and water treatment requirements.

Public Health Goals or PHG: The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. PHGs are set by the California Environmental Protection Agency.

Maximum Contaminant Level Goal or MCLG: The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs are set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

HEALTH EFFECTS FOR INORGANIC CONTAMINANTS

Nitrate: Nitrate in drinking water at levels above 45 mg/L is a health risk for infants of less than six months of age. Such nitrate levels in drinking water can interfere with the capacity of the infant's blood to carry oxygen, resulting in a serious illness; symptoms include shortness of breath and blueness of the skin. Nitrate levels above 45 mg/L may also affect the ability of the blood to carry oxygen in other individuals, such as pregnant women and those with certain specific enzyme deficiencies. If you are caring for an infant, or you are pregnant, you should ask advice from your health care provider.

ARSENIC: While drinking water meets the Federal and State standards for arsenic, it does contain low levels of arsenic. The Arsenic standard balances the current understanding of arsenic's possible health affects against the cost of removing arsenic from drinking water. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency continues to research the health affects of low levels of arsenic, which is a mineral known to cause cancer in humans at high concentrations and is linked to other health effects such as skin damage and circulatory problems.

TREATMENT

Chlorination: Each well site has a chlorine generation system which produces a 0.8% chlorine solution and dosage to the distribution system is set at 0.25 Parts Per Million.

REQUIRED PUBLIC INFORMATION

- 1. The sources of drinking water (both tap water and bottled water) include rivers, lakes, streams, ponds, reservoirs, springs, and wells. As water travels over the surface of the land or through the ground, it dissolves naturally-occurring minerals and, in some cases, radioactive material, and can pick up substances resulting from the presence of animals or from human activity.
- 2. Contaminants that could be present in source water include:
- (a) Microbial contaminants, such as viruses and bacteria, that may come from sewage treatment plants, septic systems, agricultural livestock operations, and wildlife.
- (b) Inorganic contaminants, such as salts and metals, that can be naturally-occurring or result from urban storm water runoff, industrial or domestic wastewater discharges, oil and gas production, mining, or farming.
- (c) Pesticides and herbicides, that may come from a variety of sources such as agriculture, urban water runoff, and residential uses.
- (d) Organic chemical contaminants, including synthetic and volatile organic chemicals, that are by-products of industrial processes and petroleum production, and can also come from gas stations, urban storm water runoff, agricultural application, and septic systems.
- (e) Radioactive contaminants, that can be naturally-occurring or be the result of oil and gas production and mining activities.
- 3. In order to ensure that tap water is safe to drink, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency(USEPA) and the State Water Resources Control Board prescribe regulations that limit the amount of certain contaminants in water provided by public water systems. Department regulations also establish limits for contaminants in bottled water that provide the same protection or public health.

City of Madera Source Water Assessment

A source water assessment was conducted for the City of Madera water system in 2003 and is ongoing as water wells are being developed. A completed copy of this report may be viewed at City of Madera, Public Works Department 1030 South Gateway Drive Madera, CA 93637 or, a copy may be requested by contacting:

John Botwright, Water Quality Specialist (559) 661-5465

The following chart summarizes potential sources of contamination, in the vicinity of each water well, that could affect water quality:

Activities Water Wells Airports - Maintenance/fueling areas #26 Automobile - Body shops, Historic gas stations, Machine shops, Junk/scrap salvage yards #25 Automobile – Gas stations #17, #18, #20, #21, #22, #26 Automobile - Repair shops #18, #25 Boat services/repair/refinishing, sewer collection systems, pesticide/fertilizer/petroleum storage & transfer area #18, #31 Chemical/petroleum processing/storage, dry cleaners, injection wells/dry wells/sumps #28, #17 Dry cleaners, injection wells/dry wells/sumps #28 Fertilizer/pesticide/herbicide application, storm drain discharge points #29, #31, 32, #33, #34 Grazing (>5 large animals or equivalent per acre) #23 Historic waste dumps/landfills #25, #26 #15, #16, #17, #21, #22, #23, #24, #25, #29, Housing – high density (>1 house / 0.5 acres) #31, #32, #33, #34 Metal plating/finishing/fabricating #26. #27. #30 Military installations #24 Transportation corridors - Road right - of - ways (herbicides use areas) #15, #16, #17, #29 Waste Transfer/Recycling stations #17, #31, #34

DISCUSSION OF VULNERABILITY

There is no current Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) exceedance noted in the State Water Resources Control Board database for City of Madera Water Wells: 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, and 34. However, documentation that the following elements have been found in Water Wells 21, and 33 are:

Water		Sample	Level		
Well	Chemical	Date	Detected	MCL	DLR
#21	Aluminum	11/20/2014	280 ug/L	200 ug/L	50 ug/L
#21	Iron	11/20/2014	700 ug/L	300 ug/L	100 ug/L
#33	Iron	12/03/2015	480 ug/L	300 ug/L	100 ug/L

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

<u>Water Well 21</u> A water sample was collected 11/20/2014 after the well had been rehabilitated and Secondary MCL was detected for Aluminum and Iron. The City monitored quarterly for these constituents in 2015 and all results show N/D for both Aluminum and Iron.

<u>Water Well 33</u> A water sample was collected on 12/03/2015 and showed that Iron was above the secondary MCL. The City began monitoring for Iron at this well quarterly for 2016. First quarter monitoring results show N/D for Iron.

Secondary MCL standards are in place to establish an acceptable aesthetic quality of the water.

VIOLATION OF TT OR MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS:

MONITORING AND REPORTING VIOLATION: The City was required to monitor for Hexavalent Chromium in 2014 and did so as part of EPA UCMR3 Monitoring. The results of that Hexavalent Chromium monitoring were not uploaded to the state database in 2014 because of a misunderstanding about the reporting requirements. The City monitored for Hexavalent Chromium on 2/15/2015 after the mistake was noticed. Compliance with Hexavalent Chromium Monitoring was achieved on 03/01/2015.